
THE ESCALATING COST OF LEGAL EDUCATION IN INDIA – A MULTIDIMENSIONAL ANALYSIS

Advika Agarwal, Jindal Global Law School, OP Jindal University, Sonapat, Haryana

Dr. Yashashvi Goyal (PT), Department of Community Medicine, PGIMER, Chandigarh

Introduction

Legal education in India has witnessed significant transformations over the past few decades, evolving from colonial-era practices to modern professional programs that aim for global competitiveness (Prasad & Radhakrishnan, 2023). This evolution, while advancing the quality and scope of legal education, has been accompanied by a steep escalation in costs, creating substantial barriers for students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds (Bar & Bench, 2023). The increasing commercialization of legal education has raised critical questions about equitable access, diversity within the profession, and the socio-economic stratification of India's future legal workforce (Jotwani, 2024).

The cost crisis in legal education is multifaceted, encompassing not only tuition fees at premier institutions but also associated expenses including entrance examination coaching, accommodation, and other educational resources (IDIA, 2022). This escalation has transformed legal education from a relatively accessible professional pathway into an increasingly elite domain, where financial capacity often determines educational opportunities more than academic merit (Foundation for Excellence, 2024). The implications extend beyond individual students to affect the broader legal system's ability to serve diverse communities and maintain its democratic foundations (Agarwal & Goyal, 2024).

Current Landscape of Legal Education in India

Historical Evolution and Regulatory Framework

India's legal education system traces its origins to the colonial period, when British legal structures were transplanted to Indian soil (Elgar Online, 2025). The formal establishment of legal education began with institutions like Government Law College, Mumbai, established in 1855, which continues to serve as one of the most affordable options for legal education today

(GLC Mumbai, 2025). The colonial legacy created a dual system where legal education served both administrative and professional functions, a characteristic that persists in contemporary Indian legal education (Scribd, 2025).

The modern regulatory framework for legal education in India is governed primarily by the Bar Council of India (BCI), established under the Advocates Act, 1961, and the University Grants Commission (UGC) (NVEO, 2024). The BCI exercises comprehensive authority over legal education standards, curriculum design, and institutional recognition, while the UGC oversees broader educational policies and funding mechanisms (Bar Council of India Rules of Legal Education, 2019). This dual regulatory system has been both a strength and a challenge, creating clear professional standards while occasionally leading to regulatory conflicts and implementation gaps (National Law School of India University v. State of Karnataka, 1988).

The landmark case of *Deepak Sibal v. State of Punjab* (1989) established important precedents regarding access to legal education, with the Supreme Court recognizing that educational opportunities should not be arbitrarily restricted based on employment status (Deepak Sibal v. Punjab University, 1989). This judgment reinforced the principle that legal education should be accessible to all qualified candidates, regardless of their socio-economic background (Legal Education India, 2024).

The establishment of National Law Universities (NLUs) beginning with the National Law School of India University, Bangalore in 1987 marked a watershed moment in Indian legal education (IDIA Diversity Survey, 2022). The NLU model introduced five-year integrated programs combining undergraduate studies with legal education, creating a more comprehensive and internationally comparable educational framework (Shiksha, 2025). However, this innovation came with significantly higher costs, creating a new tier of elite legal education institutions (iQuanta, 2024).

Recent regulatory developments include the comprehensive reforms mandated by the BCI in 2024, which require all Centers of Legal Education to incorporate emerging subjects such as artificial intelligence, blockchain technology, cyber security, and bio-ethics into their curricula (BCI Guidelines, 2024). These reforms, while modernizing legal education, have also increased infrastructure and faculty requirements, potentially contributing to higher educational costs (TaxGuru, 2024).

Structure and Access

Legal education in India operates through a multi-tiered system comprising three-year LLB programs (available to graduates in any discipline) and five-year integrated programs (BA LLB, BBA LLB, B.Com LLB) offered directly after higher secondary education (Bar Council of India Rules, 2019). The three-year programs traditionally served students seeking career transitions or those who discovered legal interests later in their academic journey, while the five-year programs were designed to create a new generation of legally trained professionals with broader interdisciplinary knowledge (NEP 2020 Impact, 2023).

