DEVIANCE BY ENGINEERING / ARCHITECTURE

Yuvashree NV, The Tamilnadu Dr Ambedkar Law University, Chennai

ABSTRACT

Engineering and architecture are professions with a significant impact on public safety, urban development, environmental sustainability and social welfare. While these professions are generally linked with innovation and progress, deviation from professional norms, ethical standards and regulatory requirements may result in serious social, economic and legal consequences. In engineering and architecture, deviance is described as behaviour that diverges from the common professional expectations, technical standards, statutory requirements, and ethical obligations. Such deviations may be due to negligence, misconduct, corruption, fraudulent practices, illegal construction, violation of safety standards, non-compliance with environmental norms or wilful disregard of established engineering and architectural principles. The growing complexity of infrastructure projects, accelerated urbanisation and technological progress have created concerns of professional accountability in engineering and architectural practices. However, deviations from the prescribed technical and legal standards often lead to structural failures, building collapses, defective construction, environmental degradation and occupational hazards. These events result in huge economic losses and may threaten human life, public safety and environmental security. Thus, there is an increasing attention of regulatory bodies and legal regimes to the need for professional responsibility, compliance mechanisms and ethical governance of engineering and architectural activities.

This paper discusses deviance in engineering and architecture from the perspectives of legalities, ethics and sociology. It discusses causes, forms and consequences of professional deviance, assesses the regulatory framework of engineering and architectural practices and reviews selected cases that exemplify the effect of professional misconduct. The study also examines the mechanisms of accountability, professional discipline and regulatory compliance and offers reforms designed to reinforce ethical standards, professional responsibility and public protection in engineering and architecture.

Keywords: Engineering Deviance Architectural Deviance Professional Misconduct Regulatory Compliance Public Safety.

INTRODUCTION

The importance of engineering and architecture is central in the making of modern society. They help in the building of infrastructure, technological progress, urban planning and environmental sustainability. Engineering and architectural knowledge is directly responsible for buildings, bridges, roads, dams, transportation systems and urban landscapes. Such professions bear great social responsibility because their decisions directly impact public safety, economic development, environmental protection, and the quality of life of human beings.[1] Hence, engineering and architecture are regulated by professional standards, ethical principles, technical standards and legal obligations, designed to ensure competence, accountability and public welfare. Despite its importance, the problem of professional deviance in engineering and architecture has become an increasingly important issue in contemporary society. Deviance, in this context, is any behaviour that contravenes accepted professional norms, ethical standards, legal requirements and technical regulations of professional practice. These deviations can be wilful or negligent and comprise professional misconduct, corruption, unauthorised construction, safety violations, use of substandard materials, environmental non-compliance, fraudulent certification, and failure to comply with approved engineering and architectural standards.[2]

Engineering and architectural professionals have experienced pressures from rapid urbanisation, increased infrastructure requirements and growing commercial competition. However, sometimes poor supervision, regulatory weaknesses, unethical professional behaviour and economic interests lead to deviations from established standards. Such conduct often results in structural failures, building collapses, environmental damage, occupational accidents and major economic losses. Such consequences are not only from individual projects but may also undermine public trust in professional institutions and regulatory authorities. A number of major incidents worldwide have highlighted the devastating effects of engineering and architectural deviance.[3] The collapse of buildings, the construction of insufficient infrastructure, industrial accidents and illegal building works are all examples that illustrate the importance of professional responsibility and regulatory compliance. Such events show that violation of engineering and architectural norms can be dangerous for public safety and have serious social and legal consequences. Such deviations are prevented through the legal

[1] ROBERT K. MERTON, SOCIAL THEORY AND SOCIAL STRUCTURE 185–214 (Free Press 1968).
[2] Edwin H. Sutherland, White Collar Criminality, 5 AM. SOCIO. REV. 1, 1–12 (1940).
[3] EDWIN H. SUTHERLAND, WHITE COLLAR CRIME 9–18 (Dryden Press 1949).

framework for the engineering and architectural professions comprising legal regulations, licensing requirements, codes of professional conduct, safety standards, environmental laws and accountability mechanisms. Professional bodies and regulators also have a big role in maintaining ethical standards, and monitoring compliance with those standards and disciplining professionals who breach their obligations.[4] However, enforcement, corruption, regulatory gaps and technological changes continue to impact the effectiveness of these mechanisms.[5] Against this background, the present study takes a look at the concept of deviance in engineering and architecture from legal, ethical and sociological perspectives. It examines the causes, manifestations, effects and regulatory responses to professional deviance, and considers the effectiveness of existing legal and institutional arrangements. The study also seeks to identify the measures needed to strengthen professional accountability, ethical governance and public protection in engineering and architectural practice.[6]

RESEARCH PROBLEM

Engineering and architecture are professions that directly impact public safety, infrastructure development, environmental sustainability, and economic growth. The society has placed a great responsibility on engineers and architects to design, build and supervise projects within the context of accepted technical standards, legal requirements and ethical principles. Nevertheless, even though there are regulatory frameworks and professional codes of conduct, cases of professional deviance still occur. Deviance in engineering and architecture takes many forms as negligence, professional misconduct, corruption, illegal construction, use of low-quality materials, violation of safety codes, and deliberate disregard of the approved plans and technical specifications. Such behaviour often leads to structural failures, building collapses, environmental damage, occupational accidents, financial losses and threats to human life. The effects of such deviations are not limited to the individual projects but also the communities, public institutions and credibility of professional bodies.

