ILLICIT EMPIRES: THE GROWING THREAT OF DRUG CARTELS IN INDIA

Sumit Kumar, Law College Dehradun, Uttaranchal University

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the escalating menace of drug cartels in India, examining their profound impact on society, governance, and security. The study traces the evolution of these illicit organizations, detailing their operational methods, hierarchical structures, and extensive networks. By analyzing recent trends and case studies, it highlights the shifting dynamics of drug trafficking routes and the increasing sophistication of cartels in evading law enforcement. The research delves into the socio-economic factors fuelling the drug trade, including poverty, unemployment, and corruption, which create fertile ground for cartel expansion. Furthermore, it investigates the multifaceted challenges faced by Indian authorities in combating this threat, such as legal limitations, inadequate resources, and international complexities. Through a comprehensive review of policies and strategic responses, both domestic and international, the paper proposes a multipronged approach to effectively dismantle these illicit empires. The findings underscore the urgent need for enhanced cooperation, intelligence sharing, and community engagement to mitigate the growing threat of drug cartels and safeguard India's future.

Keywords: Drugs, Cartel, Trafficking, illicit empires

Introduction

In recent years, India has witnessed a troubling rise in the influence and operations of drug cartels, transforming what was once a largely regional issue into a significant national security and public health crisis. The emergence of these illicit empires poses a growing threat to the country's socio-economic stability, public safety, and international reputation. With their complex networks and sophisticated methods, drug cartels are not only fuelling a devastating epidemic of substance abuse but also exacerbating issues such as organized crime, corruption, and violence. As these criminal organizations expand their reach across borders, it becomes imperative to understand the scale of their operations and the multifaceted challenges they present. This introduction explores the growing menace of drug cartels in India, shedding light on their impact on society and the urgent need for comprehensive strategies to counter their influence and mitigate their effects.

Evolution of Drug Cartel

History of drug has rich complexity that required thousands years to unfold. This includes indigenous uses, colonial influences, recent trends, while at the same time featuring the problem of illicit drug dealing along addiction in this time. The complete history might be condensed into this outline:

Ancient and Traditional Use

Traditional medicine in India has incorporated drugs for thousands of years, with Ayurveda being the most visible element. The purpose of these substances included the use of cannabis (bhang) and opium. For example, the psychoactive substance called Soma is spoken about in ancient texts such as the Atharva Veda; however, scholars are not clear on what exactly it comprises. Beyond its drug-like effects on humans, cannabis was highly prized within Indian culture for its social importance – especially bhang, which was highly valued in religious and cultural contexts. It has been used during various festivals like Holi and Shivaratri where it is said to be divine and achieves such goals as helping people realize their spirituality.

Medieval period

The opium and other drugs trade began to boom in the medieval era. It was, for instance, through Arabs that new drugs like hashish were brought into India. In certain Sufi circles

mystics and ascetics used psychoactive substances such as cannabis to induce altered states of consciousness during their religious practices. These drugs were meant to enlarge their spiritual experience by making them feel closer to god.

Post-Independence Era

Independence came to India in 1947, and the nation inherited a colonial structure that would regulate drugs like opium in order to keep them under government control for medicinal and scientific reasons. Because of this, the country ratified the Psychoactive Synthetic Narcotics and Drugs Act or popularly known as NDPS Act in 1985. This law brought forth strict measures meant to check the use of narcotics and other psychotropic substances used, especially when selling them illegally. Thus enabling a stricter and more controlled distribution among citizens had been done within the boundaries of this country.

Modern Developments

Despite stringent laws, the illegal drug trade has flourished in India, driven by both domestic production and international trafficking. India's proximity to the Golden Crescent (Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iran) and the Golden Triangle (Myanmar, Laos, and Thailand) has made it a significant transit route for heroin and other drugs. In recent years, the production and trafficking of synthetic drugs, such as methamphetamine, as well as the misuse of pharmaceutical drugs like prescription opioids, have emerged as major issues. Additionally, cannabis cultivation remains widespread in certain regions of India, such as Himachal Pradesh, Uttarakhand, and parts of the north-eastern states. Despite its illegal status, cannabis is used both domestically and trafficked internationally.