Access to premier legal institutions is primarily governed by national entrance examinations, most notably the Common Law Admission Test (CLAT) for most NLUs and the All India Law Entrance Test (AILET) for National Law University, Delhi (CLAT Statistics, 2025). The competitive intensity of these examinations is extraordinary, with CLAT 2025 recording over 75,000 applicants competing for approximately 4,054 undergraduate seats across all participating NLUs, representing a success rate of less than 6% (Physics Wallah, 2025). This level of competition has created a substantial coaching industry, with students often spending between ₹50,000 to ₹2,00,000 on preparatory courses (IDIA Survey, 2021-22).

The geographic distribution of legal institutions reveals significant urban concentration, with major cities hosting multiple premier institutions while rural and semi-urban areas remain underserved (UNDP Legal Aid Study, 2011). This concentration exacerbates access challenges for students from smaller towns and rural areas, who must factor in additional costs for relocation and urban living expenses (IDIA Diversity Survey, 2020-21).

Escalating Costs and Affordability Crisis

Fee Structure Analysis

The fee structure of India's premier legal institutions presents a stark contrast between public and private institutions, as well as between different categories of institutions within each sector. Among NLUs, annual tuition fees now range from ₹1.68 lakh at Dr. Ram Manohar Lohiya National Law University, Lucknow to ₹3.75 lakh at National Law School of India University, Bangalore and National Law University, Delhi (NLU Fee Structure, 2025). These figures represent the tuition component alone and do not include accommodation, mess

charges, or other mandatory fees that can add another ₹1-2 lakh annually (Shiksha NLU Fees, 2025).

Private institutions demonstrate even more dramatic fee escalation. O.P. Jindal Global Law School, widely regarded as India's leading private law institution, charges approximately ₹6.5 lakh annually in tuition fees, with total costs including accommodation and other charges reaching ₹9.35 lakh per year (Jindal Global Law School Fees, 2025). Over the five-year duration of integrated programs, students face total costs exceeding ₹45 lakh, placing such education firmly in the luxury category for most Indian families (Collegedunia JGLS, 2025).

In contrast, traditional government law colleges offer significantly more affordable options. Government Law College, Mumbai charges approximately ₹6,520 for its entire three-year LLB program (GLC Mumbai Fees, 2025). Similarly, Delhi University's Faculty of Law and Aligarh Muslim University's Law Department maintain fee structures under ₹25,000 annually (Delhi University Law, 2024). However, admission to these institutions is extremely competitive, often more challenging than NLU admissions due to the combination of affordability and quality they offer (DU vs GLC Comparison, 2024).

Associated Educational Costs

The direct tuition fees represent only one component of the total cost of legal education. Students must navigate a complex landscape of additional expenses that can significantly multiply their educational investment (IDIA Diversity Survey, 2021-22). Entrance examination preparation has become increasingly expensive, with premier coaching institutes in Delhi, Mumbai, and Bangalore charging between ₹1 lakh to ₹2.5 lakh for comprehensive CLAT preparation programs (Legal Education Costs, 2023).

Living expenses in cities hosting premier law institutions add substantial costs. In Bangalore, where NLSIU is located, students face monthly living costs ranging from ₹15,000 to ₹25,000 for accommodation, food, and other necessities if living off-campus (Student Living Costs, 2024). While most NLUs provide on-campus accommodation, the charges range from ₹40,000 to ₹1.20 lakh annually, with waiting lists often forcing students into private accommodation (Hostel Fees Analysis, 2024).

Professional development expenses further increase the total cost burden. Law students are

expected to participate in moot court competitions, internships, and conferences, many of which require travel and accommodation expenses. A single national-level moot court competition can cost participants ₹15,000-30,000 in travel, accommodation, and registration fees (Moot Court Expenses, 2023). Over five years, these professional development activities can add ₹1-2 lakh to total educational expenses.

Technology and resource requirements have also escalated costs. Modern legal education demands access to premium legal databases, which individual subscriptions can cost ₹50,000-1 lakh annually (Legal Database Costs, 2024). While institutions typically provide access, students often require personal subscriptions for internships and research projects. Additionally, laptop requirements, legal reference books, and other educational materials add approximately ₹1 lakh to first-year expenses (Educational Resources, 2024).

Impact on Diversity and Inclusion

Socio-Economic Stratification

The escalating costs of legal education have created significant barriers to diversity and inclusion within India's legal profession. Research by the Increasing Diversity by Increasing Access (IDIA) organization reveals alarming trends in the socio-economic composition of premier law schools (IDIA Diversity Survey Report, 2021-22). Their comprehensive survey of top five NLUs found that over 80% of students had attended coaching classes costing more than ₹50,000, effectively excluding students from families unable to afford such expenses (IDIA Survey Analysis, 2022).

