
AI AND COPYRIGHT: AN ANALYSIS

Kantika Tiwari, B.A. LL.B. (Hons), Amity Law School, AUMP

ABSTRACT

In the digital era, artificial intelligence (AI), as a revolutionary force, has dramatically changed how artistic production is produced, distributed, and owned. The intricate connection between copyright law and artificial intelligence is explored in this research, with a particular emphasis on the difficulties that AI-created material presents to current legal structures. The study explores whether artificial intelligence-produced works are eligible for copyright protection and highlights the important topic of authorship in the absence of human inventiveness. The study examines national and international copyright legislation, such as the Indian Copyright Act of 1957, as well as legal viewpoints in the United States, the European Union, and the United Kingdom, using a doctrinal and analytical methodology. Additionally, it analyzes major cases and policy debates handled by international organizations such as the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). The study found that the legal concerns raised by artificial intelligence technologies are mostly not addressed by existing copyright law. Lack of clear legal acknowledgment of artificial intelligence authorship, unclear ownership rights, and problems with fair usage and training material are among the significant obstacles. The study finishes by underlining the need of legislative reforms including the development of a regular international framework and the recognition of the creative possibilities of human-AI collaboration. The study proposes a fair legislative approach balancing the protection of creators' rights with the promotion of invention. The fast advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has brought about major changes in the way intellectual property is created, distributed, and consumed. The capacity of artificial intelligence systems to generate text, music, graphics, and software code has generated important concerns about authorship, ownership, and copyright protection. This study looks at the intersection of copyright law and artificial intelligence, with an emphasis on doctrinal concerns, international and Indian legal perspectives, and fresh case law from 2024–2025. It evaluates whether artificial intelligence-generated works qualify for copyright protection, examines liability issues in cases of infringement, and assesses the adequacy of existing legislative frameworks. Although traditional copyright laws still apply, the study discover that major legal changes are required to handle the unique challenges raised by AI technology.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Copyright Law, AI-Generated Works, Intellectual Property Rights, Authorship.

CHAPTER - 1 Introduction

The fast growth of artificial intelligence (AI) has drastically changed the terrain of creativity, invention, and intellectual output. AI systems, especially generative models, can now produce literary works, music, visual art, and other creative outputs formerly believed to be exclusively achievable with the human intellect. This technical advancement has called into doubt the application of copyright law, which has conventionally been founded on the ideas of human authorship and originality, therefore presenting significant philosophical and legal questions. Copyright legislation seeks to protect artists' rights by giving them exclusive control over the use and dissemination of their original works, therefore acting as a component of intellectual property rights. But the emergence of AI-generated content casts doubt on the fundamental tenets of this legal structure. When a computer generates a work on its own, one has to consider who should be recognized as the author: the programmer, the user, the proprietor of the AI system, or the AI itself. Because of this ambiguity, determining ownership, accountability, and the degree of protection provided by current legislation has been rather challenging. The Copyright Act of 1957, relevant in India and other nations, doesn't explicitly discuss the legal standing of AI-generated content, hence necessitating interpretive approaches to integrate fresh technologies into existing legal structures. Similarly, legal systems throughout the world, such as those of the United States, the European Union, and the United Kingdom, are grappling with challenges including the copyrightability of artificial intelligence outputs, the legality of employing copyrighted content to train artificial intelligence systems, and the applicability of concepts like fair use and fair dealing in the framework of machine learning. Moreover, the increasing use of artificial intelligence in creative industries has had significant socioeconomic consequences. AI provides opportunities for higher production and creativity, but it also creates difficulties like the possibility of employment displacement, ethical concerns about originality and authenticity, and hazards connected to abuse, including deepfakes and bogus information. The commercialization of AI-generated work makes inquiries regarding licencing, royalties, and market competition even more difficult. Recent court cases such as *Getty Images v. Stability AI* show how technology is moving forward more quickly than copyright laws. These examples emphasize the great need of legislative change and clarity to handle the particular issues raised by artificial

intelligence. Organizations all throughout the world, such the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), are actively engaging in conversations about developing consistent artificial intelligence and copyright legislation approaches.