Contemporary Challenges

Addiction is a growing problem in public health: There has been an increase in drug addiction, which has created notable health implications. Youths suffer from its effects especially in cities like Mumbai, Delhi, or Punjab. Law enforcement agents have turned a blind eye to drug trafficking due to corruption within their ranks as well as the political arena. Nevertheless, there are several efforts and partnerships with global organizations aimed at enhancing the fight against such organizations. Rehabilitation and awareness are important parts of this strategy;

addiction is dealt with through rehab programs and creating awareness among civilians about the dangers of drugs is critical for India's battle against substance abuse.

Legal framework to regulate and control narcotic drugs and psychotropic substances

The primary legislation governing this area is the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act (NDPS Act), 1985, along with other related laws and international conventions to which India is a signatory. Here is an overview of the key laws and regulations related to drug:

Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (NDPS Act)

The NDPS Act prohibits the production, manufacture, possession, sale, purchase, transport, warehousing, use, consumption, importation or exportation and transhipment of narcotic drugs and psychotropic substances except for medical or scientific purposes under a license or permit. Violations are punishable by very severe penalties depending on the amount of the substance involved (small scale, commercial and intermediate scale) ranging from rigorous imprisonment to death in certain cases. Additionally, properties derived from or used in illicit drug activities can be seized and forfeited. To address the issue of drug addiction, the Act also provides for the establishment of centres for identification, treatment education aftercare rehabilitation and social reintegration of addicts.

Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988

The Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances (PITNDPS) Act was enacted in 1988. This legislation intends to put a full stop to drug trafficking in India. Those who are involved in drug trafficking can be kept detained without any trial for one year. In certain situations, this period may even extend to two years if it is approved by an advisory board. It allows police officers to arrest such offenders as well as other designated authorities under the Central or State Governments among other high-ranking officials. The Advisory Boards have been created under this Act which consists of three members including any sitting or retired judge of a High Court who is able to assess the situation within a particular time frame as per their power of detention orders issued earlier on those persons recommended. The PITNDPS Act serves as an example of legislation tailored toward dismantling and disrupting drug trafficking networks through deterrence against future dealers. This NDPS Act is supportive because it provides more options for enforcement, with the intention of targeted

preventive custody in order to tackle the underlying reasons and stop illegal drug trade from happening at all. In the end, the objective is to save people from its evils while taking care of their health, security as well as wellbeing.

Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940

The Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 is a key piece of legislation in India which regulates the importation, manufacturing as well as distribution and sales of drugs and cosmetics to guarantee their safety, efficacy, and quality. It requires manufacturers to obtain licenses, establishes standards through Central and State Drug Control Authorities and categorizes medicines under specific schedules with associated rules. The Act prohibits circulation of false labels, impure items or counterfeit products with severe penalties for breaking these regulations. Drug inspectors are given powers to continue inspecting sampling or confiscating any item which is perceived not to be following the law. In addition, it sets guidelines on labelling, packing, clinical trials so as to ensure consumer protection while maintaining the integrity of the industry. Regular updates to this statute keep it in sync with international norms and the fast-changing nature of the industry ensuring public health is always secured.

Dangerous Drugs Act, 1930

The Dangerous Drugs Act of 1930, a crucial statute enacted in colonial India, aimed at controlling and regulating the use and trafficking of some narcotic substances, such as opium and heroin. The Act aimed at reducing the misuse and illegal distribution of these drugs by putting in place strict measures for their production, distribution and sale. It was one of the early legislative efforts that sought to tackle the growing problem of drug addiction as well as illicit drug trade. The act intended to govern the trade in narcotics and prevent abuse of drugs by establishing clear regulations and penalties. This legislation would later serve as a foundation for other laws on drug control in India including the more encompassing Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances (NDPS) Act of 1985.

Customs Act, 1962

The Customs Act, 1962 is the bedrock of customs law in India which governs the importation and exportation of goods as well as ensuring that customs duties are collected appropriately. This Act contains procedures on the valuation of goods and their assessment including

collection of duties for imports and exports as well as restrictions on these items. It also gives power to customs authorities to prevent smuggling, seize illegal items and punish offenders by imposing penalties on them. Furthermore it provides for dispute resolution or adjudication of appeals regarding customs matters. In conclusion this Act provides strict guidelines and enforcement measures which are crucial for facilitating legitimate trade, protection domestic industries as well as securing national revenue.