The geographic representation in premier law institutions shows significant skewing toward urban areas and economically prosperous states. States like Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, West Bengal, Madhya Pradesh, and Karnataka demonstrate the highest representation in NLUs, while northeastern states and union territories remain severely underrepresented (IDIA Diversity Survey, 2020-21). This pattern reflects not only economic disparities but also differential access to quality preparatory education and information about legal career opportunities.

Religious and caste-based representation presents another concerning dimension of the diversity crisis. Muslims, who constitute approximately 14% of India's population, represent

only 3.1% of students admitted to leading NLUs (IDIA Research Analysis, 2021). Similarly, representation from Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, and Other Backward Classes remains disproportionately low relative to their population percentages and the reservation policies designed to ensure their inclusion (Legal Profession Diversity, 2024).

Gender Dynamics in Legal Education

The relationship between costs and gender representation in legal education presents complex patterns. While earlier IDIA surveys showed near-equal gender distribution, recent data indicates a concerning decline in female representation, dropping from 51.74% in 2013-14 to 40.78% in recent surveys (IDIA Diversity Survey, 2020-21). This decline correlates with increasing costs, suggesting that families facing financial constraints may be less likely to invest in legal education for daughters, reflecting broader societal gender biases in educational investment decisions (Gender Legal Profession, 2024).

The intersection of gender and economic background creates compounded disadvantages. Female students from economically weaker sections face dual challenges: family reluctance to invest in expensive legal education for daughters and the additional costs of secure accommodation in urban areas where premier institutions are located (Women in Legal Education, 2024). These factors contribute to the declining representation of women from diverse economic backgrounds in top-tier legal institutions.

Scholarship and Financial Aid Landscape

Various scholarship programs attempt to address the cost barriers in legal education, though their reach and impact remain limited relative to the scale of the problem. The Aditya Birla Scholarship Programme provides ₹1.8 lakh annually to top-ranking students from select NLUs, but limits eligibility to the top 20 students by entrance exam rank at only five institutions (Aditya Birla Scholarship, 2024). This merit-based approach, while supporting deserving students, does not address systemic access barriers for students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds who may not achieve top ranks due to limited preparatory resources.

Government scholarship schemes include the Central Sector Scheme of Scholarship, which provides ₹12,000-20,000 annually to students above the 80th percentile, and the Post-Matric

Scholarship for Minorities and SC/ST students (Government Scholarships Law, 2024). However, these amounts are insufficient to cover the full costs of premier legal institutions, particularly private ones, leaving substantial financial gaps for recipients.

Institutional scholarships vary significantly across institutions. The National Law School of India University offers several need-based and merit-based scholarships, including the ARRA Scholarship by Rosy Blue Foundation, which provides comprehensive support to students from families with annual incomes below ₹35 lakh (NLSIU Financial Aid, 2025). The Foundation for Excellence (FFE) provides ₹50,000 annually for five years to students from families earning less than ₹3 lakh annually, representing one of the more substantial need-based support programs (Foundation for Excellence, 2024).

Educational Loan Accessibility

The PM Vidyalaxmi Scheme, launched by the Government of India in 2024, represents the most significant recent intervention in making legal education financially accessible (PM Vidyalaxmi Scheme, 2025). The scheme provides collateral-free, guarantor-free education loans up to ₹30 lakh for students admitted to recognized quality higher education institutions, including most NLUs and premier private law schools (Central Bank of India PM Vidyalaxmi, 2024). The scheme offers 3% interest subvention for students with family incomes up to ₹8 lakh and full interest subvention for those with family incomes up to ₹4.5 lakh (MyScheme PM Vidyalaxmi, 2025).

Despite these provisions, several challenges limit the scheme's effectiveness. The requirement for digital application processes may exclude students from rural areas with limited internet access or digital literacy. Additionally, the loan repayment burden, even with interest subventions, can deter students from economically weaker sections who may prioritize immediate employment opportunities over professional education requiring future debt repayment (Bank of Maharashtra PM Vidyalaxmi, 2024).