This study intends to provide a critical analysis of the link between AI and copyright law by looking at the volume of AI-generated works, the current legal framework governing such works, and the socioeconomic effects of AI on creative sectors. Furthermore, it investigates international points of view and comparative legal frameworks to highlight flaws in the current system. Finally, the study wants to offer recommendations for creating a coherent and future-proof legal framework that strikes a balance among the interests of society as a whole, technology developers, and creators. In a wide variety of sectors, including creative ones historically governed by copyright laws, artificial intelligence (AI) has become a game-changing element.

CHAPTER 2- LITERATURE REVIEW

Given the recent advancements in generative AI technology, the intersection of copyright law and artificial intelligence (AI) has been a significant subject of academic debate. There is increasing worry in the current literature about whether traditional copyright laws are sufficient to address issues connected to the authorship, ownership, and use of copyrighted data for training artificial intelligence systems. Early research on the subject mainly focused on the concept of authorship and the need that copyright protection depend on human invention. Academics like Feist (1991) emphasized the necessity of inventiveness and human intellectual effort, so setting the stage for rejecting copyright coverage to non-human authors. Expanding on this, Ginsburg (2003) claimed that copyright legislation naturally assumes a human author, therefore establishing a theoretical obstacle to accepting artificial intelligence as an independent inventor. Recent research have examined the legal status of AI-generated content as AI technologies have progressed. Abbott (2016) initially suggested the idea of giving artificial intelligence systems legal status, arguing that under certain situations artificial intelligence might be regarded as a sort of author. But this view has been hotly disputed. On the other hand, scholars such Samuelson (2020) argue that granting artificial intelligence authorship would contradict the fundamental aims of copyright law, which are to encourage human creativity. Another significant corpus of research addresses the topic of ownership of AI-created content. Lemley and Casey (2019) contend that

ownership should reside in the human persons engaged in the development process, such as users and developers, not in the AI itself. Similarly, Burrell (2016) highlights the lack of transparency in machine learning algorithms (the "black box" dilemma), which complicates the attribution of creative input and accountability. A major issue of ongoing debate is the usage of copyrighted content as training material for artificial intelligence systems. Authors like Sag (2019) and Grimmelmann (2016) have looked at whether such use is legal under fair use or fair dealing rules. Some scholars claim that artificial intelligence training is a ground-breaking use that ought to be permitted; however, others contend that it has the potential to lead to widespread, unlawful use of copyrighted material, so harming the original creators.

The scholarship also shows a growing focus on comparative and jurisdictional legal approaches. Studies of the United States tend to focus on the fair use doctrine's flexibility, as demonstrated by Netanel's (2008) work, while European academics tend to focus on more rigid, author-centric frameworks under EU copyright directives. As underlined by a modest but expanding body of research, the absence of unambiguous legal regulations in India relating to artificial intelligence makes judicial interpretation and legislative developments required. Current scholarly debate has also been impacted by ongoing lawsuits concerning artificial intelligence systems, including conflicts over image creation tools and big language models. These events have sparked intense debate about copyright infringement, liability, and the need for regulatory clarity. Scholars are progressively demanding legal change, suggesting models such as the recognition of new rights categories, required licensing systems, or particular protection for works produced by artificial intelligence. Furthermore, literature addressing the ethical and societal consequences of AI-generated content underlines concerns about uniqueness, truthfulness, and cultural impacts. Floridi et al. (2018) and other authors emphasize the importance of creating ethical frameworks alongside legal regulations to ensure the responsible use of artificial intelligence. Much research has also been done on the potential financial effect on creative professionals, including job losses and shifts in income models. Though research is growing, great gaps remain. Still under debate are important issues such as the copyright ability of AI-generated content, the sharing of ownership, and the legitimacy of training approaches.

Additionally, the fact that the existing legal frameworks in various nations are still disconnected and contradictory reinforces the need for uniform global methods. Using the

framework of Indian and international copyright law, this study critically analyzes these unanswered questions, expanding the current body of knowledge. By pinpointing flaws in existing legal frameworks and offering realistic and equitable solutions for regulating AI-created creativity in the future, it seeks to advance the dialogue.

CHAPTER 3 – RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Using a doctrinal and analytical approach, this study examines the changing connection between copyright law and artificial intelligence (AI). The study mostly makes use of secondary sources, such as legislation, case law, academic journals, policy papers, and international reports, due to the topic's legal and conceptual character.

Qualitative and concentrating on the interpretation and study of the legal norms controlling copyright in the setting of works generated by AI is the present investigation. It seeks to investigate the theoretical justifications as well as the practical consequences of applying traditional copyright laws to new technology.

