NAVIGATING THE SKIES: UNDERSTANDING DRONE REGULATIONS IN INDIA

Shravit Arora, BBA LLB (Hons)., Amity Law School, Noida, Amity University, Uttar Pradesh

INTRODUCTION

The evolution of innovation and its implementation to everyday functioning have made life simpler for people. Without the aid of technology, humans are never able to succeed on their own in any area. The innovations are used in a broad spectrum of businesses, including those in the wellbeing, skills training, automotive, engineering, paramedics, farming, pleasure activities, and power generation. They are also used in e-commerce, climatology, ecological supervision, and other fields. The way we utilise technology also develops as requirements of the individuals grow. In today's fast running society people attempt to reduce the time impact and want to accomplish more in a shorter amount of time, which aids in financial development. Humans are incapable of providing facilities in numerous locations. Different methods are used to get around this, which lowers the amount of time required. One of them that has recently been embraced by a variety of service sectors to rapidly and efficiently fulfil the goal is innovation of drone. The automated airborne vehicle, also known as a drone, is typically operated directly by a ground operator using a controller and transmission tools. The drone's primary power source is its energy store, or cell. (Krishna, 2019)

Among the generating units used by the device to extend its operating time is solar energy. The innovation is so cutting-edge it's capable of being managed and tracked by a smartphone application. Due to its lower cost, small businesses can engage in it to increase their profits and establish quick and effective client connections. This paper describes the different drone models and their parts. There is a comprehensive catalogue of Indian companies that manufacture drones. It is described how drones can be used in a variety of applications and how best to use them in India.

GUIDELINES FOR RESPONSIBLE AND SAFE DRONE OPERATION: A COMPREHENSIVE SET OF RULES AND REGULATIONS

Realizing the immense potential of drones, nations all over globe are spending in the development of new drone technologies. However, they are also aware of the dangers that come with unrestricted drone use, which is why they have established regulations. The Federal Aviation Authority of the United States of America was the first organization to raise this. Other nations swiftly followed suit. The DGCA of India has also released a variety of RPAS laws to control the airspace across the country.

even though drones have been used in farmland for the past 20 years, few laws and policies worldwide govern their deployment. Despite the usage of drones in India being constrained in comparison to the other superpowers in the world like the united nations of America and China, New Delhi grabbed the opportunity to build regulations of worldwide administration partially as the growth in the unmanned aircraft sector might have severe protection repercussions for our nation, however, it was equally beneficial for India to take the responsibility and safeguard its preferences. The International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) is currently in charge of developing drone operation regulations and legislation on a global scale. Even though it started working on Drones in 2007, the ICAO didn't release its first collection of regulations in the shape of Directive 328 until 2011. (Sabyasachi)

The Indian government introduced an unmanned aerial vehicle regulation in December 2018 that permits the use of drones, in addition to, for example, their employment in infrastructure projects. The DGCA and the Government of India. Policies tacitly enable the use of RPAS (drones, UAVs), with the exception of spraying pesticides until explicitly authorized. As of right now, India's UAS Rules18 - Part VI regulate how drones are operated. Protocols for the issuance of Unique Identification Numbers (UIN), Unmanned Aircraft Operator Permits (UAOP), and associated actions are provided in the DGCA RPAS Guidance Manual17.

Below is a list of the drone's basic rules:

- You should stay away from crowded places or highly populated.
- regions.
- Respect other people's privacy.

- UAVs are prohibited five kilometres from airports and in regions where planes are flying.
- Only travel during the day and in fair weather.
- It is against the law to use drones or video drones in critical locations, such as government or military buildings.
- The drone operator must have completed drone flight training and be at least 18 years old.
- The drone must have a license tag with the operator's information and a phone number on it.
- When using RPAS, a visual line of sight must be kept.
- Only one Quadcopter may be flown by a single individual at once.
- Drone flight is forbidden 50 kilometres or less from the nation's boundary.
- It is against the law to fly a drone in excess of 500 meters offshore.
- In a similar vein, drone use is prohibited in Delhi within 5 kilometres of Vijay Chowk.
- It is also prohibited to fly over national conservation areas or animal refuges.
- In order to protect themselves against the responsibility that may result from accidents, each drone must have current third-party insurance coverage.
- When operating a drone that weighs more than 250 grams, basic drone regulations must be observed.