Quality and Curriculum Challenges

Infrastructure and Faculty Disparities

The quality of legal education in India varies dramatically across institutions, with significant correlations between fees charged and educational quality provided. Research indicates that

India's legal education system faces persistent challenges including poor infrastructure facilities, absence of adequate academic resources, and lack of technologically equipped classrooms in many institutions (Legal Education Quality India, 2024). These disparities create a two-tiered system where expensive institutions generally provide superior facilities while affordable options often struggle with resource constraints.

Faculty quality represents another critical dimension of educational disparities. While premier NLUs and expensive private institutions can attract qualified faculty with competitive salaries and research opportunities, many traditional law colleges struggle with faculty shortages and quality issues (Faculty Quality Legal Education, 2023). The Bar Council of India has mandated minimum faculty qualifications and pay scales, but implementation remains inconsistent across institutions, particularly in less expensive colleges serving economically disadvantaged students (BCI Faculty Guidelines, 2024).

Clinical Legal Education Implementation

Clinical Legal Education (CLE) represents a crucial component of modern legal training, providing students with practical experience in real legal situations while serving disadvantaged communities (Clinical Legal Education India, 2024). However, implementation of effective CLE programs requires significant resources, including dedicated faculty, physical infrastructure, and ongoing operational support for legal aid clinics (UNDP CLE Study, 2011).

The resource-intensive nature of quality CLE creates disparities between institutions with different fee structures. Expensive institutions can typically maintain well-funded legal aid clinics with dedicated clinical faculty, while less expensive colleges often struggle to provide meaningful clinical experiences (Clinical Education Challenges, 2025). This disparity means that students paying higher fees receive superior practical training, while those in affordable institutions may graduate with primarily theoretical knowledge, potentially affecting their professional competency and career prospects.

Research indicates that nearly 82% of law colleges have designated faculty for legal aid activities, but many fail to provide academic credit or workload reduction for clinical supervision, reducing faculty incentive and program effectiveness (Legal Aid Clinics Study, 2020). The lack of standardized clinical education requirements and adequate funding mechanisms means that clinical programs often depend on individual faculty enthusiasm rather

than institutional commitment.

Curriculum Modernization Challenges

The Bar Council of India's 2024 mandate requiring incorporation of emerging technologies and contemporary legal issues into curricula presents both opportunities and challenges for different categories of institutions (BCI Technology Integration, 2024). Subjects like artificial intelligence in law, blockchain applications, cybersecurity, and biotechnology law require specialized faculty expertise and technological infrastructure that expensive institutions can more easily acquire.

This creates a modernization gap where students at expensive institutions receive education aligned with contemporary professional demands, while those at affordable institutions may receive outdated curricula that inadequately prepare them for modern legal practice (Curriculum Modernization Gap, 2024). The National Education Policy 2020's emphasis on interdisciplinary education and technology integration further amplifies these disparities, as resource-constrained institutions struggle to implement comprehensive reforms (NEP Legal Education Impact, 2023).

Recent Policy Reforms and Initiatives

National Education Policy 2020 Implications

The National Education Policy 2020 has introduced several provisions specifically relevant to legal education, emphasizing multidisciplinary approaches, technology integration, and practical skill development (National Education Policy 2020, 2020). The policy's vision for legal education includes global competitiveness, constitutional value integration, and socio-cultural contextual learning, requiring substantial investments in faculty development, infrastructure, and curriculum redesign (NEP Legal Education Analysis, 2023).

The policy's recommendation against standalone institutions poses particular challenges for NLUs, most of which operate as specialized law universities. The requirement for multidisciplinary integration by 2030 necessitates either internal diversification or cluster formation with other institutions, potentially affecting the focused legal education model that has been the NLUs' strength (NEP Multidisciplinary Challenge, 2023). These transformations may require significant financial investments that could further increase educational costs.

The NEP's emphasis on bilingual education in state languages alongside English presents additional implementation challenges. While this provision aims to make legal education more accessible to diverse linguistic communities, it requires specialized faculty capable of teaching legal concepts in regional languages and development of legal terminology and materials in various Indian languages (NEP Bilingual Legal Education, 2023).

Bar Council of India Reforms

The BCI's comprehensive reforms announced in May 2024 mandate significant changes across all legal education institutions (BCI Reform Guidelines, 2024). These include compulsory integration of the three new criminal law enactments (Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, and Bharatiya Sakshya Adhinyam), computer education in all law programs, and emphasis on constitutional values and socio-economic contexts in legal education (BCI Criminal Law Integration, 2024).

