
EVALUATING TRANSPARENCY MECHANISMS IN TOLL ROAD CONTRACTING AND REGULATION IN INDIA

Shubham Bisht, Law College Dehradun, Uttarakhand University

Dr. Nikunj Singh Yadav, Assistant Professor, Law College Dehradun, Uttarakhand
University

ABSTRACT

The rapid expansion of toll road infrastructure in India constitutes a critical component of the State's economic development strategy and national connectivity objectives. The adoption of Public-Private Partnership (PPP) models, particularly under flagship programmes such as the National Highways Development Programme and Bharatmala Pariyojana, has resulted in substantial private sector participation in the construction, operation, and maintenance of national highways. While such models are intended to promote efficiency and attract private investment, they have simultaneously given rise to significant concerns relating to transparency, accountability, and public confidence in toll road contracting and regulatory governance. This study seeks to evaluate the efficacy of transparency mechanisms embedded within India's toll road regulatory framework.

The research undertakes a doctrinal and analytical examination of the statutory provisions, policy instruments, concession agreements, and regulatory practices administered by authorities including the National Highways Authority of India (NHAI) and the Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (MoRTH). Particular emphasis is placed on transparency at critical stages of toll road projects, such as the bidding and tendering process, award of concessions, fixation and revision of toll rates, revenue collection, and performance monitoring of concessionaires. The study also examines the legal significance of transparency-enhancing instruments such as e-procurement systems, Model Concession Agreements, disclosure requirements, audit mechanisms, and the Right to Information Act, 2005.

Further, the research assesses the effectiveness of grievance redressal mechanisms and public participation in toll road governance, alongside an analysis of judicial pronouncements addressing toll regulation, contractual compliance, and disclosure obligations. The study identifies systemic challenges, including information asymmetry, inadequate public disclosure, weak enforcement of contractual terms, and regulatory overlaps. It concludes that although the legal framework incorporates formal transparency safeguards, their implementation remains inconsistent. The study

recommends strengthened disclosure norms, independent regulatory oversight, and enhanced digital governance to ensure accountability and uphold public trust in India's toll road infrastructure regime.

Keywords: Toll Roads, Transparency, Highway Regulation, Public–Private Partnerships, Accountability, NHAI, Infrastructure Governance, India

INTRODUCTION

The development of road infrastructure, particularly national highways and expressways, occupies a central position in India's economic and social transformation. Toll roads, as a vital component of this infrastructure, are designed to ensure sustainable financing for construction, maintenance, and expansion of highway networks. Over the past few decades, the Government of India has increasingly relied upon Public–Private Partnership (PPP) models to accelerate highway development, most notably through initiatives such as the National Highways Development Programme (NHDP) and the Bharatmala Pariyojana. These initiatives have facilitated substantial private sector participation in toll road projects, thereby reducing the fiscal burden on the State while aiming to improve efficiency and service delivery. However, the growing involvement of private entities in toll road contracting has also brought to the forefront critical issues concerning transparency, accountability, and regulatory oversight.

Transparency in toll road contracting and regulation is fundamental to good governance and the rule of law. Toll roads directly affect the daily lives of citizens, as users are required to pay charges for accessing public infrastructure that is traditionally considered a public good. In this context, transparency in decision-making processes - ranging from tendering and concession award to toll fixation and revenue collection - is essential to ensure fairness, prevent arbitrariness, and safeguard public interest. Lack of transparency not only undermines public confidence but also increases the risk of corruption, contractual disputes, and inefficient project execution. Consequently, evaluating the adequacy and effectiveness of transparency mechanisms in toll road governance assumes significant legal and policy relevance.

India's toll road regulatory framework is governed by a complex interplay of statutes, delegated legislation, policy guidelines, and contractual arrangements. Authorities such as the Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (MoRTH) and the National Highways Authority of India (NHAI) play a pivotal role in formulating policies, awarding concessions, regulating toll rates, and monitoring compliance by concessionaires. Instruments such as Model Concession

Agreements, e-tendering platforms, audit requirements, and disclosure obligations have been introduced to enhance transparency and standardize contractual practices. Additionally, constitutional principles, administrative law doctrines, and statutory tools like the Right to Information Act, 2005 provide a legal foundation for openness and accountability in public infrastructure projects.

