ONLINE DISPUTE RESOLUTION: FUTURE OF MEDIATION IN INDIA

Medha Singh, BA LLB, UPES

ABSTRACT

The growing demand for accessible, efficient, and cost-effective justice mechanisms in India has accelerated the rise of Online Dispute Resolution (ODR), especially in the post-pandemic era. As a technology-enabled extension of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR), ODR combines legal innovation with digital tools to address India's mounting case backlog and geographical barriers to justice. This research article critically examines the emergence and evolution of ODR in India, highlighting its synergy with mediation as a core method of peaceful conflict resolution. It explores key legal frameworks, institutional efforts—including initiatives by NITI Aayog and private ODR platforms like Presolv360 and Sama—and the role of the judiciary in endorsing virtual dispute resolution. By drawing on national policy plans, global models, and sectoral case studies, this paper presents an analytical view of the opportunities, challenges, and the urgent need for a dedicated legislative framework to support the growing ODR ecosystem. The study concludes with forward-looking recommendations to ensure that ODR not only complements but strengthens the future of mediation in India as a credible and inclusive justice delivery system.

INTRODUCTION:

Access to justice is a cornerstone of democratic societies, yet for millions in India, it remains a distant ideal. With over 50 million cases pending across Indian courts and the legal process often being complex, time-consuming, and expensive, there has been a growing shift toward alternative means of dispute resolution. Among these, **Online Dispute Resolution (ODR)** has emerged as a transformative approach—one that integrates technology with traditional methods like mediation, arbitration, and negotiation to resolve disputes outside the courtroom.

The COVID-19 pandemic served as a pivotal moment in the Indian justice system, compelling courts and legal professionals to adopt digital technologies almost overnight. While video conferencing, e-filing, and virtual hearings became necessities, they also revealed the potential of a more permanent digital transformation in dispute resolution. This shift not only prompted the judiciary to embrace online proceedings but also reignited conversations around mainstreaming ODR as a viable long-term solution.

Mediation, being a flexible, confidential, and collaborative process, finds a natural ally in ODR. The digitization of mediation allows parties to resolve disputes conveniently from remote locations, saving time and resources while reducing emotional strain. The Indian government, particularly through initiatives by **NITI Aayog**, has emphasized the need to institutionalize ODR, aiming to create a faster, fairer, and more inclusive justice delivery ecosystem.

Despite this progress, significant challenges remain—from infrastructural and digital literacy gaps to the absence of a comprehensive legal framework for ODR. This paper delves into the current status of ODR in India, the evolving role of mediation within this digital landscape, and the legal, institutional, and technological reforms needed to support its growth. It critically analyzes national and international models, evaluates ongoing initiatives by platforms like Presolv360, Sama, and Agami, and explores the feasibility of scaling ODR for diverse dispute categories.

As India stands at the intersection of innovation and necessity, understanding the implications of ODR for the future of mediation is crucial. Can this digital shift truly democratize access to justice, or will it deepen existing divides? This research seeks to answer that question through analytical depth, policy insight, and forward-thinking recommendations.

UNDERSTANDING ONLINE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) is the digital evolution of traditional Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms—such as mediation, arbitration, and negotiation—designed to resolve disputes outside formal court systems through the use of technology. It encompasses a range of processes conducted either entirely online or through hybrid models that combine physical and virtual elements.

ODR originated in the 1990s as a response to the exponential growth of e-commerce. Early adopters like eBay and PayPal integrated ODR systems to handle millions of small-value disputes between users. Over time, the model expanded to cover more complex civil and commercial disputes. Today, ODR is increasingly being used to address a variety of conflicts—including consumer, family, workplace, and commercial disputes—across the globe.

In the Indian context, ODR is gaining momentum not only as a tool for digital justice but also as a strategic response to the overwhelming pendency in courts. By using platforms enabled with secure video conferencing, asynchronous communication (like emails or chat-based interfaces), e-signatures, and document repositories, ODR allows parties to engage in dispute resolution from anywhere, with minimal procedural complexity.