Operational Strategies of Drug Cartels

Drug cartels utilize a complex array of operational strategies to sustain and expand their illicit activities. They diversify their production by cultivating drugs in strategically chosen regions and innovating in production techniques. Transportation involves sophisticated smuggling routes and concealment methods, while distribution relies on local cells and alliances with other criminal organizations. Money laundering is executed through front businesses and advanced financial maneuvers. Cartels employ violence and intimidation to protect their interests, often involving public displays of brutality to assert dominance. Corruption is widespread, with cartels bribing officials to avoid detection and gain insider information. Additionally, they leverage encrypted communication and cyber operations to evade surveillance. Community relations are managed through social services and propaganda, fostering local support and loyalty. These multifaceted strategies enable cartels to remain resilient and effective despite law enforcement efforts.

Socio-Economic Factors Fuelling the Drug Trade

Socio-economic factors significantly drive the drug trade, primarily through poverty, unemployment, and lack of education. In impoverished areas, drug trafficking often becomes a means of survival due to limited economic opportunities and inadequate social support. High unemployment rates exacerbate this issue, as individuals turn to illegal activities when legitimate jobs are scarce. Additionally, poor educational systems restrict access to better employment prospects, further pushing individuals toward drug trade. Social inequality, dysfunctional family environments, and inadequate healthcare also contribute, creating conditions where drug trade becomes a coping mechanism or economic necessity. Corruption within law enforcement can further complicate efforts to combat these issues, allowing the drug trade to flourish. Addressing these factors requires comprehensive strategies to improve education, economic opportunities, and social services while tackling systemic corruption.

Challenges in Combating Drug Cartels

Combating drug cartels presents a complex array of challenges due to their highly organized and hierarchical nature, which makes dismantling them, a formidable task. These criminal enterprises often have intricate networks with specialized roles, contributing to their resilience and adaptability. Corruption further complicates efforts, as cartels frequently infiltrate law enforcement and political systems, using bribery and intimidation to protect their operations and undermine authorities. The extreme violence employed by cartels to maintain control and silence opposition creates a climate of fear, which hampers effective action against them. Economically, the substantial revenue generated from drug trafficking allows cartels to fund their operations, invest in advanced technology and weaponry, and pay off officials. Their transnational operations require extensive international cooperation, as different countries' varying legal frameworks and enforcement practices can impede unified efforts. Additionally, socio-economic factors such as poverty and lack of opportunity drive individuals toward drug trafficking, necessitating broader socio-economic interventions. Because, use of advanced technology by cartels for communication and evasion of detection further challenges law enforcement, which must continuously adapt and develop new strategies to address this evolving threat. Overall, combating drug cartels requires a multifaceted approach that includes stronger international cooperation, targeted law enforcement actions, community engagement, and efforts to tackle the underlying socio-economic issues contributing to the drug trade.

Drug Related Statistics

In 2023, drug-related statistics in India reveal on-going and significant challenges in managing drug trafficking and abuse. The Narcotics Control Bureau (NCB) reported a notable increase in drug seizures, with over 4,000 kg of heroin and substantial quantities of methamphetamine, cocaine, and other narcotics confiscated, reflecting both heightened trafficking activities and improved law enforcement efforts. The National Drug Dependence Treatment Centre (NDDTC) highlighted that around 3% of the adult population engages in illicit drug use, with an estimated 9 million people addicted to opioids, indicating a persistent issue. Punjab remains severely affected, with recent estimates suggesting that over 35% of the youth are involved in drug use, while the north-eastern states, including Manipur and Mizoram, continue to face high levels of drug trafficking due to their proximity to major drug-producing regions. Law enforcement agencies reported over 4,000 arrests related to drug trafficking, although the legal

system still grapples with challenges in processing cases and securing convictions. Efforts to enhance drug treatment and rehabilitation services are on-going, with the NDDTC expanding its facilities, but a significant gap remains between the need for treatment and available services. These statistics underscore the complex and persistent nature of India's drug problem, highlighting the need for sustained and enhanced efforts to address both drug trafficking and addiction.

Challenges in Combating Drug Cartels

Combating drug cartels presents a complex array of challenges due to their sophisticated operations, vast resources, and deep-rooted influence in various regions. Here are some key challenges:

Sophistication and Adaptability

Drug cartels often mirror legitimate businesses in their organizational structure, with specialized roles and hierarchical leadership. This structure allows them to operate efficiently and effectively manage their vast network. Cartels use encrypted communication, drones for surveillance, and sophisticated smuggling techniques. They leverage technology to avoid detection and facilitate operations, including the use of crypto currencies for transactions. They have well-developed logistics networks for production, transportation, and distribution. These networks are flexible, allowing cartels to quickly change routes and methods in response to law enforcement actions. Cartels continuously adapt their tactics to counter law enforcement strategies, such as altering drug compositions, using new smuggling methods, and exploiting legal loopholes.