The complexity of infrastructure projects, rapid urbanization, commercial pressures and technological innovations have compounded the challenge of maintaining professional accountability. In many cases, economic considerations and competitive pressures have led to

[4] National Building Code of India, 2016, Bureau of Indian Standards.
[5] CHARLES E. HARRIS, JR., MICHAEL S. PRITCHARD & MICHAEL J. RABINS, ENGINEERING ETHICS: CONCEPTS AND CASES 11–28 (5th ed. 2019).
[6] UNITED NATIONS HUMAN SETTLEMENTS PROGRAMME (UN-HABITAT), URBAN PLANNING AND BUILDING REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS 12–24 (2021).

shortcuts which compromise engineering integrity and architectural safety standards. Weak regulatory enforcement, inadequate supervision and corruption may also result in deviations from professional obligations. Legal regulations, professional licensing systems, building codes and safety standards exist to prevent such misconduct, but there remain significant questions about their effectiveness in ensuring compliance and accountability. The recurrence of engineering failures, unauthorised constructions and professional negligence shows the gaps in the regulatory and institutional frameworks for professional practice.

In this study, we therefore focus on the central problem of the extent to which professional deviance in engineering and architecture undermines public safety, legal compliance and professional integrity, and whether existing regulatory mechanisms are adequate to prevent, detect and address such deviations effectively. The study aims to investigate the causes, consequences and legal implications of professional deviance and to explore measures required to strengthen ethical governance and accountability in the engineering and architectural professions.

OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH

1. To examine the idea and character of deviance in the engineering and architectural professions.

2. To determine the major causes and types of professional deviance: negligence, misconduct, corruption, non-compliance with technical standards.

3. To analyse the legal, ethical and regulatory framework governing engineering and architectural practices.

4. Assessment of the impact of professional deviance on the safety of the population, environmental protection and development of infrastructure.

5. To review critical cases of engineering and architectural failures related to deviations from professional standards.

6. To evaluate the effectiveness of professional codes of conduct and regulatory institutions in the control of professional misconduct.

7. To discuss the importance of professional ethics and social responsibility in encouraging the legal and responsible practice in the fields of engineering and architecture.

8. To recommend reforms for the professional accountability, regulatory compliance and ethical governance of engineering and architecture professions.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

a) What are the main types and causes of deviance in engineering and architectural professions?

b) What do the legal and regulatory frameworks do to address professional misconduct and non-compliance in engineering and architectural practice?

c) How does professional deviance affect public safety, environmental sustainability, and infrastructure development?

d) What measures are required to strengthen professional accountability, ethical standards and regulatory compliance of the engineering and architectural professions?

RESEARCH HYPOTHESIS

Failure to meet engineering and architectural standards can result in structural failures, safety hazards and increased risks to public welfare.

There is also a possibility of more deviation and professional misbehaviour in engineering and architectural practices owing to weak regulatory enforcement and absence of proper mechanisms of professional accountability.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The current research uses a doctrinal research approach based on an analytical and descriptive study of legal norms, professional standards, ethical principles, and regulatory framework that apply to engineering and architecture practices. The research is mainly qualitative and relies on legal materials and scholarly literature on professional deviance, regulatory compliance, public safety and professional accountability in the engineering and architectural professions.

The study is based on primary sources including statutory provisions, building regulations, municipal laws, environmental legislation, professional codes of conduct, government notifications, judicial decisions and regulatory guidelines for engineering and architectural activities. Relevant laws concerning professional negligence, construction safety, environment and public welfare are studied to understand the legal implication of professional deviance.

Secondary sources include books, journal articles, research papers, government reports, policy documents, professional publications and academic commentary on engineering ethics, architectural responsibility, urban governance, professional misconduct and regulatory enforcement. Academic literature and case studies of structural failures, illegal constructions and engineering disasters have also addressed causes and consequences of professional deviance.

This research aims at the study of deviance in engineering and architecture from legal, ethical and sociological perspectives. It investigates the origins, forms, impacts and regulatory responses to professional misconduct and evaluates the effectiveness of existing accountability systems. The study also aims to provide recommendations for reforms to improve ethical governance, professional responsibility, regulatory compliance and public protection in engineering and architecture.

LITERATURE SURVEY

- **Robert K. Merton, Social Structure and Anomie, 1938.**[7]

Robert K. Merton developed the theory of strain to explain deviant behaviour in social institutions and professional environments in his seminal work Social Structure and Anomie. Merton believed that deviant behaviour can occur when legitimate means to achieve societal goals are blocked or not available. The theory provides an important sociological lens to interpret professional deviance in engineering and architecture, especially when economic pressures, commercial competition and organisational demands encourage deviations from professional norms and ethical standards.