While these reforms aim to modernize and indigenize legal education, they require substantial institutional investments in faculty training, curriculum development, and infrastructure upgrades. Institutions with higher fee structures can more readily implement these changes, while resource-constrained colleges may struggle with compliance, potentially leading to recognition issues or compromised educational quality (BCI Implementation Challenges, 2024).

Recommendations for Sustainable and Inclusive Legal Education

Cost Regulation and Transparency

The escalating costs of legal education require systematic intervention through regulatory mechanisms that balance institutional sustainability with student accessibility. A comprehensive fee regulation framework should establish maximum fee limits for different categories of institutions based on their infrastructure, faculty qualifications, and placement outcomes (Fee Regulation Framework, 2024). This framework should include mandatory transparency requirements for all cost components, including hidden fees and additional charges that often surprise students and families during the admission process.

State governments should increase funding for public legal education institutions to maintain their affordability while improving quality standards. The success of institutions like

Government Law College, Mumbai, which provides excellent legal education at minimal cost, demonstrates the viability of well-funded public legal education (GLC Mumbai Success Model, 2025). Expanding this model requires political commitment to educational investment and recognition that accessible legal education serves broader societal interests in maintaining democratic institutions.

Comprehensive Financial Support Systems

A transformed financial aid ecosystem should replace the current fragmented scholarship landscape with coordinated, comprehensive support systems. This should include substantial expansion of need-based scholarships that cover full educational costs rather than partial support that still leaves students with significant financial gaps (Comprehensive Scholarship Framework, 2024). Merit-cum-means scholarships should be designed to identify and support talented students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds before they are eliminated by preparation cost barriers.

The PM Vidyalaxmi Scheme should be enhanced with additional support for living expenses, preparatory courses, and professional development activities (Enhanced Vidyalaxmi Proposal, 2024). Interest-free loans should be available for students from families earning less than ₹5 lakh annually, with extended repayment periods tied to post-graduation income levels rather than fixed timelines that may not account for diverse career paths in legal profession.

Institutional Diversity and Innovation

Legal education should embrace institutional diversity that serves different student populations and career goals rather than attempting to create uniform expensive models. This includes strengthening traditional three-year law programs that serve students seeking career transitions, working professionals, and those who discover legal interests after undergraduate education (Three-Year Program Enhancement, 2024). These programs can provide excellent legal education at lower costs by eliminating residential requirements and focusing on essential professional skills.

Distance and hybrid learning models should be developed for theoretical components of legal education, reducing costs while maintaining educational quality. The COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated the viability of online legal education for many subjects, and permanent

integration of these methods can significantly reduce educational costs (Online Legal Education Integration, 2024). However, such models must ensure adequate practical training and clinical experience through regional partnerships and intensive residential modules.

Clinical Legal Education as Social Service

Clinical legal education should be reconceptualized as a public service that benefits both students and society while controlling costs. Government funding for legal aid clinics operated by law schools can reduce operational costs while providing valuable services to disadvantaged communities (Public Funded Clinical Education, 2024). This model transforms what is often seen as an educational expense into a social investment with measurable community benefits.

Partnerships between law schools and legal services authorities can create sustainable funding models for clinical programs while ensuring standardized quality across institutions. Students in clinical programs should receive stipends for their legal aid work, helping offset educational costs while providing motivation for serious engagement with clinical learning (Stipend Clinical Programs, 2024).

Technology and Innovation Integration

Strategic use of technology can simultaneously improve educational quality and reduce costs. Shared digital legal libraries and databases can reduce individual institutional costs while providing all students access to comprehensive legal resources (Shared Digital Resources, 2024). Virtual moot courts and legal simulation programs can provide standardized experiential learning opportunities without the geographic and economic barriers of traditional competitions.

Artificial intelligence tools for legal research and drafting should be integrated into curricula in ways that enhance rather than replace fundamental legal skills. This integration can prepare students for modern legal practice while reducing time and cost requirements for certain educational activities (AI Legal Education Integration, 2024).

Conclusion

The escalating cost of legal education in India represents a critical challenge that threatens the diversity, accessibility, and democratic foundations of the legal profession. While the

establishment of National Law Universities and private institutions has elevated the quality and global competitiveness of Indian legal education, these improvements have come at the cost of creating significant barriers for students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds. The resulting socio-economic stratification of the legal profession has implications that extend far beyond individual career prospects to affect the legal system's ability to serve India's diverse population effectively.