2.1 Core Components of ODR

ODR can be broadly categorized into three key processes:

- **Online Negotiation:** Parties attempt to resolve disputes through direct interaction, often facilitated by structured digital interfaces.

- **Online Mediation:** A neutral third-party mediator assists parties in reaching a mutual agreement, usually via real-time video sessions or asynchronous text communication.

- **Online Arbitration:** A binding decision is rendered by an arbitrator after evaluating evidence and arguments submitted digitally.

While these processes mirror their offline counterparts, the **technology-driven environment adds distinct advantages**—including time-bound procedures, accessibility across geographical barriers, and reduced emotional strain, especially in sensitive cases like family

disputes.

2.2 Tools and Technologies Empowering ODR

- **Video Conferencing Tools** (e.g., Zoom, Google Meet)

- **Cloud-based Platforms** for document sharing and management (e.g., Google Drive, Dropbox)

- **Digital Signatures** for secure and authenticated agreements

- **Artificial Intelligence (AI)** for case screening, suggestion of settlements, or even predicting outcomes

- **Blockchain** for secure recordkeeping and enforceability in smart contracts

As legal technology continues to evolve, these tools are enhancing the credibility, scalability, and user-friendliness of ODR mechanisms.

LEGAL AND POLICY FRAMEWORK FOR ODR IN INDIA

The adoption and implementation of Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) in India require not only technological readiness but also a strong and enabling legal framework. While India currently lacks a standalone ODR legislation, a supportive legal and policy ecosystem is gradually taking shape through a combination of judicial pronouncements, legislative provisions, and forward-looking government initiatives.

3.1 Existing Legal Provisions Relevant to ODR

- **The Information Technology Act, 2000:**

  The IT Act provides the legal recognition of electronic records, e-signatures, and digital contracts, forming the backbone for valid online transactions and communications used in ODR. It also enables the admissibility of electronic evidence in judicial proceedings.

- **The Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996:**

  Although not specific to online arbitration, the Act permits flexibility in proceedings,

and several provisions allow the use of technology—for example, Section 19 allows parties to agree on the procedure, and the Act does not mandate physical presence.

- **The Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Section 89):**

  Section 89 empowers courts to refer disputes to ADR methods, including mediation and arbitration. This legal acknowledgment paves the way for courts to consider and refer parties to online mediation as well.

- **The Consumer Protection Act, 2019:**

  Recognizing the need for accessible grievance redressal in the digital age, this Act introduced provisions for e-filing of complaints and established the **Online Consumer Mediation Centre (OCMC)**, a government-supported digital platform for consumer dispute resolution.

3.2 Policy Developments and Government Initiatives

- **NITI Aayog's ODR Policy Plan (2021):**

  The landmark policy document titled *"Designing the Future of Dispute Resolution: The ODR Policy Plan for India"* lays out a three-level recommendation strategy:

  Structural: Improve digital infrastructure and literacy.

  Behavioral: Promote adoption of ODR in government and private sectors.

  Regulatory: Create a soft-touch framework for ODR platforms with guiding principles, ethical standards, and eventual integration into formal law.

- **Judiciary's Endorsement of ODR:**

  The Supreme Court's **e-Committee**, under Justice D.Y. Chandrachud, has actively encouraged the digitization of courts and the use of virtual platforms for arbitration and mediation. In *State of Maharashtra v. Praful Desai* (2003), the Court recognized the legality of recording evidence via video conferencing—setting a precedent for virtual hearings.

- **Integrated Case Management System (ICMS):**

  Introduced by the Supreme Court in 2017, ICMS enables e-filing, e-summons, and digital case tracking—laying the groundwork for digital dispute resolution processes at scale.

