
RAM MANOHAR LOHIA'S ROLE IN THE INDIAN FREEDOM STRUGGLE

Siddhika Chandrashekhar Larokar, B.A.LL.B. (Honours in Adjudication and Justicing),
Maharashtra National Law University, Nagpur

ABSTRACT

This article analyzes the multi-faceted contributions of Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia to the Indian freedom struggle, his unique socialist philosophy, and the framing of his philosophical thought in the Indian Constitution. Lohia's vision diverged from Gandhi's gradualism and Marxist determinism in advocating a socialism that is decentralized, egalitarian, and culturally embedded. The article analyzes his valuable contribution to the Quit India Movement, underground movements of resistance, global campaigning, and post-colonial activism, as exemplified through the Goa Liberation Movement. It further explains how his ideas on reservation based on caste, gender equality, decentralization, and social justice influenced key constitutional provisions such as 15(4), 16(4), 40, and the Directive Principles of State Policy. Using a doctrinal research approach, this article argues that, despite the fact that Lohia's vision of socialism was not fully achieved, his ideas continue to influence India's democratic and legal order. His legacy is in the encouragement of an inclusive concept of justice, equity, and participatory democracy.

INTRODUCTION

Ram Manohar Lohia (1910–1967) was a distinguished leader, visionary, and social reformer whose contributions significantly shaped India's freedom struggle. Emerging as a key figure during the 1930s, Lohia's approach to independence diverged from the prevailing narratives of his time. While many leaders of the Indian National Congress were fixated on only the political emancipation of India from British rule, Lohia envisioned "complete independence" as a radical transformation, something that addressed not only the eradication of poverty but also illiteracy and other systemic deprivations of the working class and marginalized sections.

Lohia's socialism was to be aimed at creating an egalitarian and non-exploitative society. Rejection of communism as an alienist ideology for India led him to emphasize indigenous socialism with that would appeal only to the Indian way of culture, society, and economy. Founding Congress Socialist Party in 1934 is a testament to his commitment to revamping the freedom movement to give voice to peasants, workers, and others such deprived classes.

A staunch supporter of Mahatma Gandhi, Lohia initially followed the Gandhian ideology but later criticized the strategies of the Indian National Congress, especially after the failure of the Non-Cooperation and Civil Disobedience movements. He also criticized the middle-class leadership of the Congress, which he thought was less sensitive to the needs of India's masses.

Lohia's ideology, moulded by not only global movements like socialism but also the harsh realities of colonial India, reflected the interdependence between political freedom and social justice. His efforts, in turn, not only energized India's fight for independence but also laid the foundation to address post-independence challenges.

AIM AND OBJECTIVE

This project seeks to examine the key elements of Ram Manohar Lohia's philosophy, his contributions to the Indian freedom fight, and the incorporation of his views into the Indian constitution. It examines his role in shaping social and political movements, his support for socialism and social justice, and the long-term impact of his vision on India's democratic framework.

RESEARCH QUESTION

1. What are the key elements of Ram Manohar Lohia's Philosophy?
2. How did Ram Manohar Lohia contribute to the Indian freedom struggle?
3. How did Ram Manohar Lohia's Idea integrate in the framing of the Indian Constitution?

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study is **doctrinal** and based on **secondary sources**, utilizing journal articles and research papers to analyze Ram Manohar Lohia's philosophy, role in the freedom struggle, and influence on the Indian Constitution.

HYPOTHESIS

Ram Manohar Lohia's socialism did not fully succeed as a national model, but his ideas on social justice, caste-based reservations, and decentralization have influenced Indian policies and continue to shape political debates.