The research is analytical as well as descriptive:

- It outlines the present legal structure controlling copyright and AI in different nations.
- It is analytical because it evaluates the sufficiency of these rules in addressing contemporary issues raised by artificial intelligence technologies.
- Understanding judicial precedents and legislative clauses requires doctrinal study.

Comparative Analysis: Finding parallels and contrasts by comparing Indian law with other Countries.

Critical Analysis: To find contradictions, uncertainties, and problems in the way laws are made and enforced now.

CHAPTER 4 -DISCUSSION

The advent of artificial intelligence (AI) as a creative force has revolutionized the conventional view of copyright law. Copyright has traditionally been founded on the

protection of human intellectual labor, which promotes creativity and stimulates innovation by providing rewards. Still, the legal framework is currently under unparalleled pressure as AI systems able to generate autonomous material spread, therefore demanding both doctrinal review and legislative invention. The integration of artificial intelligence into creative industries early on signifies a paradigm shift from human-centred to hybrid and machine-assisted innovation. AI tools are no longer just useful aids; they are actively involved in the creative process. This change complicates the distinction between a creator and a tool, raising critical questions about the nature of authorship. When artificial intelligence operates independently or with minimal human involvement, the conventional need for human authorship becomes difficult to satisfy, so leaving a legal vacuum regarding ownership and protection of these works.

A major issue emerging from this change is the copyrightability of AI-generated content. Under copyright law, originality is vital; conventionally, this has been regarded as the outcome of human intelligence. Though often original and complex, AI-generated outputs come from algorithmic processes educated using already existing datasets. This calls into doubt whether such works are really "original" or essentially derivative. Still divided on this matter are academics and courts, some advocating for broadening protection using interpretative approaches and others cautioning against undermining the human-centred foundation of copyright law. The problem of ownership adds even more complexity to the legal landscape. Rights claims may be filed on AI-generated work by Business, user, and developer among other interested parties. Although some legal systems, like the Indian framework under the Copyright Act, 1957, seek to handle this issue through rules relating to computer-generated works, these laws were not developed with contemporary AI in mind. Therefore, their utility to sophisticated AI systems is still in doubt and usually inadequate. This ambiguity leaves the way for probable conflicts and undermines the validity of commercial activity. Another crucial component of the discussion is whether copyrighted content should be used to teach artificial intelligence systems.

The majority of contemporary AI models rely on huge datasets, many of which contain copyrighted content. The legality of such usage is up for dispute. The fair use theory gives some leeway in jurisdictions like the United States, allowing for the claim that AI training is inherently transformative. This viewpoint is not, however, widely held, and there are still concerns about widespread unlawful usage of copyrighted material. The increasing conflict

between intellectual property rights and technological progress is evident in continuing litigation, including high-profile disputes involving AI developers. Significant variation between jurisdictions is revealed by comparing different legal systems. In contrast to the United States' more liberal fair use policy, the European Union prioritizes strict author rights and few exceptions. Through specific statutory provisions, the United Kingdom has made an effort to handle AI-generated works, but there are still real-world issues to contend with. India, on the other hand, depends on interpretive techniques that may not be enough to handle new challenges rather than having specific laws pertaining to AI. The lack of a coordinated worldwide response to copyright and AI is made apparent by this fragmentation.

The societal and economic ramifications of AI-created creativity are significant, aside from any legal issues. The democratization of content creation brought about by AI has allowed individuals and companies to create high-quality products with little resources. Additionally, it represents a major threat to traditional producers since it has the potential to displace employment and disrupt well-established revenue models. The ethical implications of the proliferation of AI-generated content are also a concern, particularly in terms of authenticity, cultural integrity, and the dissemination of false information via technologies like deepfakes. AI has the potential to foster innovation and expansion in creative industries from an economic standpoint. However, the lack of defined legal frameworks governing ownership, licensing, and payment creates uncertainty that could impede fair competition and investment. The concentration of AI skills in a few large companies increases worries about data monopolization and an unfair distribution of benefits. Additionally, the debate highlights the critical role of ethical considerations in informing legislative responses to AI. Any regulatory structure must include transparency, accountability, and equity as essential elements.

It is challenging to assign responsibility because AI systems are inherently "black box" in nature, especially when AI-generated outputs infringe rights or cause harm. Interdisciplinary cooperation in the fields of technology, ethics, and policy, in addition to legal changes, is necessary to address these issues.