Drone activities are prohibited in India in a number of restricted areas, including airports, military installations, and naval facilities. Drones are frequently used for photography, videography and demonstrations by the police, as well as in farmland, in India. Remotely Piloted Aircraft System (RPAS) is how the DGCA refers to drones. The "no permission, no take-off" (NPNT) regulation is implemented by the Ministry of Civil Aviation's Digital Sky

tool, a pioneering national unmanned traffic management (UTM) tool. To ensure that drones stay on the authorized flight routes, the UTM acts as a traffic supervisor in the unmanned aircraft area and closely collaborates with the military and private air traffic managers (ATCs).

The purpose of Order 1.0 is to permit visible line-of-sight activities only during the day at the greatest height of 400 feet. deploying is not permitted in the Red Zone, restricted airspace is allowed in the Amber Zone, and automatic authorization is allowed in the Green Zone. To create a useful framework for governance for UAVs in India, the issues surrounding legislation, ethics, and execution need to be handled with care at the same time keeping in mind the current legislative and ethical standards and adjusting them to the rapid technological changes.

As India formalizes its legislative infrastructure, it must also research the current guidelines frameworks in other nations and incorporate the most effective strategies. Instructions alone though, are insufficient; the key is to ensure execution and compliance. This basically means that directives and notices released by national governments and international organizations like the ICAO must be transformed into legislative and regulatory tools that require national administrations to execute them. However, establishing rules and guidelines for responsible drone behaviour is an important initial move.

GOVERNMENT SURVEILLANCE: BALANCING SECURITY AND PRIVACY IN THE DIGITAL AGE

Drones give police departments the capacity to conduct monitoring in ways that other techs cannot. Law enforcement agencies and authorities are exempt from the VLOS limitation that is placed on drones used by civilians, as is the case in many other nations, including India. Instead of focused monitoring, UAVs have a greater probability to be utilized for widespread spying. For instance, to maintain peace and security during a significant celebration hosted throughout the city, Mumbai's police department deployed drones to monitor processions. it is among the first police agency in India to have its own collection of RPAS with night vision and high-definition cameras is the Karnataka Police. They bought 12 unmanned aircraft made in South Korea to stop unauthorized mining in the region. The Taj Mahal is being violated by unauthorized drones, so the Agra Police declared their plan to purchase "drone killers" to disable them. Numerous safety incidents at tourist destinations were already a consequence of the unlawful use of drones. Political parties and the government will be safer thanks to the use of lethal drones, the Uttar Pradesh Police declared in Lucknow. The assessment of legal

restrictions in monitoring activities by the government is a problem. (RAJESWARI PILLAI RAJAGOPALAN, 2018)

THE PRIVACY CONUNDRUM FOR UAVS: NAVIGATING LEGAL AND ETHICAL BOUNDARIES TO PROTECT INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS

India just declared residents' right to privacy to be a fundamental guarantee. In order to grant individuals the right to privacy, the Indian Supreme Court reversed earlier rulings. One Supreme Court justice emphasized that the right to privacy includes certain types of drone privacy violations and identified sanctuary (protecting from intrusive surveillance) as one of the key elements of that right. When enacting a law on data protection akin to the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which is scheduled for discussion as a measure in the Legislative Assembly and which, upon authorization, will be enacted as law. Unmanned aircraft breaches confidentiality prompted the DGCA to add one clause, Article 12.21, to the memo they published. RPA operators/remote pilots are responsible for making sure that any organization's privacy standards are not violated in any way, according to Article 12.21. The " security norms" stated in Article 12.21 need to be clarified more, and some amendments should be made to meet standards of excellence for adhering to them. There is a requirement to fully control the confidentiality of information because there is partial governance of the various stages of data gathering using drones and its following processing or distribution by the DGCA directive.