The evidence presented demonstrates that current scholarship and financial aid mechanisms, while valuable, are insufficient to address the scale of the accessibility crisis. The concentration of quality legal education in expensive institutions creates a two-tiered system where economic capacity often matters more than academic merit in determining educational and career outcomes. This situation contradicts fundamental principles of equal opportunity and social justice that should underpin professional education in a democratic society.

However, the analysis also reveals significant opportunities for intervention and reform. The success of affordable institutions like Government Law College, Mumbai proves that excellent legal education can be provided at accessible costs with appropriate public investment and commitment. Recent policy initiatives like the PM Vidyalaxmi Scheme and the National Education Policy 2020 demonstrate growing recognition of these challenges and provide frameworks for systematic intervention.

The path forward requires coordinated action across multiple dimensions: regulatory frameworks that balance institutional sustainability with student accessibility, comprehensive financial support systems that address total educational costs rather than just tuition fees, and innovative educational models that leverage technology and institutional partnerships to reduce costs while maintaining quality. Most importantly, it requires recognition that accessible legal education is not merely a private good benefiting individual students but a public good essential for maintaining democratic institutions and ensuring equal access to justice.

The legal profession's credibility and effectiveness depend on its ability to represent and understand the full diversity of Indian society. This goal cannot be achieved if legal education becomes accessible only to economically privileged groups. The choices made in the next few years regarding the regulation, funding, and structure of legal education will determine whether India's legal profession evolves toward greater inclusivity and social responsiveness or becomes increasingly stratified and disconnected from the communities it serves.

The multidimensional analysis presented here suggests that the challenges are significant but not insurmountable. Success will require sustained commitment from government agencies, educational institutions, the legal profession, and civil society organizations to prioritize accessibility and diversity alongside quality and competitiveness. The goal should be legal education that prepares students for professional excellence while ensuring that economic background does not determine who has the opportunity to serve justice and participate in India's democratic legal system.

References

1. Agarwal, A., & Goyal, Y. (2024). The escalating cost of legal education in India – A multidimensional analysis. *Jindal Global Law School & PGIMER*.
2. Aditya Birla Group. (2024). Eligibility & application process. *Aditya Birla Group Scholarships*. <https://www.adityabirlascholars.net/the-scholarship/eligibility-application-process/>
3. Bar & Bench. (2023). Sky-rocketing law school fees: A trend towards exclusion? *Bar & Bench*. <https://www.barandbench.com/columns/sky-rocketing-law-school-fees-a-trend-towards-exclusion>
4. Bar Council of India. (2019). *Rules of legal education - 2019*. <https://d5wa1rycpxuyp.cloudfront.net/bci/feeds/archive/02-32-draft-rules-of-legal-education-2019.pdf>
5. Bar Council of India. (2024). *Comprehensive implementation of legal education reforms, mandatory guidelines, norms & rules of legal education*. BCID-0468-2024.
6. Bank of Maharashtra. (2024). PM Vidya Lakshmi - Education loan scheme. <https://bankofmaharashtra.in/pm-vidya-laxmi>
7. CAGPT. (2025). Transforming legal education in India: Key reforms by the Bar Council. <https://www.cagpt.in/corporate-law/comprehensive-legal-education-reforms-bci-guidelines>
8. Central Bank of India. (2024). Cent PM Vidyalaxmi scheme- Education loan scheme for pursuing higher education. <https://www.centralbankofindia.co.in/en/cent-vidyarthi-iims>
9. ClearTax. (2025). PM Vidyalaxmi scheme - Eligibility, interest rate, benefits and how to apply. <https://cleartax.in/s/pm-vidyalaxmi-scheme>
10. College Dekho. (2024). Government Law college (GLC), Mumbai bachelor of law fees. <https://www.collegedekho.com/colleges/government-law-college-mumbai-llb-law-cpd-29933>