RAM MANOHAR LOHIA'S PHILOSOPHY

Ram Manohar Lohia's intellect combined socialism, intersectionality, and nationalism in light of India's social and cultural context. He was vehemently against discriminatory systems of caste, class, gender, and language. In contrast to European socialist thinking, Lohia advocated a native socialist strategy of decentralization, equality, and social justice. "Lohia's reformulations of the policies, concepts, and the doctrines associated with the idea of socialism represented a sharp break with the received tradition".¹

Lohia's emphasis on planned social change distinguished him from Gandhian gradualism and Marxist determinism. Lohia did not believe in capitalist or Marxist communism. He was of the belief that socialism in India should be derived from its own social fabric and institutions and not from the Western world. He envisioned a decentralized economy, i.e., resources would be controlled and owned by local communities and not the central government. His

¹ Yogendra Yadav, What Is Living and What Is Dead in Rammanohar Lohia?, Vol.45, No.40, Economic and Political Weekly, pp. 92- 107, October 2–8, 2010.

Four-Pillar State concept advocated administration at the national, state, district, and village levels so that citizens would directly be involved.

Lohia's intersectional theory explained how caste, class, gender, and language perpetuated systems of oppression. According to him, caste was an immobile class, i.e., social mobility was withheld from lower castes. His demand for 60% reservation in public life for oppressed classes was a reflection of his resolve to annihilate entrenched hierarchies.

He was a strong advocate of gender equality. He believed that gender oppression was a hindrance to economic and social progress and that “poverty and the two segregations of caste and sex thrive upon each other's worms”². He advocated women's economic independence, education, and leadership, and advocated policies such as inter-caste marriages and legal reforms to challenge male domination.

Lohia harshly condemned the use of English in public life as a means of controlling the minds of the citizens and dividing society. According to him, the identity and democracy of a country could only be developed if citizens were given education, government, and law in their respective languages. His advocacy of regional languages played a key role in supporting language identity movements and shaping regional language policies.

Lohia's vision of socialism wasn't merely a matter of transforming the economy; it sought to transform society in a significant manner. He observed that there are five kinds of inequalities in Indian society that need to be eliminated strategically. These are: 1. Inequality between man and woman. 2. Inequality based on skin colour. 3. Caste-based inequality. 4. Colonial rule of some countries over others. 5. Economic inequality. Fighting against these five inequalities, he considered them as five revolutions. He then added two more revolutions: 6. Revolution for civil liberty. 5. Revolution for non-violence, for renunciation of weapons in favour of Satyagraha. These were the Seven Revolutions (Sapta Kranti), which, for Lohia, represented the ideal of socialism.³

² Anand Kumar, UNDERSTANDING LOHIA'S POLITICAL SOCIOLOGY: INTERSECTIONALITY OF CASTE, CLASS, GENDER AND LANGUAGE, Vol.45, No.40, Economic and Political Weekly, pp.64-70, October 2-8

³ Dr. Balaji P. Munde, DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIA'S PROGNOSIS TOWARDS INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT OF INDIAN SOCIETY, Vol. 4, Issue 3, International Journal of advances in Engineering and Management, pp. 740-741, March 2022,

For Lohia, independence was not merely political power, but also liberty from "starvation, poverty, illiteracy, and deprivation,"⁴.

He believed that only when all citizens enjoy economic justice and social security can true democracy be achieved. His thoughts synthesized Gandhian ideals with socialist actions, encouraging non-violent protests as a means of resisting injustice. His concept of economic democracy was largely not realized, however, as post-independence India concentrated on centralized planning under Nehru's socialism rather than decentralized economic systems.

Lohia's impact extended into Indian politics and beyond ideas on movements and leadership following independence.

His social justice concepts influenced politicians such as Mulayam Singh Yadav and Lalu Prasad Yadav, who formed influential political movements along caste lines.

The Samajwadi Party and other socialist parties have followed his ideals in shaping their political agendas.

His language and decentralization policies continue to be debated in discussions on regional autonomy movements and reform of governance.

RAM MANOHAR LOHIA CONTRIBUTION IN INDIA FREEDOM STRUGGLE

A key figure in the Indian independence struggle, Ram Manohar Lohia was a socialist thinker and freedom fighter. His achievements included mass movements, socialist mobilization, and international advocacy in addition to underground resistance. He is one of the most important figures in the struggle for India's independence because of his involvement in the Quit India Movement, his affiliation with revolutionary leaders, and his belief in social and economic justice.