These challenges underscore how insufficient existing copyright laws are at handling the realities of AI-driven innovation. Instead of incremental changes, a more complete

reevaluation of the legislative framework is necessary. Possible solutions include the establishment of sui generis rights for works produced by artificial intelligence, more precise rules about authorship and ownership, and the establishment of licensing systems for training data. Additionally, it is imperative that international cooperation establish consistent standards that can effectively regulate AI globally.

In conclusion, there is both promise and ambiguity in the relationship between AI and copyright. Although AI has the potential to revolutionize creativity and innovation, it also contradicts the fundamental principles of copyright legislation. The path forward is to strike a balance between fostering technological advancement and protecting the rights of human artists. Ongoing interaction with changing technological realities, intelligent policy creation, and proactive legislative change will be necessary to maintain this equilibrium.

CHAPTER 5 - CONCLUSION

One of the trickiest and most changing issues in current legal debate is the interaction between copyright law and artificial intelligence (AI). Traditional ideas of authorship, originality, and ownership that underpin copyright law are fundamentally challenged by the growing penetration of AI technologies across creative sectors. Through doctrinal, comparative, and analytical perspectives, this study has explored the diverse ramifications of AI-generated works, exposing the possibilities and limitations in current legal frameworks. This study's main conclusion is that the majority of today's copyright systems, including those in India, the United States, the European Union, and the United Kingdom, are still based on the notion of human authorship. When discussing works that are produced independently by AI systems, this results in considerable ambiguity. Even though some jurisdictions use interpretive regulations to try to address such works—for example, by giving the person who initiates or organizes the work credit for its authorship—these methods are often inadequate to handle the issues caused by sophisticated AI models. According to the study, one of the most contentious topics is the usage of copyrighted content to teach AI systems. Particularly in jurisdictions where principles like fair use are open to wide interpretation, the lack of unambiguous legal norms regulating such usage has resulted in more disputes and ambiguity. Recent legal battles show that courts are still struggling with basic issues, like whether AI models replicate copyrighted material and who should be held accountable for the results produced by AI. AI has the potential to

revolutionize society and the economy, but it also poses serious threats. It boosts efficiency, democratizes creativity, and creates new opportunities for invention, on the one hand. However, it endangers conventional creators, raises ethical questions about originality and authenticity, and questions current reward and recognition structures. The need for a balanced regulatory strategy is made even more evident by the rise of deepfakes, disinformation, and data usage. The absence of international agreement on copyright matters pertaining to artificial intelligence is also highlighted by this research. Different judicial methods in various jurisdictions create ambiguity and impede the establishment of a unified worldwide structure. International organizations play a key role in encouraging dialogue and promoting uniform principles in this environment. Given these findings, it is evident that existing copyright law is inadequate to address the facts of AI-driven innovation.

Legislative change that recognizes the unique aspects of AI while preserving the core tenets of copyright law is desperately needed. Some potential remedies include integrating ethical concerns into legal frameworks, defining sui generis rights for AI-generated works, defining ownership and liability rules, and developing fair and open data use policies. In conclusion, the relationship between AI and copyright is a broader social issue that needs a multidisciplinary approach rather than just a legal one. The legislation must adapt to technological advancements in order to defend the rights and interests of human creators while encouraging innovation. This equilibrium will be essential in creating an intellectual property system that is equitable, long-lasting, and prepared for the future in the era of artificial intelligence.

CHAPTER 6 – SCOPE

The rapid advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) have significantly enhanced the possibility for creative expression, but they have also given rise to a number of challenging questions in the field of copyright law. With a focus on the role of AI in the creative industry, the nature of AI-created works, issues of authorship and ownership, and the larger legal and ethical issues at stake, this chapter explores the complicated relationship between copyright and artificial intelligence.

The study focuses on:

- Issues with copyright for material produced by AI
- Worries over authorship, ownership, and originality
- Regulatory environment in India and some other countries
- The creative industry's social and economic implications of AI
- The AI system's programmer or developer
- To analyze recent case laws and legislative changes in this subject
- To assess the social and economic effects of AI on creative industries
- To propose changes for a copyright system that is both more effective and equitable.
- In many jurisdictions, the absence of specific laws governing AI results in reliance on interpretation.
- Adds to the growing discussion around copyright and artificial intelligence
- Emphasizes the necessity for legislative change and governmental action
- Establishes a basis for future investigation in the fields of technology and intellectual property law,

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) has revolutionized the field of copyright law and raised difficult questions about artistic expression. This chapter explores the intricate relationship between copyright and AI, focusing specifically on the role of AI in creative industries, the nature of AI-generated material, issues surrounding ownership and authorship, and the broader moral and legal issues brought up.