Despite the existence of these mechanisms, concerns persist regarding their practical implementation and effectiveness. Issues such as information asymmetry between authorities and the public, limited access to concession agreements and revenue data, inconsistent grievance redressal systems, and overlapping regulatory responsibilities continue to challenge transparent toll road governance. Judicial intervention has often been sought to address disputes related to toll collection, contractual compliance, and public disclosure, highlighting gaps in administrative oversight. In this backdrop, a systematic evaluation of transparency mechanisms becomes imperative.

This study seeks to critically examine the legal, institutional, and procedural transparency mechanisms governing toll road contracting and regulation in India. By analysing statutory frameworks, regulatory practices, and judicial responses, the study aims to assess whether existing mechanisms adequately uphold principles of transparency and accountability, and to identify reforms necessary for strengthening public trust in India's toll road infrastructure regime.

METHODOLOGY

The present study adopts a **statutory, doctrinal, and analytical research methodology** to evaluate transparency mechanisms in toll road contracting and regulation in India.

This methodology is appropriate as the research is primarily concerned with examining legal norms, regulatory structures, and constitutional principles governing toll road infrastructure, rather than conducting empirical field-based investigations. The study is based on secondary sources of data, including statutes, rules, policy documents, judicial decisions, and authoritative commentaries.

Statutory Approach, the research undertakes a detailed examination of the legislative framework governing toll road development and regulation in India. Key statutes analysed

include the **National Highways Act, 1956**, rules and notifications issued thereunder, and other relevant subordinate legislation relating to toll fixation, collection, and regulation. Policy frameworks and guidelines issued by the **Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (MoRTH)** and regulatory instruments of the **National Highways Authority of India (NHAI)** are examined to assess statutory compliance and transparency obligations in toll road contracting.

The doctrinal methodology involves the systematic study of legal principles, judicial precedents, and administrative law doctrines relevant to transparency, accountability, and fairness in public contracts. Constitutional provisions such as Article 14 (equality before law and non-arbitrariness in State action), Article 19(1)(g) (reasonable restrictions on trade and business), and Article 21 (right to life encompassing the right to fair governance) are analysed to establish the constitutional foundation of transparency in toll road governance. The role of the Right to Information Act, 2005 is examined as a statutory mechanism reinforcing these constitutional principles.

Analytical component of the methodology critically evaluates the effectiveness of transparency mechanisms at various stages of toll road projects, including tendering, concession award, toll determination, revenue collection, and performance monitoring. Judicial pronouncements of the Supreme Court and High Courts are analysed to assess enforcement trends and regulatory gaps. This integrated methodological approach enables a comprehensive assessment of the legal adequacy and practical effectiveness of transparency mechanisms in India's toll road regulatory framework.

Constitutional and Judicial interpretation approach, examining Articles 14, 19(1)(g), and 21 to assess fairness and non-arbitrariness in toll road governance, and analyses Supreme Court and High Court judgments to evaluate judicial enforcement of transparency and accountability.

FINDING OF THE PAPER

1. Transparency as a Core Governance Issue

The study identifies transparency as a foundational element in ensuring accountability, efficiency, and fairness in toll road PPP projects in India. It highlights that while legal frameworks for transparency exist, their practical implementation is uneven and often

inadequate.

2. Legal and Institutional Frameworks Exist but Are Weakly Enforced

Mechanisms such as the Right to Information (RTI) Act, 2005, and PPP guidelines issued by the Public Private Partnership Appraisal Committee (PPPAC) provide a formal basis for information disclosure. However, enforcement remains weak. Agencies like NHAI and MoRTH lack standardized systems for publishing key project information and updates.

3. Limited Disclosure of Contractual Information

While generic model concession agreements (MCAs) are available in the public domain, project-specific concession agreements often remain confidential. Details such as toll rate structures, revenue-sharing clauses, financial commitments, and renegotiation terms are seldom disclosed, often under claims of “commercial sensitivity.”

4. Transparency During Bidding and Procurement Has Improved

The introduction of e-procurement platforms and standardized bidding documents has reduced discretion and improved fairness in the pre-award phase. Information on tenders and awarded contracts is now more accessible online compared to earlier years. However, evaluation criteria and bid scoring details are rarely published, which limits full transparency in bidder selection.