The Information Technology (Amendment) Act of 2008 forbids taking pictures of an individual's private parts. (Seharwat, 2023) during the course of their journey, a number of UAVs continuously record video footage, making it difficult to prove that the purpose was to do so in court. In India, there have been many instances of females being photographed out in the open that have been prosecuted under the Indian Penal Code's sections on "Eve teasing" and "outraging the modesty of a woman." One could contend that the 2011 Right to Privacy Bill proposal, which forbids both the collection of one's private data and the monitoring of a human being, comes the nearest to addressing the situation surrounding the legality of photos taken by UAVs. On private versus public land, it could be distinguished whether someone was photographed. On public land, you may photograph people if you want to in some nations. Nevertheless, the boundary of confidential and open space has long been questioned and with the residing case law in nations like the UK, legislation relying on this differentiation probably

not have legitimacy for robotic aircraft at all as lacking a description of the private atmosphere, it shall be challenging to assesses the fact that those pictures were obtained from the private or open estates by drone.

TRESPASSING IN THE 21ST CENTURY: DEFINING BOUNDARIES AND LEGAL RAMIFICATIONS IN THE DIGITAL AGE

The laws regulating unmanned aircraft trespass on privately owned land are another element of legal responsibility. Significant concerns about the elements that will decide whether using a UAV on land owned by someone else is considered an infringement comes up. The DGCA memo doesn't answer this particular query. When is it possible for a person to accuse drone activities of causing an inconvenience on their land?

The development of aerospace, however, rendered society aware that there existed a restriction to the scope of privately owned land, above as well as below earth. However, there is no law in place that firmly establishes this height above the earth. United States v. Causby, decided by the United States Supreme Court in 1946, is a notable case that bears some significance for these inquiries. Chickens owned by the Causbys were perishing from terror brought on by US Air Force planes flying low over the Causby property. The Causbys sued the US government because this had directly and demonstrably cost them money. The sky above a minimal safe height for flying, according to the Supreme Court, is a "public highway and part of the general population's domain," which is relevant to the drone situation. What is evident is that to prevent Causby-like conditions, guidelines for defining how much private "airspace" over any private land must be defined. (Padmanabhan, 2017)

A minimal flight height could be one of these requirements. A minimal height for flying over individual properties could be determined for drones of different sizes, effectively defining the "airspace" of each individual's property. When determining airspace, this technique must take into account several challenges, such as whether the airspace is computed from ground level or measured from the topmost level of a built structure. Choosing a suitable elevation will guarantee that drones won't be an inconvenience or violate the confidentiality of individuals on private land, given the present and anticipated future of technical developments.

The intention of the unmanned aircraft user is another factor that might be utilized in deciding whether there has been an intrusion. Although it may be the hardest to determine, this does

offer a moral foundation for determining whether there has been an intrusion. Instances, where such privacy invasions are intentional, can be categorically classified as trespassing.

DECODING LEGAL LIABILITY: A COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE TO UNDERSTANDING LIABILITY IN DRONE LAWS

The users of Drones are responsible for them legally, according to the proposed DGCA Standards. It is assumed that the user will make sure the car can fly and operate as it should. Although it is optimal for consumers to be able to tell whether their drone is operating correctly, this goal cannot always be fulfilled. Not each customer possesses the technical knowledge necessary to evaluate the state of their Quadcopter. It seems unethical to blame the user and pursue civil action towards them in the case of a mishap caused by a breakdown of the machine its own.

An external party's culpability strategy is employed in other similar instances including auto collisions, minimizing the culpability of either side. The DGCA memo does not discuss any aspects of third-party responsibility. In the instance of drones, arrangements will need to be established to avoid third-party accountability. Third-party coverage methods will replace third-party responsibility, which will simplify the resolution of responsibility disputes. (RA Nugraha, 2016)

Furthermore, it could be claimed that drones would fall under the scope of the 1952 Rome Declaration on Destruction Caused by Foreign Aerial Vehicles to Other Parties on the Surface. The responsibility for the accidental wreckage of aircraft is constrained by the Protocol, yet accountability for deliberate harm is uncapped. This idea could be included in the regulatory guidelines created for the use of unmanned aerial vehicles.