The term "AI-generated" refers to content that is either produced independently by AI systems or with little human involvement. The medium for these works might be text, photographs, software code, music, or any other form of creative expression. One of the most critical issues regarding AI-produced content is whether it satisfies the requirements of copyright legislation to be deemed "original works." The validity of AI-generated content for protection is brought into doubt because traditional copyright rules require originality to

come from human intellectual labor. There is no mention of AI-produced works in the 1957 Copyright Act. However, the author of a computer-generated work is the one who starts it, according to Section 2(d). This clause can be interpreted as including works produced by AI, which would suggest that the author is the individual who initiated the process. Because of its ambiguity, the legislation, on the other hand, necessitates judicial interpretation and legislative reform.

CHAPTER 7 - Cases Related to AI and Copyright

1) Feist Publications Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co.,

The U.S. Supreme Court ruled that originality cannot be based only on the "sweat of the brow" idea, but rather on independent creation and a certain level of ingenuity. When analyzing works produced by artificial intelligence that may lack any human ingenuity, this idea is essential.

2) In Burrow-Giles Lithographic Co. v. Sarony,

The court acknowledged that if a photograph captures the author's intellectual idea, it may be protected by copyright. This further supports the notion that copyright law prioritizes human creativity.

3) Naruto v. Slater, (The "Monkey Selfie Case,")

where the court decided that copyright ownership is not available to non-human entities. This example is frequently used in discussions on artificial intelligence to support the assertion that the current legal framework does not recognize computers as authors.

4) Thaler vs. Commissioner of Patents

Courts in a number of jurisdictions have disputed the idea of giving AI systems intellectual property rights, highlighting that authorship must be human.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Books

- *Cornish, W.R., Llewelyn, D., & Aplin, T., Intellectual Property: Patents, Copyright, Trade Marks and Allied Rights, Sweet & Maxwell.*
- *Bently, L., Sherman, B., Intellectual Property Law, Oxford University Press.*
- *Goldstein, P., International Copyright: Principles, Law and Practice, Oxford University Press.*
- *Abbott, R., The Reasonable Robot: Artificial Intelligence and the Law, Cambridge University Press.*

Journal Articles

- Samuelson, P., “Allocating Ownership Rights in Computer-Generated Works”, *University of Pittsburgh Law Review*.
- Lemley, M.A., “IP in a World Without Scarcity”, *NYU Law Review*.
- Gervais, D., “The Machine as Author”, *Iowa Law Review*.
- Yanisky-Ravid, S., “Generating Rembrandt: Artificial Intelligence, Copyright, and Accountability”, *Harvard Journal of Law & Technology*.

Reports and Papers

- WIPO, *Artificial Intelligence and Intellectual Property Policy Papers*.
- European Parliament, *Intellectual Property Rights for the Development of Artificial Intelligence Technologies*.
- UK Intellectual Property Office Reports on AI and Copyright.

Web Sources

- WIPO Official Website
- U.S. Copyright Office Website
- European Commission Digital Strategy Portal

REFERENCES

Statutes

- The Copyright Act, 1957 (India)
- U.S. Copyright Act, 1976
- Copyright, Designs and Patents Act, 1988 (UK)
- Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works

Cases

- *Feist Publications Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co.*, 499 U.S. 340 (1991)
- *Naruto v. Slater*, 888 F.3d 418 (9th Cir. 2018)
- *Thaler v. Commissioner of Patents* (various jurisdictions)
- *Burrow-Giles Lithographic Co. v. Sarony*, 111 U.S. 53 (1884)

International Materials

- WIPO Conversation on Intellectual Property and AI
- EU Copyright Directive (2019)
- TRIPS Agreement
- International treaties and conventions
- Judicial decisions from India and foreign jurisdictions

Online References

- WIPO AI Portal
- U.S. Copyright Office AI Guidance Documents
- European Union AI Policy Framework
- Online legal databases and credible websites