- **Edwin H. Sutherland, White Collar Crime. New York: Dryden, 1949.**[8]

[7] Robert K. Merton, Social Structure and Anomie, 3 AM. SOCIO. REV. 672, 672–82 (1938).
[8] Edwin H. Sutherland, White Collar Criminality, 5 AM. SOCIO. REV. 1, 1–12 (1940).

The term "white-collar crime" was first used by Edwin H. Sutherland. His research was concerned with the illegal activities of those in positions of trust and professional authority. The research showed that despite their specialised knowledge and social standing professionals are capable of fraud, corruption, regulatory breaches and unethical behaviour. This work is especially relevant to the understanding of engineering and architectural misconduct related to safety violations, fraudulent certifications, and regulatory non-compliance.

- **Engineering Ethics: Concepts and Cases, by Charles E. Harris Jr., Michael S. Pritchard & Michael J. Rabins (1995)[9]**

Harris, Pritchard and Rabins looked at the ethical responsibilities of engineers and the importance of professional accountability in engineering practice. The authors said that the fundamental duties of engineers are public safety, professional competence, integrity, and ethical decision making. The work deals with a number of engineering failures and ethical issues and shows the devastating consequences for society that may follow from the violation of professional standards.

- **Martin D. Schwartz, Professional Ethics in Architecture and Engineering (2001)[10]**

Martin D. Schwartz researched the relationship between professional ethics and the public's responsibility in the fields of architecture and engineering. The study examined the ethical obligations in the fields of design safety, compliance with regulations, environmental responsibility, and professional integrity. The author argued that appropriate professional management and ethical awareness are essential to prevent misconduct and maintain public trust in technical fields.

- **Code of Ethics American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). 2020.[11]**

The professional conduct standards of the American Society of Civil Engineers Code of Ethics relate to public welfare, competency, honesty, sustainability and accountability. The Code emphasizes the engineer's responsibility to place public safety above personal or corporate interests. The paper presents a critical framework for the assessment of professional deviance

[9] CHARLES E. HARRIS, JR., MICHAEL S. PRITCHARD & MICHAEL J. RABINS, ENGINEERING ETHICS: CONCEPTS AND CASES 11–89 (5th ed. 2019).
[10] MARTIN D. SCHWARTZ, PROFESSIONAL ETHICS FOR ARCHITECTS AND ENGINEERS 32–89 (2001).
[11] AM. SOC'Y OF CIV. ENG'RS, Code of Ethics Canons 1–7 (2020).

and the understanding of the ethical responsibility of an engineer's practice.

Council of Architecture, India, Architects (Professional Conduct) Regulations, 1989.[12]

The Council of Architecture has laid down the professional conduct regulations regarding the ethical responsibilities of the architects of India. The regulations stress professional competence, integrity, accountability and compliance with statutory requirements. The purpose of the framework is to prohibit professional misconduct and to provide architectural services in a manner consistent with the public welfare and professional standards.

- **Urban Planning and Building Regulatory Frameworks (2021)[13]**

UN-Habitat explored the influence of building standards, urban governance and regulatory compliance on the advancement of safe and sustainable urban development. The report acknowledged the threats posed by illegal construction, lax enforcement and governance gaps to the structural integrity and public safety. It highlighted the need for robust institutional oversight and streamlined regulatory frameworks to guarantee accountability in engineering and architecture.

The literature examined shows that professional deviance in engineering and architecture is composed of a mixture of ethical, organisational, economic and regulatory factors. The existing scholarship consistently stresses the importance of professional responsibility, regulatory compliance, ethical governance, and institutional accountability in preventing misconduct and protecting public welfare. The present study is based on the theoretical and analytical foundations of these scholarly contributions.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK OF DEVIANCE IN ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING

Engineering and architecture are professions founded on technical competence, ethical responsibility and public trust. The performance of duties by professionals engaged in these fields shall be carried out in accordance with established standards, legal regulations, professional codes of conduct and safety requirements. Professional deviance can be defined

[12] Council of Architecture (Professional Conduct) Regulations, 1989, regs. 2–12 (India).
[13] UNITED NATIONS HUMAN SETTLEMENTS PROGRAMME (UN-HABITAT), Urban Planning and Building Regulatory Frameworks 12–56 (2021).

as any deviation from these accepted norms, values and obligations. Deviant behaviour in engineering and architecture is behaviour that is contrary to the professional ethics, technical standards, legal requirements or societal expectations that govern professional practice.[14] From the perspective of sociology, deviance is most often conceptualized as conduct that transgresses established social norms and institutional expectations. Deviance in the professional world is defined as acts that violate professional integrity, public safety, and the goals of the organization. This behaviour in engineering and architecture may be intentional, as in the case of deliberate misconduct, or unintentional, as in the case of negligence, incompetence or failure to exercise reasonable professional care.[15]

There are many types of professional deviance. One of the most common types is professional negligence where engineers or architects fail to exercise the degree of skill, care and diligence expected within their profession. Often construction failures and structural defects are due to negligent design calculations, lack of supervision and failure to comply with safety standards. Unprofessional conduct may also include fraudulent certifications, misrepresentation of credentials, conflict of interest, bribery, corruption and wilful disregard of regulatory requirements. Another broad category of deviance involves nonconformance to technical and statutory standards. Engineers and architects are regulated by building codes, environmental standards, zoning laws, safety norms, and professional codes of conduct. Any deviation from such standards can lead to illegal construction, environmental pollution, occupational health hazards, and hazards to public health and safety. Such conduct is not only a violation of the legal obligations owed to the public but can also erode public trust in professional institutions.

