MARXIST THEORY OF THE STATE AND ITS RELEVANCE TO CONTEMPORARY INDIA

Vaibhav Sharma, B.B.A.LL.B., National Law University Odisha

ABSTRACT

The Marxist theory of the state offers a critical approach to the idea of the connection between political power and economic structures. This theory, which was formulated mostly through the writings of Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels, is of the view that the state is like a tool of class subjugation and that it is mainly used to fulfill the interests of the economically superior class.[1] This view holds that the political institutions, the law and the policies that the people have are usually an embodiment of the interests of the people that own economic resources. The Marxist analysis has become popular again in modern societies (especially in the developing democracies like India) because of discussions on inequality, corporate power, labour rights, and property ownership. Even though India exists in the constitutional democratic system, the ongoing economic inequalities and tensions between capital and labour are indicators that there are some aspects of Marxism theory that are still applicable to the role of modern state functioning. This research paper explores the essential concepts of the Marxist state theory and discusses their applicability to the modern India. It deals with topics of economic inequality, corporate power in policy making, labour relations, and land acquisition wars. The study will examine whether the Indian state is more of a neutral welfare institution or it is more of a structural bias towards the advantage of the dominant classes in the economy. By using qualitative research of the academic literature and recent socioeconomic trends, the paper aims at evaluating the explanatory power of the Marxist theory in understanding the contemporary politics and governance in India.

[1] Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels, The Communist Manifesto (Penguin Classics 2002) 37.

INTRODUCTION

Different political theories have had different interpretations of the concept of the state. The liberal theory tends to consider the state as a neutral body that ensures protection of rights and upholds order. Marxist theory, on the contrary, argues with this assumption and considers that the state is directly connected with the economic organization of the society.[2] Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels developed the Marxist theory of the state during the nineteenth century. This theory also opines that the state is a product of the division of classes in society and exists to serve the interests of keeping the dominant economic classes on the top. Marx held that political institutions like legislatures, courts, and administrative structures tend to act in a manner that safeguards the interest of the owners of economic resources. In the capitalist societies, the bourgeois is the dominant society; they own the means of production industries, capital and land.[3] Proletariat or the working class rely on salaries to survive. Marx also assumed that the state is eventually used to sustain this economic system through keeping social order as well as securing private property. In contemporary democratic societies, this has changed drastically. The Marxist assertion that the state exists to serve the interests of the elite seems to be contested by welfare policies, social justice programs, and democratic participation. However, increasing levels of economic inequalities, business impact on the policy-making process, and labour disputes make people wonder whether the state a neutral institution is. This paper aims to discuss the applicability of the Marxist theory to the role of state in modern India.

IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

Marxist theory is still significant in the study of the relationship between economic power and political authority due to the critical perspective of the theory.[4] The issues of inequality, corporate power, and social justice have become more crucial in countries where the economic change occurs fast, like in India. Since economic liberalization in 1991 India has been enjoying considerable growth in the economy. Nevertheless, it has also been marked by increased income inequality, distress in rural areas, as well as debates on how big companies have contributed to economic policy-making processes. Such changes have revived interest on the

Marxist view of politics that focuses on the relationship between economic networks and state

[2] Andrew Heywood, Political Theory: An Introduction (Palgrave Macmillan 2015) 112.
[3] Karl Marx, Capital: A Critique of Political Economy (Penguin Classics 1990) 274.
[4] Ralph Miliband, The State in Capitalist Society (Basic Books 1969) 23.

authority. Knowledge of the applicability of the Marxist theory to modern India can thus assist the scholars and policymakers to critically analyze the effects of economic interests on the political decision and the policy of the people.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The paper is devoted to the theoretical grounds of Marxist state theory and its relevance to the modern India. The economic inequality, labor relations, corporate influence and land acquisition policies are the main aspects of the analysis where the Marxist interpretations can offer insights. The research fails to give a comprehensive analysis of every detail of Indian politics but instead it concentrates on a few socio-economic problems that emphasize the interplay of economic power with the state institutions.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

The primary research questions will be: To consider the main postulates of the Marxist theory of the state. To examine the connection between political power and economic power in modern India. to assess the suitability of the Marxist theory in the explanation of socioeconomic inequalities in India. To determine whether Indian state policies represent interests of powerful economic classes.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

What are the major tenets of the Marxist state theory?

What does the Marxist theory explain about the connection between economic structures and political institutions?

How far is the Marxist theory applicable in the study of the modern Indian politics?

Are the state policies in India based on the interests of classes or social welfare goals?

LITERATURE REVIEW

It is due to its popularity that Marxist theory of the state has been debated and talked about by various political theorists and scholars. According to the classical Marxist view, the state is

regarded as a tool with which the ruling elite will continue to control the society.[5] Karl Marx advocated that the state is a result of a conflict between classes and functions to safeguard the interests of the leading economic order. In their books like The Communist Manifesto, Marx and Engels defined the modern state as a political form of organization that governs the affairs of the bourgeoisies. This theory was developed by later Marxism thinkers[6]. Vladimir Lenin believed that it is impossible to reform the capitalist state but replace it with a proletariat state by a revolutionary process. His The State and Revolution stressed the fact that the state is the tool of the class oppression. Marxist analysis has also been applied to developing countries by modern scholars.[7] Research into political economy has tended to emphasise the ways in which state policy concerning industrialisation, labour markets and land utilisation could be indicative of the interests of dominant economic forces. A number of scholars in India have studied the effects of corporate groups, land acquisition and labour reform using a Marxist paradigm.