3.3 Gaps and the Need for a Dedicated ODR Legislation

Despite progressive steps, India still lacks:

- Uniform procedural rules and ethical guidelines for ODR platforms.

- Standards for accreditation or training of online mediators and arbitrators.

- Legal certainty regarding the **enforceability of ODR settlements**, especially in crossborder disputes.

Legal scholars and policy experts—including from Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy and Mediate.com—have emphasized the importance of drafting a dedicated ODR law, similar to Canada's **Civil Resolution Tribunal Act**, which clearly defines scope, structure, and enforcement protocols for digital dispute resolution.

MEDIATION IN INDIA: TRADITIONAL AND INSTITUTIONAL MODELS

Mediation, a core pillar of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR), emphasizes dialogue, mutual consent, and the role of a neutral third party in facilitating dispute settlement. In the Indian context, mediation has deep roots—from traditional *panchayat* systems to contemporary court annexed mediation centers. With the advent of Online Dispute Resolution (ODR), mediation is undergoing a significant transformation, adapting to the demands of digital efficiency and wider access.

4.1 Traditional Mediation in India

Historically, India's dispute resolution culture was grounded in informal community-based mediation, often led by elders or respected figures in village *panchayats*. These mechanisms were accessible, fast, and less adversarial but lacked formal legal structure or enforceability.

In modern times, mediation has gained institutional recognition. Courts, overwhelmed with

pendency, have encouraged parties to resolve matters through court-referred mediation under **Section 89 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908**. The **Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987**, also empowers statutory legal services institutions to conduct mediation as part of providing free legal aid.

However, traditional mediation models in India often face challenges such as:

- Lack of formal training or certification for mediators.

- Perception of mediation as a "weaker" or non-binding process.

- Delay in referral and scheduling of mediation sessions.

- Gender and power imbalances that can go unaddressed in informal settings.

4.2 Institutional Mediation in India

In the last two decades, various institutional mediation centers have been established across India. These include:

Court-annexed mediation centers (e.g., Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre, Bangalore Mediation Centre).

- **Private institutions** such as the **Centre for Advanced Mediation Practice (CAMP)** and **India International Mediation Centre**.

- Sector-specific centers like the **Online Consumer Mediation Centre (OCMC)** for ecommerce and consumer disputes.

Institutional mediation brings several benefits:

- Structured procedures and regulated timelines.

- Trained and accredited mediators.

- Greater enforceability and documentation of outcomes. Yet, even these institutional frameworks have their limitations:

- Limited penetration in rural and semi-urban regions.

- High dependency on physical infrastructure.

- Scheduling bottlenecks due to heavy caseloads and limited staff.

4.3 The COVID-19 Effect and Rise of Online Mediation

The onset of the COVID-19 pandemic served as a watershed moment. In-person mediation sessions were suspended, and courts pivoted to virtual hearings. This necessitated the **digital migration of mediation**. Platforms such as **Sama, Presolv360, and CADRE** quickly gained attention for offering virtual mediation services—delivering resolutions for commercial disputes, employment issues, and more, entirely online.

Online mediation in India presents several advantages:

- Removes geographical and logistical barriers.

- Makes the process less intimidating for parties, especially women or marginalized groups.

- Allows for quicker initiation and conclusion of sessions.

- Offers enhanced confidentiality through encrypted digital communication tools.

In essence, the shift toward online mediation is not just a technological adjustment—it is a **structural opportunity** to democratize mediation access and reshape the way disputes are resolved in India.

SYNERGIES BETWEEN ODR AND MEDIATION

As India stands at the cusp of a digital revolution in dispute resolution, the convergence of Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) and mediation marks a critical turning point. While mediation already offers an amicable, non-adversarial forum for resolving disputes, the integration of digital tools through ODR enhances its accessibility, scalability, and efficiency. This synergy has the potential to overcome longstanding barriers in traditional mediation and usher in a future where justice is not only timely but also inclusive and user-friendly.