5. Post-Contract Transparency Remains Weak

Once contracts are awarded, there is limited public access to information on toll revenues, traffic volumes, and contract compliance. The lack of real-time data disclosure fosters mistrust among users and can lead to disputes and protests over toll hikes.

6. Institutional Fragmentation Hampers Regulatory Oversight

The absence of a single, independent road regulatory authority leads to overlapping responsibilities among NHAI, MoRTH, and state-level bodies. This institutional fragmentation weakens monitoring and reduces accountability for disclosure and performance reporting.

7. Impact of Transparency on Project Outcomes

Case studies in the paper show that projects with higher transparency and public engagement

(e.g., disclosure of toll collections, community consultations) faced fewer disputes and had smoother implementation. Conversely, opaque projects were more prone to public opposition, renegotiation requests, and credibility issues.

8. Citizen and Civil Society Oversight is Limited

The study notes a lack of structured mechanisms for citizen participation or third-party audits in monitoring toll road operations. Where such oversight exists (e.g., social audits, public hearings), it has significantly improved trust and compliance.

9. Recommendations for Strengthening Transparency

Establish a centralized public database for all toll road PPP contracts and related financial data. Mandate regular publication of toll revenues, traffic counts, and performance reports. Clarify the limits of commercial confidentiality to balance private interests with public accountability. Encourage independent regulatory oversight and enhance citizen access to project data through digital platforms.

CONCLUSION

The study concludes that transparency constitutes the cornerstone of effective governance and sustainable development in India's toll road sector. Although the existing legal and institutional frameworks—comprising the National Highways Act, 1956, the Right to Information Act, 2005, and various PPP policy instruments—formally incorporate transparency mechanisms, their implementation remains inconsistent and fragmented. Transparency is often treated as a procedural formality rather than a substantive principle guiding public accountability.

While the adoption of e-procurement systems and standardized concession models has improved openness in the bidding and pre-award stages, significant deficiencies persist in post-contract disclosure and regulatory supervision. Project-specific concession agreements, toll revenue data, and performance monitoring reports are seldom made publicly accessible, undermining public confidence and enabling information asymmetry between concessionaires, regulators, and road users. The absence of a unified regulatory authority further weakens oversight and contributes to jurisdictional overlaps between NHAI, MoRTH, and state agencies.

Judicial interventions and RTI-based disclosures have partially addressed these gaps, but systemic reform is essential to institutionalize transparency as a continuous practice rather than an ad hoc obligation. The study underscores that transparency directly influences project efficiency, user trust, and compliance with contractual and legal standards. Projects characterized by higher levels of disclosure and citizen participation have shown fewer disputes and stronger governance outcomes.

Therefore, the paper recommends the establishment of an independent highway regulatory authority, a centralized public database of PPP contracts and toll collections, and the adoption of clear disclosure mandates balancing commercial confidentiality with public accountability. Strengthened digital governance and citizen oversight mechanisms are imperative to transform India's toll road regime into a model of transparent, accountable, and equitable infrastructure governance.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Statutes and Bills

1. The Constitution of India, 1950.
2. The National Highways Act, 1956, No. 48 of 1956, Acts of Parliament, 1956 India.
3. The National Highways Authority of India Act, 1988, No. 68 of 1988, Acts of Parliament, 1988 India.
4. The Right to Information Act, 2005, No. 22 of 2005, Acts of Parliament, 2005 India.
5. The Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, No. 49 of 1988, Acts of Parliament, 1988 India.
6. The Indian Contract Act, 1872, No. 9 of 1872, Acts of Parliament, 1872 India.
7. The Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, No. 26 of 1996, Acts of Parliament, 1996 India.
8. The Comptroller and Auditor General (Duties, Powers and Conditions of Service) Act, 1971, No. 56 of 1971, Acts of Parliament, 1971 India.
9. The Public–Private Partnership Rules, 2011 (as framed by the Department of Economic Affairs, Ministry of Finance).
10. Draft Road Transport and Safety Bill, 2015.

Case Laws

1. *Centre for Public Interest Litigation v. Union of India*, 2012 3 SCC 1.
2. *Tata Cellular v. Union of India*, 1994 6 SCC 651.
3. *Reliance Energy Ltd. v. Maharashtra State Road Development Corporation*, (2007) 8 SCC 1.
4. *Common Cause v. Union of India*, 1996 6 SCC 530.
5. *Nand Lal Jaiswal v. State of Uttar Pradesh*, AIR 1986 SC 2049.