Nonetheless, opponents of this theory suggest that the Marxist theory is not sufficient to explain the intricacies of the Indian society. The political outcomes are also influenced by social structures like caste, religion and regional identity. This is why nowadays political scientists tend to mix Marxist analysis with other theoretical statements to get a clearer insight into the dynamics of the state power.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study is a qualitative and analytical research. The research is based on secondary data such as academic books, research articles, policy reports, and government publications as the major sources. The study employs a theoretical approach to study the Marxist concept of state and transfers it to the modern socio-economic developments in India. The literature which is relevant in the field of political economy, labour relations, and economic inequality has been examined to comprehend how Marxism theory can be applied to interpret these phenomena. Other examples used in the study refer to the case studies relating to corporate influence, the labour reformation as well as land acquisition policies to explain how economic interests interact with the state institutions.

[5] Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels, The Communist Manifesto (Penguin Classics 2002) 44.
[6] Vladimir Lenin, The State and Revolution (Penguin Classics 2009) 19.
[7] Bob Jessop, State Theory: Putting the Capitalist State in its Place (Polity Press 1990) 7.

DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

The examination of the existing literature and socio-economic information indicates that a number of issues of modern Indian society indicate tendencies recreational in the views of the Marxist theory. To begin with, economic inequality in India has grown tremendously in the past few decades[8]. The economic liberalisation has promoted fast rate of industrialization and growth of big firms. Business conglomerates like the Reliance Industries and Adani Group have gained a lot of economy.[9] Second, labour relations in India indicate a great inequality between the owners of capitals and workers. It has a high percentage of workforce in the informal sectors where labour protection is minimal.[10] Labour law reforms have frequently been discussed in the context of contradictions between efficiency and protection of workers. Third, land acquisition dispute has become a significant problem in various regions in India. The infrastructure development and industrial projects and mining can be associated with the requirement of huge areas of land, which in some cases can provoke the settlement of rural populations and other tribal groups. These results show that economic interests tend to have a considerable impact on the formulation of public policies and developmental plans.

DISCUSSIONS

This research confirms some parts of the Marxist explanation of the state but also indicates its shortcomings in the explanation of the modern India. The discussion reveals that political decision-making is still affected by economic power. Corporate groups tend to have a lot of resources that can make them influence policy debates and priorities of development. This fact is in line with the Marxist view that economic elites can influence the state institutions. Meanwhile, the conventional Marxist model is complicated by the democratic form of India. There are the mechanisms of citizen influence on state policies which include the election, social welfare, and constitutional guarantees. The projects of the government concerning poverty reduction, guarantee of employment and food security imply that the state also tries to meet the demands of less powerful layers of the society. The caste and social identity are another critical weakness of the Marxist paradigm in the Indian context. Indian society is not based on a single type of inequality as the division among classes as Marx intended to focus on. These factors are working in complex interactions with the economic class. Thus, the

[8] Prabhat Patnaik, Accumulation and Stability Under Capitalism (Oxford University Press 1997) 96.
[9] Francine Frankel, India's Political Economy 1947–2004 (Oxford University Press 2005) 403.
[10] Utsa Patnaik, 'The State and Agrarian Relations in India' (2007) Journal of Peasant Studies 152.

Marxist theory adds a lot to the comprehension of the connection between the economic power and the political authority[11], but it has to be supplemented with other approaches to obtain the complete picture of the state operation in modern India. This analysis has shown that the implication of the policy is that there must be a balance between social justice and economic development. The necessity to protect labour, corporate influence, as well as vulnerable populations, is vital towards developing an inclusive development model.

CONCLUSION

The Marxist state theory provides a potent tool in the analysis of how the structures of the economy are related to political authority. Through the focus on the importance of the relations of classes and the interests of economics, Marxist analysis shows the possibility of the penetration of state institutions by the priorities of the most powerful groups in the society. The paper concludes that several current trends in India such as economic disparity, the role of corporations and the struggle of labour can be viewed in Marxist light. These concerns show that economic influence is still playing a major role in the political decision making. But the Indian case also underscores the shortcomings of the Marxist explanation only. The politics of social welfare and the role of democratic institutions, as well as caste and identity politics, make the political environment more complicated than the classical model of Marxism would have suggested. Nevertheless, in spite of these weaknesses, the Marxist theory is a significant analytical resource in explaining the processes of power, inequality, and development in contemporary societies. It is not relevant since it does not give a full explanation but presents a critical analysis that inspires one to dig deeper to understand the links between economic power and political authority.[12]

8. RECOMMENDATIONS

Several policy interventions can be used to solve the challenges revealed in this research. To begin with, there should be tougher labour laws that protect workers and guarantee their fair wages and safe working environments, especially in the informal sector. Second, clear regulatory systems need to be put in place to deal with too much corporate interference in policy formulation and encourage free competition. Third, the policies of land acquisition should focus on the rights and livelihoods of the locals, mostly the tribal and small farmers.

[11] Atul Kohli, 'Politics of Economic Growth in India' (2006) World Politics 127.
[12] David Harvey, A Companion to Marx's Capital (Verso Books 2010) 15.

Lastly, social welfare programs that would curb inequality should remain enhanced so as to make sure that economic growth will cut across all sections of society.