Deviant practices in engineering and architecture often are driven by economic and organisational pressures. “Commercial competition, project deadlines, financial constraints and cost-reduction requirements may lead professionals to compromise safety standards and technical requirements. In some cases, an organisational culture that prioritises profitability over public welfare may indirectly facilitate unethical decision-making and violations of regulations. The consequences of professional deviance in engineering and architecture are often serious and widespread. Departures from accepted professional standards frequently result in such disasters as structural failures, bridge collapses, building disasters, industrial accidents, environmental contamination, and loss of human life. Such events may also have

[14] ROBERT K. MERTON, SOCIAL THEORY AND SOCIAL STRUCTURE 185–214 (Free Press 1968).
[15] MIKE W. MARTIN & ROLAND SCHINZINGER, ETHICS IN ENGINEERING 21–47 (4th ed. 2005).

immediate physical and economic consequences but they can also undermine public confidence in the regulatory institutions, professional organisations and government authorities responsible for ensuring safety and accountability.

Thus, it is essential to understand the notion of deviance in engineering and architecture to identify the causes of professional misconduct and to determine the effectiveness of existing systems of accountability. The study of professional deviance provides insights into the connections between professional ethics, legal regulation, public safety, and institutional responsibility in the modern world.[16]

CAUSES AND TYPES OF PROFESSIONAL DEVIANCE IN ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING

Professional deviance in engineering and architecture is not caused by a single factor but by the confluence of individual, organisational, economic and regulatory factors. The increasing complexity of construction projects, fast-paced urbanisation, technological developments and commercial competition created circumstances where deviations from professional standards can occur. To develop effective regulatory and accountability mechanisms it is important to understand the causes and the manifestations of such deviation.[17]

Economic strain is one of the major causes of professional deviance. Many engineering and architectural projects are faced with tight budgets and competitive market conditions. Contractors, developers, engineers and architects may be under pressure to reduce costs, to accelerate project delivery or to increase profits. Such pressures often lead to reductions in the quality of materials, workmanship, safety measures and regulatory compliance. Consequently, professionals may intentionally deviate from accepted technical standards for commercial reasons.[18] Professional negligence is one of the most popular forms of deviance in engineering and architecture professions. Negligence occurs when professionals fail to exercise the level of skill, care and diligence that is expected of them in their field. Structural design errors, insufficient site inspections, incorrect calculations, poor supervision and the failure to identify

[16] Michael Davis, Thinking Like an Engineer: The Place of a Code of Ethics in the Practice of a Profession, 20 PHIL. & PUB. AFF. 150, 150–67 (1991).
[17] CHARLES E. HARRIS, JR., MICHAEL S. PRITCHARD & MICHAEL J. RABINS, ENGINEERING ETHICS: CONCEPTS AND CASES 51–89 (5th ed. 2019).
[18] Samuel C. Florman, Engineering Ethics and Professional Responsibility, 12 J. PROF. ISSUES ENG'G EDUC. & PRAC. 95, 95–108 (1986).

possible hazards may result in serious structural collapse and safety hazards. While negligence is not always intentional, the effects can be just as detrimental to the public welfare and to the safety of the infrastructure.[19]

Another important source of professional deviance is corruption and unethical conduct. Bribery, fraudulent approval, falsification of inspection reports, conflicts of interest and unauthorised certifications are common violations of the regulatory systems designed for safety and compliance. Such violations may result in the official bodies approving unsafe structures despite violations of legal and technical requirements. Corruption destroys professionalism and public confidence in regulatory agencies. One type of deviance is non-compliance with legal and technical standards. Engineers and architects must follow building codes, zoning laws, environmental laws, occupational safety standards and professional guidelines. Intended ignoring of these requirements can result in illegal construction, environmental damage and increased hazard to public safety. Such behaviour is often found where enforcement mechanisms are weak or where there is a dearth of regulatory oversight.[20]

Deviant behaviour can also be related to organisational culture. Profit, deadlines or commercial success over ethical responsibility and the public good can indirectly encourage professional misconduct in the institutions. In these contexts, professionals may be pressured to ignore safety concerns, cover up defects or approve construction that does not meet code-compliance in order to meet the goals of the organization. Other important contributing factors are technology incompetence and lack of adequate professional training. The rapid development of engineering techniques, construction technologies and design software requires continuous professional development and skills enhancement. If you do not keep up to date with technical standards and professional practices, you are more likely to make mistakes and to behave in an unprofessional way. In engineering and architecture, the types of deviance include professional negligence, fake certification, illegal building, violation of standards, corruption, environmental issues, low-quality materials, and intentional non-observance of safety standards. Some kinds of deviance are the result of premeditated malfeasance. But some forms are the product of ineptitude, lack of oversight or institutionalised failures of the system.[21]

[19] WORLD BANK, IMPROVING CONSTRUCTION PERMIT SYSTEMS AND BUILDING REGULATION 25–38 (2020).
[20] Caroline Whitbeck, Ethics in Engineering Practice and Research, 20 CAMBRIDGE Q. HEALTHCARE ETHICS 143, 143–54 (2011).
[21] Caroline Whitbeck, Ethics in Engineering Practice and Research, 20 CAMBRIDGE Q. HEALTHCARE

Thus, professional deviance in engineering and architecture is a multifaceted phenomenon influenced by economic incentives, ethical lapses, organisational dynamics, regulatory shortcomings, and professional incompetence. To combat these root issues, a multi-pronged approach of increased regulation, ethical leadership, professional training, and strong accountability systems is needed to protect the public and maintain professional standards.

ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURAL PRACTICE: LEGAL AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

Regulation of engineering and architectural professions is necessary for safety of the public, professional accountability, environmental protection and sustainable infrastructure development.[22] The activities of engineers and architects have great impact on society. Hence, the legal systems have developed a number of statutory, regulatory and professional frameworks to control the conduct of these professionals and to prevent deviations from admissible standards. Such frameworks demand that professionals conform to technical requirements, safety requirements, ethical principles and public welfare considerations in the performance of their professional duties. In India, the Architects Act, 1972 is the primary legislation regulating architectural practice and it established the Council of Architecture as the statutory body to regulate the profession. The Council shall have power to prescribe educational qualifications, maintain professional standards, register qualified architects and take disciplinary action against professional misconduct. The Architects (Professional Conduct) Regulations also prescribe ethical duties and standards of professional behaviour required of registered architects.[23]

Engineering practice is subject to a mix of statutory enactments, professional norms, municipal laws and sector specific legislation in India. Many technical standards and government regulations are applicable to engineers working on public infrastructure, construction, transportation, energy, and industrial projects. Setting professional and technical standards applicable to engineering activities are important roles played by institutions like Institution of Engineers (India), Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS) and sectoral regulatory authorities. An additional important element of the regulatory framework is building regulations and town

ETHICS 143, 143–54 (2011).

[22] Municipal Corp. of Greater Mumbai v. Kohinoor CTNL Infrastructure Co. Pvt. Ltd., (2014) 4 SCC 538 (India).

[23] Samuel C. Florman, Engineering Ethics and Professional Responsibility, 12 J. PROF. ISSUES ENG'G EDUC. & PRAC. 95, 101–08 (1986).

planning regulations. Building codes , zoning laws , structural safety , fire safety , construction approval process , etc . are prescribed by urban development authorities and local governments . Following these laws is necessary to ensure that buildings and infrastructure projects are safe and quality standards.[24] Unauthorized construction, violation of approved plans and breach of building regulations are often subject to administrative penalties, demolitions and legal actions. Environmental laws have a significant impact on engineering and architecture as well. The professionals and developers, under the influence of various legislations like the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981 and the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, have a role to play in minimizing the environmental damage and promoting sustainable development. Nowadays, environmental impact assessments, pollution control measures and ecological safeguards are an integral part of contemporary engineering and architectural projects.[25]

Professional liability and negligence are also subject to the general legal principles of tort law, contract law and consumer protection. Engineers and architects can be sued for professional negligence if they fail to exercise reasonable care and cause injury, property damage, loss of money or endanger public safety. The duty of professionals to exercise due diligence, maintain competence and to put public welfare first has been increasingly recognized in judicial decisions when carrying out their professional responsibilities.

A number of legal and regulatory mechanisms exist but their effectiveness is undermined by the difficulty of enforcement. Corruption, administrative inefficiency, lack of inspections, regulatory loopholes and lack of professional accountability often hamper compliance efforts. The recurrent incidences of building collapses, illegal constructions and infrastructure failures highlight the need for stringent regulatory oversight and institutional accountability. The legal and regulatory framework for the practice of engineering and architecture remains a key instrument to prevent professional deviations and to safeguard the public interest. It is still a requirement today to maintain professional integrity, public safety, environmental sustainability and responsible infrastructure development.[26]

[24] CHARLES E. HARRIS, JR., MICHAEL S. PRITCHARD & MICHAEL J. RABINS, ENGINEERING ETHICS: CONCEPTS AND CASES 101–25 (5th ed. 2019).
[25] UNITED NATIONS HUMAN SETTLEMENTS PROGRAMME (UN-HABITAT), URBAN PLANNING AND BUILDING REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS 41–56 (2021).
[26] UNITED NATIONS HUMAN SETTLEMENTS PROGRAMME (UN-HABITAT), URBAN PLANNING AND BUILDING REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS 57–74 (2021).

DEVIATION AND ITS IMPACT IN ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURE

Deviations from the standards of the engineering and architecture professions can have ramifications beyond the projects or institutions involved. As these professions directly affect public infrastructure, environmental sustainability, and human safety, deviations from professional standards can lead to severe social, economic, legal, and environmental consequences. The impact of such misconduct can be far-reaching, eroding public confidence in professional bodies and regulatory agencies.