1. Role in Quit India Movement (1942)

Mahatma Gandhi started the Quit India Movement in 1942, and Lohia had a significant role in it. On August 9, 1942, Lohia, Jayaprakash Narayan, and Achyut Patwardhan took leadership

⁴ Dr. Vikramjit Singh, RAMMANOHAR LOHIA IN PRE- INDEPENDENCE INDIA, Vol. 5, issue. 12, pp. 1-7, December 2018

of the campaign and assisted in planning underground resistance after leading Congress leaders were arrested. To conduct sabotage operations and violent resistance, Azad Dasta, a parallel guerrilla group, was formed in Bombay along with a Central Directorate.

One of Lohia's most important accomplishments was running an underground radio station in Bombay that helped mobilize Indians against British rule and spread nationalist propaganda. As mentioned, "A secret radio station was set up at Bombay which was operated by Ram Manohar Lohia. British authorities were challenged at several places"⁵. Congress Radio was founded by Usha Mehta, but Lohia was the one who ran it, sending out messages encouraging people to boycott British products, take part in strikes, and practice active resistance.

In areas like Satara (Bombay Presidency), Midnapore (Bengal), and Ballia (U.P.), where British power had weakened, Lohia also encouraged the establishment of rival administrations. These administrations made an effort to operate without British control.

Lohia tried to avoid being captured, but in May 1944 he was taken into custody in Bombay and subjected to torture in Lahore Fort. Despite being subjected to harsh interrogation throughout his incarceration, he refused to turn on the movement. As stated, **"Lohia made important contributions to various aspects of Indian politics. But the moving force of his personality was his boundless love for India. For that, he was prepared to undergo any suffering and humiliation. He had been arrested when trying to lead the underground resistance during the Quit India movement and was tortured for weeks in the notorious Lahore fort"**.⁶

2. International Advocacy for Indian Independence

Lohia played a significant role in gaining international support for India's independence. He attacked British imperialism and made contacts with European socialist parties while studying in Germany. He stressed that India's fight was a part of a larger anti-colonial movement and saw colonialism as a tool of global capitalism.

Lohia resisted India's forced participation in the British war effort during World War II, contending that India shouldn't assist Britain until it was given complete freedom. In contrast,

⁵ A.K.Sharma, THE ROLE OF THE CSP IN THE TRANSFER OF POWER AND PARTITION OF INIDA, VOL.49, Indian History Congress, pp. 394-401, 1988

⁶ Sachchidanand Sinha, LOHIA'S SOCIALISM: AN UNDERDOG'S PERSPECTIVE, Vol.45, No. 40 , Economic and Political Weekly, pp. 51-55, October 2-8 ,2010

Nehru took a more cooperative approach.

Lohia also believed in strengthening India's **cultural and political unity** to resist colonialism. As noted, **“His dream of a world without visas and passports reflected his commitment to internationalism and his desire to dismantle colonial barriers”**.⁷

3. Support for Subhas Chandra Bose and Revolutionary Nationalism

Lohia supported a flexible strategy for resistance that, when needed, combined Gandhian nonviolence with military conflict. He backed the Indian National Army (INA), led by Subhas Chandra Bose, on the grounds that military action could be used in connection with satyagraha to undermine British rule.

A brief moment in the people's lives may be reached when the current system is based solely on bayonets, and these bayonets are shaky, while the vast majority is resolutely working for the new order, according to Lohia, who, unlike many Congress leaders, considered Bose's martial strategy as absolutely necessary. He emphasized that "momentary displays of violence cannot become the basis of a theory of organization,"⁸ but he warned against depending only on violence.

While supporting Bose's methods, Lohia remained dedicated to democratic socialism, believing that mass movements and participatory democracy could create India's future.

4. Emphasis on Economic and Social Justice

Lohia argued that political independence without economic and social equality was incomplete. His socialist vision included:

- Decentralized governance: He established the Four-Pillar State, which divided authority among the national, state, district, and village levels.