5.1 How ODR Enhances Mediation

- **Accessibility and Convenience:**

Parties can attend mediation sessions from remote or rural areas, avoiding travel expenses and logistical burdens. This is especially empowering for women, persons with disabilities, and those with time constraints.

- **Time Efficiency:**

Digital mediation platforms allow for quicker scheduling, real-time or asynchronous communication, and faster resolution cycles, which is vital in low-value or timesensitive disputes.

- **Reduced Intimidation and Informality:**

Parties are often more comfortable speaking in familiar digital settings rather than courtrooms. This helps create a less adversarial and more cooperative atmosphere— essential for mediation.

- **Cost-Effectiveness:**

Online mediation cuts down on overheads such as venue rentals, printed documents, and travel, making it an ideal solution for small and medium-value disputes.

- **Data Management and Confidentiality:**

Secure digital tools ensure that sensitive case materials are encrypted and stored, while also facilitating organized documentation and faster information retrieval.

5.2 Leading ODR-Mediation Platforms in India

Sama:

Sama is a tech-enabled dispute resolution platform that offers mediation and conciliation services in partnership with government bodies, companies, and educational institutions. Notably, it has helped resolve over 10,000 disputes with an average resolution time of just a few days.

- **Presolv360:**

Presolv360 focuses on commercial and financial disputes, using a structured online mediation process guided by neutral experts. It is recognized for its user-friendly platform and partnership with financial institutions.

- **CADRE (Centre for Advanced Dispute Resolution Excellence):**

CADRE offers online arbitration and mediation for landlord-tenant and commercial lease disputes. Its simplified digital interface is particularly beneficial in urban rental conflict resolution.

- **Online Consumer Mediation Centre (OCMC):**

Set up under the aegis of the National Law School of India University, OCMC specifically caters to consumer-related grievances in e-commerce—an area witnessing rising disputes post-pandemic.

5.3 Use Cases and Case Studies

- **Motor Accident Claims & Cheque Bounce Cases:**

Justice D.Y. Chandrachud, chairperson of the e-Committee of the Supreme Court, emphasized that ODR can be highly effective for repetitive, high-volume disputes like cheque bounces and motor accident claims. Pilot ODR mediation programs have already shown promising results in clearing pending cases in bulk.

- **Corporate & Commercial Disputes:**

Several fintech companies, NBFCs, and real estate firms have partnered with ODR platforms to resolve payment defaults, service disputes, and tenancy issues via online mediation—reducing reliance on litigation.

5.4 The Role of Mediators in ODR

The shift to online mediation also necessitates a shift in mediator skills. A digital mediator must be:

- Comfortable with virtual communication tools.

- Trained in managing online dynamics and emotional cues without physical presence.

- Tech-sensitive to issues like cybersecurity and data protection.

Training and accreditation programs for digital mediators will be a crucial part of building long-term trust in ODR-based mediation.

CHALLENGES AND CONCERNS

While Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) coupled with mediation offers transformative potential, its adoption in India is not without obstacles. These challenges span legal, infrastructural, cultural, and procedural domains. For ODR to mature into a mainstream justice delivery mechanism, these issues must be acknowledged and addressed with both policy foresight and grassroots execution.

6.1 Digital Divide and Accessibility

A significant barrier to the widespread adoption of ODR in India is the stark **digital divide**. According to the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, only **38% of Indian households are digitally literate**. The divide is sharper in rural areas and among women— where internet penetration and access to devices remain low. For ODR to fulfill its promise of inclusivity, digital infrastructure must be improved, and **targeted digital literacy programs** must be implemented.

6.2 Legal and Regulatory Gaps

Despite the growing use of ODR, India still lacks a **dedicated legislative framework**. Questions about the enforceability of ODR settlements—especially those reached through nonjudicial platforms—continue to surface. Key concerns include:

- Absence of standardized rules for online mediation procedures.