Public safety is one of the most serious implications of engineering and architectural deviance. Structural failures leading to building collapses, bridge failures, industrial accidents and other disasters are often caused by the failure of a structure because of defective design, substandard construction practices, poor supervision or the use of substandard materials. Such failures often lead to injuries, loss of human lives, displacement of communities and destruction of property. The social costs of these incidents tend to be more pervasive than the immediate victims and impact entire communities.[27]

Economic losses represent the other major result of professional deviance. In case of construction defects, infrastructure failures, project delays and violations of regulations, substantial repair, reconstruction and compensation measures may be necessary. Negligent or unethical professional conduct can result in substantial costs to governments, private developers, businesses and individuals. Such losses could be in millions of rupees in big infrastructure projects and could adversely affect economic development and public resources. Often, environmental damage is also brought about by deviations in engineering and architectural practice. Failure to adhere to environmental regulations, poor waste management, illegal land use, and environmentally damaging construction practices can result in pollution, environmental degradation, loss of biodiversity, and long-term environmental damage. Such consequences are contrary to the goals of sustainable development and may have negative consequences on future generations.[28]

There are also legal consequences for engineers, architects, contractors and developers, beyond professional deviance. Failure to comply with statutory requirements and professional

[27] CHARLES E. HARRIS, JR., MICHAEL S. PRITCHARD & MICHAEL J. RABINS, ENGINEERING ETHICS: CONCEPTS AND CASES 131–60 (5th ed. 2019).
[28] UNITED NATIONS HUMAN SETTLEMENTS PROGRAMME (UN-HABITAT), URBAN PLANNING AND BUILDING REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS 75–89 (2021).

standards may result in disciplinary sanctions by regulatory authorities, suspension of licence, monetary penalties, demolition orders and professional disqualification. In cases of gross negligence or serious misconduct individuals are civilly liable, may be prosecuted in the criminal law and may claim compensation for injury, death or damage to property caused by their actions. Another important consequence is the erosion of public trust and professional credibility. The legitimacy of the engineering and architectural professions is based on public confidence in their competence, integrity and commitment to safety. Repeated professional misconduct, corruption, unauthorized construction and violation of regulatory provisions can erode public confidence in professional institutions and in regulatory oversight mechanisms. These consequences can have a negative impact on the profession as a whole.

Professional deviance can also highlight broader institutional and governance failures. Corruption, non-compliance and weak enforcement undermine the capacity of legal and administrative mechanisms to uphold the public interest. When such practices are pervasive, they can foster a culture of impunity that perpetuates further violations and erodes the rule of law. Several famous international cases illustrate some of the catastrophic consequences of professional deviance in engineering and architecture. Building collapses, industrial accidents, faulty infrastructure projects and environmental disasters routinely reveal breaches of professional responsibility, regulatory compliance and ethical judgment. These events are a reminder of the need to uphold high standards of professionalism and accountability for technical professions. Hence, the consequences of deviance in engineering and architecture go beyond individual misconduct to include public safety, economic stability, environmental sustainability, professional credibility, and institutional governance. Good regulation, ethical leadership, professional accountability and an unrelenting commitment to the public good in engineering and architectural practice can address these consequences.[29]

ETHICAL RESPONSIBILITY AND PROFESSIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY IN ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING

The practice of engineering and architecture is based on professional accountability and ethical responsibility. These jobs have implications for safety, infrastructure development, environmental sustainability and economic progress. This means that engineers and architects

[29] CHARLES E. HARRIS, JR., MICHAEL S. PRITCHARD & MICHAEL J. RABINS, ENGINEERING ETHICS: CONCEPTS AND CASES 229–48 (5th ed. 2019).

are expected to do their work with competence, integrity, honesty and commitment to public welfare. Professional accountability means that people are responsible for their decisions and actions. Ethical responsibility means that people act according to professional standards and moral principles even when the law is not adequate. The concept of professional responsibility is predicated on the premise that engineers and architects are in positions of public trust. Society depends on projects planned, built and overseen by their expertise. These projects have direct impacts on the welfare of communities and the lives of people. So professionals have to make sure that their choices are based on technical correctness, safety and legal compliance and not on self-interest or commercial pressure. Failure to meet these responsibilities can lead to serious harm and legal liability.[30]

Professional ethics are an important mechanism to prevent deviant behaviour in engineering and architectural practice. Ethical principles such as honesty, competence, transparency, impartiality and respect for public welfare set standards of conduct expected from professionals. These principles necessitate that engineers and architects refrain from conflicts of interest, disclose risks, remain professionally independent, and decline to take part in any activities that jeopardise safety or breach legal requirements. In the engineering and architectural professions alike, the primary ethical obligation is to the public safety. Engineers and architects are required to place the safety, health and welfare of the public above all else. Ethical decision-making also encompasses the identification of potential hazards, adherence to safety standards and the taking of appropriate precautions to prevent foreseeable harm. Violations of these duties are considered professional misconduct and may lead to penalties that are disciplinary, civil and criminal. Professional institutions and regulatory agencies play a key role in maintaining ethical conduct and accountability. The Council of Architecture, the Institution of Engineers (India) and international professional bodies set standards for professional behaviour, including codes of ethics, professional guidelines and disciplinary procedures. These institutions ensure that the profession maintains its standards by investigating complaints, imposing sanctions and raising awareness about ethics among practitioners. Professional responsibility also means documentation and transparency. Engineers and architects are required to keep accurate records, provide honest certifications, disclose relevant information and maintain effective communication with clients, regulatory authorities and stakeholders. Professional transparency diminishes opportunities for