⁷ Sachchidanand Sinha, LOHIA'S SOCIALISM: AN UNDERDOG'S PERSPECTIVE, Vol.45, No. 40, Economic and Political Weekly, pp. 51-55, October 2-8, 2010

⁸ Adi H. Doctor, LOHIA'S QUEST FOR AN AUTONOMOUS SOCIALISM, Vol.49, No.3, Indian political Science Association, pp. 312-327, July-sept. 1988

- Caste-based affirmative action: He was an early supporter of reservations and caste-based affirmative action, arguing that in order to truly attain equality, underprivileged communities must be granted special treatment.
- Economic independence: He felt that a decentralized state built on small agro-industrial communities would better meet India's requirements, hence he rejected Western economic models in favor of regional industries and rural development.

"The caste hierarchy had become the world's greatest insurance system in times of unemployment and other distress,"⁹ said Lohia, who was critical of the caste system and economic inequality. He emphasized that self-sufficiency and rural industrialization were the only ways to achieve India's true independence, viewing economic exploitation as a continuation of colonial authority.

5. Role in Goa Liberation Movement

Lohia persisted in resisting colonial rule even after India gained its independence in 1947. He was a pivotal figure in the Goa Liberation Movement (1946–47), which opposed Portuguese domination. The campaign for Goa's independence was strengthened by his activism and disobedience of the Portuguese government.

Lohia felt that no area of India should continue to be ruled by foreign powers, and he saw Goa's independence as a component of a broader anti-colonial movement. In Goa, he disobeyed the Portuguese and was taken into custody. He was jailed after disobeying restrictions on Indians' migration to the North-East Frontier Agency (NEFA), which he named Uvasium.

"He was deeply committed to freedom everywhere and his interventions in the freedom struggle in Goa and in the democratic movement in Nepal were characteristic evidence of his concern,"¹⁰ it was said, reflecting his wider ideological commitment to opposing oppression globally.

⁹ Anand Kumar, UNDERSTANDING LOHIA'S POLITICAL SOCIOLOGY: INTERSECTIONALITY OF CASTE, CLASS, GENDER AND LANGUAGE, Vol.45, No.40, Economic and political weekly, pp.64-70, October 2-8, 2010

¹⁰ Gopal Krishna, RAMMANOHAR LOHIA: AN APPRECIATION, Vol.3, No.26/28, Economic and Political weekly, pp. 1105-1107+1109+1111+1113-1114, Jul., 1968

The framework for India's military intervention in 1961, which ultimately brought an end to Portuguese control, was set by Lohia's protests, even though they did not immediately result in the independence of Goa.

6. Lohia's Criticism of Nehru's Policies

Lohia was a vocal critic of Jawaharlal Nehru's policies. While he initially admired Nehru, he later accused him of failing to bring radical economic and social reforms. Lohia believed that Nehru's centralized planning and slow economic policies did not serve the interests of the poor. His sharpest criticism was against India's continued economic dependence on foreign nations. Lohia argued that Nehru's policies favored elite industrialists rather than the working class.

Conclusion

Ram Manohar Lohia made numerous contributions to India's liberation movement, including social justice reforms, public mobilization, underground resistance, and foreign advocacy. His dedication to India's independence is demonstrated by his involvement in Congress Radio, leadership in the Quit India Movement, advocacy for both armed and non-violent resistance, and post-independence action in Goa.

In addition to political freedom, India's policies are still influenced by Lohia's ideas of social fairness, economic decentralization, and caste-based affirmative action. He is still regarded as one of the key players in India's struggle for independence because of his legacy of radical change, reform, and opposition.

INTEGRATION OF IDEA IN FRAMING OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION

The progressive ideas that **Ram Manohar Lohia** had concerning **equality, decentralization, and social justice** became fundamental pillars of the Indian Constitution. He envisioned **abolishing the caste structure, empowering marginalized groups, and implementing grassroots democracy**. Many of his ideas found expression in constitutional provisions related to **social justice, economic equity, federalism, women's rights, and democracy**.

1. Social Justice and Equality

Lohia's relentless criticism of **caste hierarchies and social inequalities** shaped constitutional

provisions like **Articles 15 and 16**, which prohibit discrimination and enable affirmative action. He firmly believed that **caste-based inequality would not disappear automatically with economic progress** and insisted on **positive discrimination**. He stated, "Sudras, Harijans, Muslims, and women must be placed in high positions even though they may not be fully deserving" ¹¹. This principle directly influenced the **reservation system** introduced through **Articles 15(4), 16(4), 330, and 332**, ensuring representation for Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, and other marginalized communities.