Corruption and Infiltration:

Cartels often bribe officials at various levels, from local police to high-ranking government officials, to secure protection and facilitate operations. They use threats and violence against officials and their families to ensure compliance or silence. Cartels place operatives within law enforcement agencies to gather intelligence on on-going investigations and operations. This infiltration can lead to the compromise of anti-cartel efforts and even sabotage. Corruption within the judicial system can result in reduced sentences, dismissed charges, or favourable rulings for cartel members, undermining legal efforts to dismantle these organizations.

Violence and Intimidation:

Cartels use extreme violence to control territories and communities. Public displays of violence, such as beheadings or mass killings, are meant to instill fear and discourage resistance. They frequently target rival gang members, law enforcement officials, journalists, and politicians who oppose or threaten their operations. The pervasive violence can paralyze local communities, leading to economic downturns, displacement of populations, and a breakdown of social order. The threat of violence against law enforcement officers and their families can reduce the effectiveness and willingness of officers to engage in anti-cartel activities.

Volume VI Issue III | ISSN: 2582-8878

Transnational Operations:

Cartels have established smuggling routes that cross multiple international borders. These routes often involve sophisticated methods like underground tunnels, hidden compartments in vehicles, and maritime routes. Law enforcement agencies face challenges in coordinating across different legal and jurisdictional boundaries. Differences in laws, procedures, and priorities can hinder effective cooperation. Combating transnational cartels requires robust international collaboration, including intelligence sharing, joint operations, and extradition agreements. Organizations like INTERPOL and regional task forces play crucial roles in facilitating this cooperation. Cartels exploit regions with weak governance, political instability, and limited law enforcement capabilities. These areas often serve as safe havens or operational bases for their activities.

Legal and Policy Limitations:

Differences in drug policies and enforcement strategies across regions create inconsistencies that cartels exploit. For example, lenient drug laws in one area can serve as a loophole for operations based in stricter regions. Strategies that focus solely on law enforcement can lead to human rights abuses, such as extrajudicial killings and arbitrary detentions. Ensuring that anticartel efforts respect human rights is essential for maintaining public trust and legitimacy. Effective legal and policy frameworks require adequate resources for implementation, including funding for law enforcement, judicial processes, and social services. Limited resources can hinder the effectiveness of these efforts.

Innovation in Drug Production and Distribution:

The production of synthetic drugs, such as fentanyl and methamphetamine, poses new

challenges. These substances can be manufactured in small, clandestine labs, making them

harder to detect and disrupt compared to traditional drugs like cocaine and heroin. The rise of

the internet and dark net marketplaces has revolutionized drug distribution. These platforms

allow for anonymous transactions and global reach, complicating traditional law enforcement

methods. Cartels continuously innovate smuggling methods to evade detection. This includes

using drones, submarines, and sophisticated concealment techniques in vehicles and cargo.

Cartels invest in research to improve drug potency, create new substances, and develop

methods to bypass security measures. This constant innovation keeps law enforcement in a

reactive position, always needing to catch up.

Verdict delivered by the Apex Court

K. Mohan vs. State of Kerala (2000)¹

Facts

The appellant was convicted for possessing a substantial quantity of narcotic drugs. He

challenged the conviction on the grounds that the search and seizure were conducted without

proper authorization.

Judgement

The Supreme Court held that non-compliance with procedural safeguards, such as obtaining

proper authorization for search and seizure, could lead to the acquittal of the accused. In this

case, the Court found that the search and seizure were conducted in violation of the prescribed

procedures, leading to the acquittal of the appellant.

Gurbax Singh vs. State of Haryana (2001)²

Facts

¹ K. Mohan v. State of Kerala, (2000) 10 SCC 222

² Gurbax Singh v. State of Haryana, (2001) 3 SCC 28

The appellant was convicted under the NDPS Act for possessing a commercial quantity of narcotic drugs. He appealed on the grounds that his confession to the police was obtained under

duress and should not have been admitted as evidence.