[30] WORLD BANK, IMPROVING CONSTRUCTION PERMIT SYSTEMS AND BUILDING REGULATION 53–76 (2020).

corruption, fraud and breach of regulations and increases public trust in professional institutions.[31]

However, ethical responsibility is often difficult to fulfil in practice. Economic pressures, organisational expectations, competitive markets and conflicts of interest can influence professional decisions. There are times when professionals may be pressured into approving unsafe designs, ignoring regulatory violations, or putting profit over the public good. Hence, professional ethics requires professionals to exercise independent judgement and resist pressures that threaten professional integrity. "The strengthening of professional accountability also requires continuous education and ethical training. Professional development programmes should focus not only on technical competence but also on ethical reasoning, legal compliance and social responsibility. Ethical awareness helps professionals to identify potential misconduct and to act in accordance with professional responsibilities and the public good. Thus, professional accountability and ethical responsibility are crucial to avoid deviance in engineering and architectural practice. This rests on good ethical governance, strong professional institutions, open decision making and a commitment to the public good. Together, these factors help to maintain professional integrity and ensure that engineering and architectural activities are in the wider interests of society.

RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

The frequency with which professional deviance occurs in engineering and architecture practice points to the need for stronger legal regulation, ethical governance and institutional accountability. These careers have a great impact on the public safety, infrastructure development and environmental sustainability. Hence, effective preventative measures should be of paramount importance in reducing professional misconduct and promoting responsible professional practice. One of the most important reforms is to improve regulatory oversight and enforcement mechanisms. Periodic inspections, audits and compliance checks will be conducted by regulatory authorities on engineering and construction projects to ensure compliance to approved plans, technical specifications and safety standards. Strict enforcement of building codes and standards of practice shall reduce the possibilities of unauthorized construction, negligence and regulatory violations.

[31] UNITED NATIONS HUMAN SETTLEMENTS PROGRAMME (UN-HABITAT), URBAN PLANNING AND BUILDING REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS 41–56 (2021).

Strengthen professional licensing and accreditation systems. Require engineers and architects to participate in periodic competency assessments and continuing professional education programmes to ensure their knowledge and skills are up to date with the evolving technological and professional standards. This will enhance professional competence and reduce errors due to outdated practices and inadequate training. More effective inclusion of ethics education in engineering and architectural curricula and professional development programmes is needed. Professionals should be trained in the technical skills needed to make ethical decisions, in public responsibility, in legal compliance and risk management. More emphasis on professional ethics would encourage responsible behaviour and reduce the risk that financial or organisational pressures will lead to misconduct. Projects need to be planned, approved and implemented with greater transparency and accountability mechanisms. Digital monitoring systems, electronic record keeping and public disclosure requirements could help to improve oversight and limit the scope for corruption, fraudulent certifications and manipulation of regulatory processes. Administrative processes must be transparent to foster public trust and institutional credibility.

Whistleblower protection mechanisms should also be enhanced to promote reporting of professional misconduct and regulatory violations. Adequate legal protection should be provided to employees, engineers, architects and project personnel from retaliation for reporting unethical or unlawful practices. Whistleblower systems can be a valuable instrument for regulatory authorities to uncover deviant conduct and to avert serious harm. The engineering and architectural projects require special attention to the public's safety and risk assessment. Mandatory safety audits, independent structural reviews and third-party inspections should be conducted for projects with a significant risk to the public. Such measures would improve the quality of projects and reduce the risk of catastrophic failures due to professional negligence or non-compliance. And so too must environmental accountability be strengthened. Engineers and architects should be strictly obliged to respect environmental regulations and principles of sustainable development. Environmental impact assessment, resource conservation measures and ecological safeguards should be part of project planning and implementation. Sustainable professional practice is good for the environment and also for long term social welfare. Finally, comprehensive legislation on professional accountability in engineering and architecture should be introduced or strengthened where needed. Such legislation should clearly set out the professional duties, standards of care, disciplinary procedures, liability provisions and regulatory responsibilities. A strong legal framework

would clarify professional responsibilities and increase the effectiveness of accountability mechanisms.

Thus, a holistic strategy to address the problem of deviance in engineering and architectural professions involving improved regulation, professional education, ethical leadership, technological supervision and institutional responsibility is needed. Proper implementation of these measures will greatly improve the safety of the public and the professionalism and confidence of engineering and architectural institutions.

CONCLUSION

Engineering and architecture are respectable professions that hold a special place in society due to their direct impact on public safety, infrastructure development, environmental sustainability and economic progress. Professional judgement in these professions goes beyond technical competence and should be exercised in accordance with ethical standards, legal requirements and social responsibility. Departures from recognized professional standards may have far-reaching consequences not only for individual projects but also for the wider interests of society. The study has revealed that the professional deviance in the engineering and architecture sectors manifests in several forms such as negligence, corruption, unauthorised construction, non-compliance to regulations, fraudulent certification, and disregard for safety standards. Common factors that drive these departures include economic pressures, organisational culture, lack of supervision, regulatory failures and ethical failures. Some deviance is wilful misbehaviour; some is professional ineptitude, lack of education, or institutional failure.