Additionally, **Article 46**, which promotes the educational and economic interests of Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, and other weaker sections, reflects Lohia's belief in **uplifting the oppressed through structural reforms**.

2. Decentralization and Functional Federalism

Lohia's *Four-Pillar State* model promoted **decentralized governance**, advocating **political power at four levels—nation, state, district, and village**. He emphasized that "the concept of divisible political power must be treated so elastically that it becomes capable of continual stretching consistent with the integrity of the country" ¹². This philosophy is embedded in **Articles 40 and 243**, which focus on **Panchayati Raj Institutions** and local governance. The **73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments (1992)**, which formalized Panchayati Raj and urban local bodies, align with Lohia's **vision of grassroots democracy**.

3. Economic Justice

Lohia **opposed both capitalism and communism**, arguing that neither system could address India's unique socio-economic challenges. He emphasized that "Socialism must emphasize bread and freedom immediately or at the same time". This vision influenced the Directive Principles of State Policy (DPSP), particularly Articles 38 and 39, which direct the state to ensure social welfare and an equitable distribution of resources.

¹¹ Jaya Shrivastava, LOCATING LOHIA IN FEMINIST THEORY, Vol.49, No. 5, Economic and Political Weekly, pp.69-74, February 1, 2014

¹² Adi H. Doctor, LOHIA'S QUEST FOR AN AUTONOMOUS SOCIALISM, Vol.49, No.3, Indian Political Science Association, pp. 312- 327, July- Sept. 1988

His advocacy for reduced economic disparity, wealth redistribution, and land reforms resonates with provisions such as:

- Article 39(b) & (c): Preventing the concentration of wealth and ensuring resources serve the common good.
- Article 41 & 43: Ensuring the right to work and fair wages, supporting Lohia's call for workers' empowerment.

4. Empowerment of Women

Lohia viewed **gender inequality as a major barrier to social progress**. He identified four crucial areas of concern: "the slavery of the kitchen, and the lack of drinking water and toilets¹³, hypocrisy in sex and marriage, equality in education and property, and leadership opportunities". He saw man-woman equality as the foundation of social change and advocated for women's active participation in public life. His ideas are reflected in:

- Article 39(d): Equal pay for equal work.
- Hindu Succession Act (1956): Providing equal inheritance rights to women.
- Article 15(3): Empowering the state to make special provisions for women and children.

His advocacy also influenced later women's reservation policies and legal reforms addressing gender equality.

5. Democratic Rights and Liberty

Lohia believed that **true democracy required direct participation of the masses** and warned against the increasing gap between the ruling elite and the people. He stated, "The gulf between the rulers and the ruled has not been narrowed". This belief aligns with:

¹³ Anand Kumar, UNDERSTANDING LOHIA'S POLITICAL SOCIOLOGY: INTERSECTIONALITY OF CASTE, CLASS, GENDER AND LANGUAGE, Vol. 45. No.40, Economic and Political Weekly, pp.64-70, October 2-8, 2010

- Articles 325 & 326: Ensuring universal adult suffrage and equal voting rights.
- Article 19: Guaranteeing freedom of speech and expression, which Lohia championed as essential for a participatory democracy.

He also supported proportional representation and electoral reforms to ensure that governance was more inclusive and representative of all sections of society.

6. Synthesis of Gandhian Ideas

Lohia **admired Gandhian principles** but sought to combine them with **socialist ideals** for a more just society. He described Gandhi's influence as "a commitment to the idea of an Indian nation embracing all members of society"¹⁴. This synthesis of ideas is evident in:

- The Preamble of the Indian Constitution: Its emphasis on justice, equality, and fraternity.
- Article 51A: Fundamental duties that promote harmony and national integration.
- Gandhian DPSPs (Articles 47, 48, and 48A): Reflecting Lohia's belief in self-sufficiency, village industries, and environmental consciousness.