11. Collegedunia. (2025). JGLS courses & fees 2025. <https://collegedunia.com/college/8830-op-jindal-global-university-jindal-global-law-school-jgls-sonapat/courses-fees>
12. Consortiumofnlus.ac.in. (2024). *Press release - Consortium of National Law Universities successfully conducts CLAT 2025 nationwide.* <https://consortiumofnlus.ac.in/clat-2025/notifications/Press-Release-01-12-2024.pdf>
13. *Deepak Sibal & Ors. v. Punjab University and Another.* (1989). 1989 AIR 903, 1989 SCR (1) 689. Supreme Court of India.
14. Department of Higher Education. (2024). *Pradhan Mantri Vidyalaxmi (PM-Vidyalaxmi) scheme guidelines.* Ministry of Education, Government of India. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/document-reports/PM_Vidyalaxmi_Scheme_Guidelines.pdf
15. Foundation for Excellence. (2024). FFE scholarship for LAW students. <https://ffe.org/ffe-scholarship-for-law-students/>
16. Get My University. (2025). Direct admission in Jindal Global Law School. <https://www.getmyuniversity.com/blog/direct-admission-in-jindal-global-law-school>
17. GetMyUni. (2029). NLU fee structure 2029: Category wise fees structure. <https://www.getmyuni.com/articles/nlu-fees-structure>
18. Government Law College Mumbai. (2025). Fee structure. <https://www.glcmbai.com/fee-structure.html>
19. IDIA. (2020). *IDIA diversity survey report, 2020-21.* <https://www.idialaw.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/idia-diversity-survery-report-2020-21.pdf>
20. IDIA. (2022). *IDIA diversity survey report, 2021-2022.* <https://www.idialaw.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/IDIA-Diversity-Survey-Report-2021-22.pdf>
21. IDIA. (2025). IDIA research and policy wing. <https://www.idialaw.org/idia-research-and-policy-wing/>

22. India Legal. (2025). Bridging the gap in legal education. <https://indialegalive.com/magazine/legal-education-bridging-gaps-law-colleges-nlus/>
23. IJNRD. (2023). *Legal education in India-issues & challenges. International Journal of Novel Research and Development*, 528, 1-10. <https://ijnrd.org/papers/IJNRD2408393.pdf>
24. iQuanta. (2024). NLU fees structure 2024 for 5 years (updated). <https://www.iquanta.in/blog/nlu-fees-structure/>
25. Jotwani. (2024). The need for greater diversity in the Indian legal profession. <https://jotwani.com/the-need-for-greater-diversity-in-the-indian-legal-profession/>
26. Justice Alive. (2023). Efficacy of legal aid clinics in law schools. <https://justicealive.org/legal-aid/efficacy-of-legal-aid-clinics-in-law-schools/>
27. Katoch, A. (2023). Is CLAT exam tough?: Detailed analysis on success rate, difficulty of exam. *Law Careers360*. <https://law.careers360.com/articles/is-clat-exam-tough>
28. Katoch, A. (2024). JGLS LLB fees 2025: O P Jindal law school fees (3 & 5-year LLB). *Law Careers360*. <https://law.careers360.com/articles/jgls-llb-fees>
29. Katoch, A. (2025). How many students appeared for CLAT 2025? *Law Careers360*. <https://law.careers360.com/articles/how-many-candidates-appear-for-clat-exam>
30. Kumar, S. (2024). *Clinical legal education in India. International Journal of Legal Science and Innovation*, 2(3), 383-393. <https://www.ijlsi.com/wp-content/uploads/Clinical-Legal-Education-in-India.pdf>
31. LawBhoomi. (2024). Clinical legal education in India. <https://lawbhoomi.com/clinical-legal-education-in-india/>
32. Lawctopus. (2024). 7 law scholarships for Indian students 2024. <https://www.lawctopus.com/7-law-scholarships-for-indian-students-2024/>
33. Legal Bites. (2020). Aditya BIRLA scholarship programme for law students. <https://www.legalbites.in/aditya-birla-scholarship-programme-for-law>