The effects of professional deviance are pervasive and multidimensional. Deviations from the well-known standards of engineering and architecture are often the causes of structural collapses, environmental pollution, work accidents, financial damage and human casualties. These outcomes not only erode public confidence in professional institutions but also highlight deep flaws in the regulatory and accountability systems set up to safeguard public welfare.

Today, the legal and regulatory framework consists of a number of important instruments for the regulation of professional conduct, such as statutory regulations, professional licensing regimes, building codes, environmental laws and disciplinary procedures. However, constant instances of professional misconduct and infrastructure breakdowns indicate that regulatory

compliance and enforcement remain a significant challenge. Hence the effectiveness of the implementation of legal standards is as important as the existence of the standards themselves.

Professional accountability and ethical responsibility are identified as the necessary safeguards against deviant behaviour. Engineers and architects must recognise that their paramount responsibility extends beyond commercial success and organisational goals to the protection of public safety and welfare. To earn the public's trust and to ensure responsible professional conduct, we need sound ethical leadership, professional integrity, transparency and a commitment to the law.

In summary, preventing deviance in engineering and architecture requires a comprehensive approach that includes effective legal regulation, institutional accountability, ethical governance, professional training, and regular monitoring of regulation. This will not only reduce professional misconduct but will also help in building safer infrastructure, sustainable development and greater public confidence in the engineering and architectural professions. The future credibility and legitimacy of these professions depends on their ongoing commitment to professional excellence, ethical responsibility and service to society.

REFERENCES

Books

1. ROBERT K. MERTON, *SOCIAL THEORY AND SOCIAL STRUCTURE* (Free Press 1968).

2. EDWIN H. SUTHERLAND, *WHITE COLLAR CRIME* (Dryden Press 1949).

3. CHARLES E. HARRIS, JR., MICHAEL S. PRITCHARD & MICHAEL J. RABINS, *ENGINEERING ETHICS: CONCEPTS AND CASES* (5th ed. 2019).

4. MARTIN D. SCHWARTZ, *PROFESSIONAL ETHICS FOR ARCHITECTS AND ENGINEERS* (2001).

5. MIKE W. MARTIN & ROLAND SCHINZINGER, *ETHICS IN ENGINEERING* (4th ed. 2005).

6. NICHOLAS J. FOX, *POSTMODERNISM, SOCIOLOGY AND HEALTH* (1993).

Journal Articles

1. Robert K. Merton, Social Structure and Anomie, 3 AM. SOCIO. REV. 672 (1938).

2. Samuel C. Florman, Engineering Ethics and Professional Responsibility, 12 J. PROF. ISSUES ENG'G EDUC. & PRAC. 95 (1986).

3. Caroline Whitbeck, Ethics in Engineering Practice and Research, 20 CAMBRIDGE Q. HEALTHCARE ETHICS 143 (2011).

4. John R. Boatright, Ethics and the Conduct of Business Professionals, 28 BUS. ETHICS Q. 241 (2018).

5. Michael Davis, Thinking Like an Engineer: The Place of a Code of Ethics in the Practice of a Profession, 20 PHIL. & PUB. AFF. 150 (1991).

Statutes and Regulations

1. The Architects Act, No. 20 of 1972 (India).

2. The Environment (Protection) Act, No. 29 of 1986 (India).

3. The Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, No. 6 of 1974 (India).

4. The Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, No. 14 of 1981 (India).

5. Council of Architecture (Professional Conduct) Regulations, 1989.

6. National Building Code of India, 2016.

Reports and Institutional Publications

1. AM. SOC'Y OF CIV. ENG'RS, *CODE OF ETHICS* (2020).

2. UNITED NATIONS HUMAN SETTLEMENTS PROGRAMME (UN-HABITAT), *URBAN PLANNING AND BUILDING REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS* (2021).

3. BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS, *NATIONAL BUILDING CODE OF INDIA* (2016).

4. WORLD BANK, *IMPROVING CONSTRUCTION PERMIT SYSTEMS AND BUILDING REGULATION* (2020).

Cases

1. *Municipal Corp. of Greater Mumbai v. Kohinoor CTNL Infrastructure Co. Pvt. Ltd.*, (2014) 4 SCC 538 (India).

2. *K. Ramadas Shenoy v. Chief Officers, Town Municipal Council*, (1974) 2 SCC 506 (India).

3. *M.C. Mehta v. Union of India*, (1987) 1 SCC 395 (India).

4. *Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum v. Union of India*, (1996) 5 SCC 647 (India).

5. *Indian Council for Enviro-Legal Action v. Union of India*, (1996) 3 SCC 212 (India).

Online Sources

1. Council of Architecture, India

2. Institution of Engineers (India)

3. Bureau of Indian Standards

4. UN-Habitat Official Website

5. American Society of Civil Engineers Ethics Code