CONCLUSION

- Lohia's progressive vision influenced several key constitutional provisions, including affirmative action, decentralized governance, economic and gender justice, and democratic participation. His ideas ensured that India's independence was not just political but also social and economic.
- His contributions remain relevant in ongoing debates on reservation policies, economic reforms, electoral transparency, and gender equality. By integrating socialist principles with democratic governance, Lohia laid a foundation for an India that strives for true equality and justice.

¹⁴ Gopal Krishna, RAMMANOHAR LOHIA: AN APPRECIATION, Vol.3, NO. 26/28, Economic and Political Weekly, pp. 1105-1107+1109+1111+1113-1114, Jul.,1968

CONCLUSION

Ram Manohar Lohia's role in India's struggle for freedom and the post-independence policy paradigm verifies that though his vision of socialism did not take complete shape as a national economic model, his thoughts on social justice, reservation based on castes, and decentralization have left their lasting impact on Indian governance.

His efforts for social justice resulted in the institutionalization of affirmative action policies, as found in Articles 15(4), 16(4), and 46 of the Indian Constitution, providing representation to Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, and other depressed classes. His insistence on reservations based on caste continues to be a core characteristic of India's social policy, shaping political discourse and policy interventions until today.

Lohia's decentralized governance theory helped make the Panchayati Raj system popular through the 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments that reaffirmed grassroots democracy. His concept of economic justice led to Directive Principles like Articles 38 and 39, which espouse the redistribution of wealth and social welfare.

Although India failed to adopt his model of decentralized socialism in entirety, his visions on political and economic justice are at the heart of current discourses on governance, policies on reservations, and autonomy of regions. This serves to validate the hypothesis that Lohia's socialism was not adopted in full measure as a national policy, but his ideals continue to influence India's political and legal framework.

REFERENCES

ARTICLES:

1. Yogendra Yadav, WHAT IS LIVING AND WHAT IS DEAD IN RAMMANOHAR LOHIA?, Vol.45, No.40, Economic and Political Weekly, pp. 92-107, October 2-8, 2010
2. Anand Kumar, UNDERSTANDING LOHIA'S POLITICAL SOCIOLOGY: INTERSECTIONALITY OF CASTE, CLASS, GENDER AND LANGUAGE, Vol.45, No.40, Economic and Political Weekly, pp.64-70, October 2-8
3. A.K.Sharma, THE ROLE OF THE CSP IN THE TRANSFER OF POWER AND PARTITION OF INDIA, VOL.49, Indian History Congress, pp. 394-401, 1988
4. Sachchidanand Sinha, LOHIA'S SOCIALISM: AN UNDERDOG'S PERSPECTIVE, Vol.45, No. 40, Economic and Political Weekly, pp. 51-55, October 2-8, 2010,
5. Adi H. Doctor, LOHIA'S QUEST FOR AN AUTONOMOUS SOCIALISM, Vol.49, No.3, Indian political Science Association, pp. 312-327, July-sept.1988
6. Anand Kumar, UNDERSTANDING LOHIA'S POLITICAL SOCIOLOGY: INTERSECTIONALITY OF CASTE, CLASS, GENDER AND LANGUAGE, Vol.45, No.40, Economic and political weekly, pp.64-70, October 2-8, 2010
7. Gopal Krishna, RAMMANOHAR LOHIA: AN APPRECIATION, Vol.3, No.26/28, Economic and Political weekly, pp. 1105-1107+1109+1111+1113-1114, Jul., 1968
8. Jaya Shrivastava, LOCATING LOHIA IN FEMINIST THEORY, Vol.49, No. 5, Economic and Political Weekly, pp.69-74, February 1, 2014

JOURNALS:

9. Dr. Balaji P. Munde, DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIA'S PROGNOSIS TOWARDS INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT OF INDIAN SOCIETY, Vol. 4, Issue 3, International Journal of advances in Engineering and Management, pp. 740-741, March 2022

10. Dr. Vikramjit Singh, RAMMANOHAR LOHIA IN PRE- INDEPENDENCE INDIA,
Vol. 5, issue. 12, pp. 1-7, December 2018