DRONE LAWS IN JAPAN AND AUSTRALIA: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF REGULATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS

In Japan, only after an unfortunate event in which a miniature drone was discovered in April 2015 on the top of the prime minister's office complex in Tokyo did Japan create a preliminary set of rules. In response, the governing Liberal Democratic Party (LDP) in Japan put forth a measure to the Diet (Japanese Parliament) in June 2015. The event made it urgent to control drone use. In July 2015, a different measure with changes to the Aviation Act was filed, and

both of those bills were later approved by the Diet. The government Department of Land, Infrastructure and Transportation (MLIT) must grant approval for a user to operate a UAV in areas with aviation traffic, such as airports and other approach areas, or in areas above 150 meters under the new rules. There are also limitations for unmanned aircraft operation during the hours of daybreak and twilight, further adding to the necessity to keep at least thirty metres away from humans and other objects. Infringement can result in fines of up to 500,000 yen ($4,000 approximately). The purpose of unmanned aerial vehicles in the commercial setting has been taken into consideration when Japan's drone laws have been developed. However, stricter laws for drones used for hobbies and leisure have been driven by worries about militant acts and other safety concerns. (AA Tarr, 2021)

With a preliminary set of rules being released in 2002, Australia became one of the initial countries to create a governing structure for unmanned aerial vehicles. The Australian Authority of Civil Aviation Safety is in charge of overseeing security and governing drone activities in a variety of contexts, including recreational, recreational-only, and commercial operations. In September 2016, fresh laws governing drone activities were published, with a focus on risk mitigation and protection. Accordingly, the updated regulations are intended to be less onerous from a judicial and regulatory standpoint, providing minimal-risk activities. Minor business drone users are also excluded from the updated regulations' $1,400 administrative charges as well as the burdensome records and paperwork requirements. Additionally, landowners are permitted to fly unmanned planes with a maximum weight of 25 kg on their grounds without obtaining permission. There are still some ambiguous places in the rule that calls for more clarification. The term "commercial gain" can be construed in many different ways, so a drone activity that does not pursue any "commercial gain" can function without any authorization. Although they may not have a clear business advantage, using UAVs to promote a commodity or to record videos to post to YouTube can help to raise awareness of a particular sector.

CONCLUSION

The development of drone rules and policies in India has advanced significantly in response to confidentiality, protection and welfare concerns. The Civil Aviation Requirements (CAR) and Digital Sky Platform are two pre-emptive measures the Indian government has done to control drone activities. According to India's drone regulations, owners have to label their drones and

acquire appropriate licenses before using them. To operate drones, the person flying them must also possess the necessary education and licensing, and different types of drones are subject to different regulations. In order to stop illegal drone flights in prohibited regions, the Indian government has also named No Drone Zones, which include airports, military sites, and other areas of concern. The requirement that drone operators acquire permission from individuals and property owners before conducting monitoring or taking pictures or videos also addresses privacy concerns. (Custers, 2016)

However, there are still some issues with successfully implementing drone regulations in India. Unlawful drone flights and infractions are caused by drone owners and operators not being informed of the rules, which is a serious problem. The execution of the No Drone Zones and assuring efficient enforcement of the rules are other issues. Despite these difficulties, India's drone regulations represent a constructive move in the direction of encouraging safe and ethical drone operations. With ongoing initiatives to increase knowledge, simplify the permitting procedure, and enhance compliance measures, India can maximize the advantages of unmanned aircraft innovation while minimizing any dangers or worries.

Bibliography

Krishna, R. P. (2019). *ICAO Scientific Review: Analytics and Management Research*.

Sabyasachi, R. P. (n.d.). Future of Drone Technology in India. *Journal of Xi'an Shiyou University*.

RAJESWARI PILLAI RAJAGOPALAN, R. K. (2018). Drones: Guidelines, Regulations, and Policy gaps in India. *Observer research fgoundation*.

Seharwat, V. (2023). Drone privacy laws: a comparative of the US, UK, and India. *Emerald Publishing Limited*.

Padmanabhan, A. (2017). Civilian drones and India's regulatory response. *Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.*

RA Nugraha, D. J. (2016). Urgency for legal framework on drones: lessons for Indonesia, India, and Thailand. *Indon. L. Rev*.

AA Tarr, J. T. (2021). *Drone Law and Policy: Global Development, Risks, Regulation and Insurance.* Routledge.

Custers, B. (2016). *Future of Drone use.* The Hague: TMC Asser Press.