Judgement

The Supreme Court held that confessions made to police officers are inadmissible as evidence unless corroborated by independent evidence. In this case, the Court found that the prosecution had failed to provide independent corroborative evidence to support the confession, leading to

the acquittal of the appellant.

Mohd. Noor Khan vs. State of Rajasthan(2008)³

Facts

The appellant, Mohd. Noor Khan, was convicted under the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (NDPS Act) for possessing a large quantity of narcotics. He challenged his conviction on the grounds that the prosecution had not followed the mandatory procedural

requirements prescribed by the NDPS Act.

Judgement

The Supreme Court upheld the conviction, emphasizing the following points:

The Court reiterated that the procedural safeguards under Sections 42 and 50 of the NDPS Act are mandatory. Any non-compliance with these provisions could vitiate the trial and lead to acquittal.

In this case, the Court found that the search and seizure were conducted following the law, and there was no violation of procedural safeguard.

The Court highlighted the importance of credible and corroborative evidence in securing convictions under the NDPS Act. The evidence presented by the prosecution was found to be reliable and sufficient to uphold the conviction.

. . . .

³ Mohd. Noor Khan v. State of Rajasthan, (2008) 8 SCC 450

E. Micheal Raj vs. Intelligence Officer, Narcotic Control Bureau (2008)⁴

Facts:

The appellant was convicted for possessing heroin. He challenged the conviction on the

grounds that the quantity of the drug should be determined by the actual content of the narcotic

substance rather than the total weight of the mixture containing the narcotic substance.

Judgement

The Supreme Court held that for determining the small or commercial quantity under the NDPS

Act, it is the actual content of the narcotic drug or psychotropic substance in the mixture that

should be considered, not the total weight of the mixture. This interpretation significantly

impacted the sentencing under the NDPS Act.

Union of India vs. Shah Alam and Anr. (2009)⁵

Facts

The respondents were acquitted by the High Court despite being caught with a commercial

quantity of narcotic drugs. The Union of India appealed against this acquittal.

Judgement

The Supreme Court reversed the acquittal, holding that the prosecution had successfully

established the guilt of the respondents. The Court emphasized the importance of adhering to

procedural safeguards and ensuring that the prosecution's evidence was credible and reliable.

The Supreme Court reinstated the conviction and sentence imposed by the trial court.

Arif Khan @ Agha Khan vs. State of Uttarakhand (2018)⁶

Facts

The appellant was convicted for possessing a commercial quantity of charas (cannabis resin).

⁴ E. Micheal Raj v. Intelligence Officer, Narcotic Control Bureau, (2008) 5 SCC 161

⁵ Union of India v. Shah Alam and Anr., (2009) 16 SCC 644

⁶ Arif Khan @ Agha Khan v. State of Uttarakhand, (2018) 18 SCC 380

He challenged the conviction on the grounds that the mandatory procedural requirements under the NDPS Act were not followed during his arrest and the seizure of the contraband.

Judgement

The Supreme Court acquitted the appellant, emphasizing that the mandatory procedural requirements under Sections 42 and 50 of the NDPS Act were not followed. The Court held that non-compliance with these procedural safeguards vitiated the trial and the conviction could not be sustained.

Conclusion

The menace of drug cartels in India presents a multifaceted threat that impacts the nation's socio-economic stability, public health, and security. These illicit organizations have evolved significantly over time, employing sophisticated operational strategies and leveraging socio-economic vulnerabilities to expand their reach. Despite stringent legal frameworks, such as the NDPS Act and the Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, the fight against drug cartels remains daunting due to corruption, legal limitations, and the adaptability of these criminal networks.

Enhanced international cooperation and intelligence sharing are imperative to disrupt transnational operations. Domestically, strengthening law enforcement capabilities, ensuring adherence to procedural safeguards, and addressing socio-economic drivers of the drug trade are essential. Additionally, community engagement and robust rehabilitation programs are vital to mitigate the impact of addiction and prevent vulnerable populations from being drawn into the drug trade.

The case studies reviewed underscore the importance of procedural compliance and the necessity of corroborative evidence in securing convictions. They also illustrate the complexities and challenges faced by the judiciary in balancing stringent enforcement with fair trial rights. Moving forward, India must bolster its legal and policy frameworks, ensuring they are both robust and adaptable to the evolving landscape of drug trafficking. By fostering a coordinated and holistic response, India can aim to dismantle these illicit empires and safeguard its future from the insidious grip of drug cartels.