6. *Delhi Science Forum v. Union of India*, 1996 2 SCC 405.
7. *Union of India v. Hindustan Development Corporation*, 1993 3 SCC 499.
8. *M.C. Mehta v. Union of India*, 2004 6 SCC 588.
9. *State of U.P. v. Johri Mal*, 2004 4 SCC 714.
10. *Vineet Narain v. Union of India*, 1998 1 SCC 226.

Books and Reports

1. Goel, S.L. & Rajneesh Shalini, 2010. *Infrastructure Development and Public–Private Partnership in India*, Deep & Deep Publications.
2. Savas, E.S. 2000. *Privatization and Public–Private Partnerships*, CQ Press, Washington D.C.
3. Raghuram, G., and Rekha Jain, *Public–Private Partnerships in Infrastructure: Managing the Interface*, Indian Institute of Management Ahmedabad, 2011.
4. Planning Commission of India, *Report on Public–Private Partnerships for Infrastructure Development*, Government of India, 2012.
5. Comptroller and Auditor General of India (CAG), 2009-10. Report No. 5, *Performance Audit on Public–Private Partnership Projects in National Highways*.
6. World Bank, *India: Highways to Success –Improving the Performance of India’s National Highways*, World Bank Transport Report, 2018.
7. NHAI, *Model Concession Agreement for PPP Projects on BOT (Toll) Basis*, Government of India, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, 2020.
8. OECD, *Integrity Framework for Public Infrastructure*, OECD Publishing, Paris, 2015.

Journal Articles

1. Neeraj. Bhatia, “Transparency and Accountability in PPP Projects in India.” *Journal of*

Infrastructure Development, Vol. 7, No. 1 2015: pp. 56-59.

2. A.K. Singh, “Public–Private Partnerships and the Legal Framework for Infrastructure Development in India.” *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, Vol. 64, No. 2 2018: pp.142- 146.
3. Rekha. Jain, and Raghuram, G. “Regulating Infrastructure: A Case for Independent Road Regulatory Authority in India.” *Economic and Political Weekly*, Vol. 48, No. 12 2013: pp. 88-91.
4. Ritu. Sharma, “Right to Information and Governance in India: Legal and Policy Analysis.” *Indian Law Review*, Vol. 9, No. 2 2017: pp. 63-67.
5. Ananya. Chatterjee, “Transparency in Public Procurement: Emerging Trends and Challenges.” *Journal of Governance and Public Policy*, Vol. 6, No. 1 2016: pp. 28-39.

International Sources

1. World Bank, *Guidelines for Transparency and Accountability in Infrastructure PPPs*, World Bank Group, 2016.
2. Asian Development Bank (ADB), *Public–Private Partnership Operational Framework 2012–2020*, ADB, Manila, 2012.
3. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE), *PPP Standards and Best Practices in Infrastructure*, Geneva, 2018.
4. OECD, *Principles for Integrity in Public Procurement*, OECD Publishing, 2009.
5. Transparency International, *Global Corruption Report: Infrastructure*, Routledge, 2008.

Web and News Sources

1. Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (MoRTH), Government of India - <https://morth.nic.in>
2. National Highways Authority of India (NHAI) Official Portal - <https://nhai.gov.in>

3. Public - Private Partnership Appraisal Committee (PPPAC), Department of Economic Affairs - <https://pppinindia.gov.in>
4. Press Information Bureau, Government of India, “*MoRTH Announces Steps to Improve Transparency in Toll Collection,*” PIB Release, 2022.
5. *The Hindu*. 2021. “Calls for Greater Transparency in Toll Road Management,”
6. *Economic Times*. 2022. “PPP Projects Need More Disclosure and Public Oversight: Experts,”
7. *Business Standard*. 2019. “CAG Finds Lapses in NHAI PPP Toll Projects,”
8. *Times of India*. 2023. “Centre Plans Real-Time Toll Revenue Monitoring System,”
9. World Bank Infrastructure Transparency Portal - <https://ppp.worldbank.org>
10. Transparency International India - <https://transparencyindia.org>