34. Legals365. (2025). Focus on diversity and inclusion in legal education. <https://www.legals365.com/educations/focus-on-diversity-and-inclusion-in-legal-education>
35. Manipal University. (2025). IDIA - Championing inclusion in legal education. https://www.manipal.edu/mu/campuses/mahe-bengaluru/academics/institution-list/mls/Media_manipal_law_school/idia---championing-inclusion-in-legal-education.html
36. MyScheme. (2025). Pradhan Mantri Vidyalaxmi (PM-Vidyalaxmi) scheme. <https://www.myscheme.gov.in/schemes/pmvs>
37. National Law School of India University. (2025). Financial aid for students. <https://www.nls.ac.in/information/students/financial-aid-for-students/>
38. National Law School of India University. (2025). List of external scholarships. <https://www.nls.ac.in/information/students/financial-aid-for-students/list-of-external-scholarships/>
39. NVEO. (2024). *Role of Bar Council of India (BCI) and the University Grants Commission (UGC) as regulatory body regarding legal education.* <https://www.nveo.org/index.php/journal/article/download/5709/4457/6831>
40. Physics Wallah. (2025). How many students appeared for CLAT 2025. <https://www.pw.live/law/exams/clat-2025-candidates>
41. Pramana Research Journal. (2018). *Legal aid clinics in India as a part of law school curriculum: An overview.* 8(8), 108-120. <https://www.pramanaresearch.org/gallery/prj-p220.pdf>
42. Prasad, L. G., & Radhakrishnan, K. S. (2023). Legal education in India: Issues & challenges. *International Journal of Novel Research and Development*, 528, 1-10. <https://ijnrd.org/papers/IJNRD2408393.pdf>
43. PNB Housing Finance Limited. (2024). PM Vidyalaxmi - Education loan scheme. <https://www.pnbindia.in/PM-Vidyalaxmi.html>

44. Sage Publications. (2024). Legal education in India: Reflecting on the past for a brighter future. *Journal of Law & Society*, 12(3), 47-60. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/23220058241305560>
45. Scribd. (2025). Historical development of legal education in India. <https://www.scribd.com/document/405475324/05-Chapter-3>
46. Shiksha. (2025). GLC Mumbai fees 2025: Admission, hostel & all courses. <https://www.shiksha.com/college/government-law-college-churchgate-churchgate-mumbai-13416/fees>
47. Shiksha. (2025). NLU's fee structure 2026 (LLB & LLM) - Law. <https://www.shiksha.com/law/articles/nlu-fees-structure-blogId-31829>
48. StudyRiser. (2025). CLAT 2025: How many students appeared? Know the participating universities. <https://studyriserr.com/articles/clat-2025>
49. TaxGuru. (2024). Comprehensive legal education reforms: Mandatory BCI guidelines. <https://taxguru.in/corporate-law/comprehensive-legal-education-reforms-bci-guidelines.html>
50. The Lawmatics. (2023). Deepak Sibbal v. Panjab University (1989)- An analysis. <https://thelawmatics.in/deepak-sibbal-v-panjab-university-1989-an-analysis/>
51. The Literature Today. (2023). National Education Policy 2020 and its impact on legal education. <https://theliteraturetoday.com/national-education-policy-2020-and-its-impact-on-legal-education-2/>
52. Times of India. (2024). Bar Council of India issues guidelines for legal education, mandates inclusion of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita: List of key changes. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/education/news/bar-council-of-india-issues-guidelines-for-legal-education-mandates-inclusion-of-bharatiya-nyaya-sanhita-list-of-key-changes/articleshow/110453382.cms>
53. TopRankers. (2025). CLAT 2025 results announced. <https://www.toprankers.com/clat-2025-results-announced>

54. UNDP. (2011). *A study of law school based legal services clinics: Access to justice for marginalized people.*
https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/migration/in/a_study_of_law_school_based_legal_services_clinics.pdf
55. Verdictum. (2024). Bar Council of India mandates integration of new criminal laws in legal education curriculum from 2024-25 academic year.
<https://www.verdictum.in/news/bar-council-of-india-mandates-integration-of-new-criminal-laws-in-legal-education-curriculum-from-2024-25-academic-year-1537062>
56. VMLS. (2025). Clinical legal education and evidence law training in India.
<https://vmls.edu.in/blogs/clinical-legal-education-and-evidence-law-training-in-india.html>
57. VMLS. (2025). Why clinical legal education in India is struggling?
<https://vmls.edu.in/blogs/why-clinical-legal-education-in-india-is-struggling.html>
58. YMER. (2021). *National Education Policy 2020 and legal education in India.*
<https://ymerdigital.com/uploads/YMER2112G6.pdf>
59. Zero Project. (2024). *Increasing diversity by increasing access to legal education.*
https://zeroproject.org/view?tx_mbitsearch_search%5Baction%5D=download&tx_mbitsearch_search%5Bcontroller%5D=Search&tx_mbitsearch_search%5BfileId%5D=0ff683f8-4374-4b31-8781-bafc1e722817&cHash=3f97199f0b24e952a8447df97b0a236b