- No accreditation mechanism for digital ODR platforms or mediators.

- Ambiguity around the legal recognition of AI-assisted dispute resolution tools.

This legal vacuum not only creates **uncertainty** for disputing parties but also deters institutional adoption at scale.

6.3 Confidentiality and Data Security

ODR processes often involve the exchange of sensitive personal, financial, or business-related information. Without robust **data protection laws**, there is a risk of breaches, unauthorized surveillance, or misuse of digital evidence. Ensuring **end-to-end encryption**, secure cloud storage, and compliance with global privacy standards (such as GDPR) is essential for building public trust.

6.4 Resistance from Traditional Legal Ecosystem

Many legal practitioners and mediators, especially those trained in conventional methods, exhibit **hesitation or resistance** toward adopting digital platforms. Reasons include:

- Lack of familiarity with tech tools.

- Concerns over reduced interpersonal connection and control in virtual environments.

- Fear of being replaced by automated or AI-based systems.

Capacity-building and **continuous professional development (CPD)** programs can help bridge this mindset gap.

6.5 Inconsistent User Experience Across Platforms

Currently, ODR platforms in India operate without uniform interface standards or procedural consistency. This leads to:

- Varied timelines and quality of service.

- Confusion among users, especially first-time litigants.

- Risk of bias or perceived partiality in proprietary platforms.

A **regulatory body or certifying authority** could establish minimum benchmarks to ensure quality, fairness, and transparency.

6.6 Challenges of Impartiality in AI Tools

As AI and automation begin to play a larger role in ODR, concerns arise around **algorithmic bias**, especially if the training data reflects societal or historical prejudices. Without transparency in how these tools operate, there's a risk of undermining neutrality—a cornerstone of mediation ethics.

COMPARATIVE STUDY

As India strives to mainstream Online Dispute Resolution (ODR), valuable lessons can be drawn from global pioneers who have successfully integrated technology into their justice systems. These international experiences offer insights into policy design, institutional models, and best practices that can guide India's journey in making ODR more effective and inclusive.

7.1 Canada – Civil Resolution Tribunal (CRT)

Canada's **Civil Resolution Tribunal (CRT)** is a globally recognized model of a successful ODR system. Launched in British Columbia, CRT handles small claims, condominium, and motor vehicle injury disputes entirely online.

Key features:

- **Structured, phased process:** Begins with self-help tools and progresses to online negotiation, mediation, and finally adjudication.

- **User-centric platform:** Designed for ease of use, even for parties without legal representation.

- **Legally binding outcomes:** Decisions are enforceable like court judgments.

Lessons for India:

- Dedicated legislation can provide legal certainty and public trust.

- Technology can simplify rather than complicate dispute resolution.

- Focus on low-value, high-frequency cases yields tangible results.

7.2 United Kingdom – HMCTS Digital Reform

The **Her Majesty's Courts and Tribunals Service (HMCTS)** in the UK launched its digital reform program in 2016 to modernize justice delivery.

Initiatives include:

- Online portals for divorce, traffic offenses, and small money claims.

- Guided pathways for self-represented litigants.

- Hybrid systems where parties switch from online to in-person proceedings if needed.

Lessons for India:

- Seamless transitions between physical and digital systems are crucial.

- Legal tech must be supported by judicial awareness and public education.

7.3 Singapore – Tech-Driven Mediation

Singapore has positioned itself as a global hub for ODR and institutional mediation through entities like the **Singapore International Mediation Centre (SIMC)** and **ODR-enabled dispute boards**.

Highlights:

- Government-backed promotion of e-mediation for cross-border commercial disputes.

- Use of AI and blockchain for secure, tamper-proof dispute resolution.

- Strong integration of ODR into its international commercial court system.

Lessons for India:

- A pro-innovation legal ecosystem encourages cross-border confidence.

- Institutional support and government collaboration are essential for scalability.

7.4 United States – Private Sector-Led ODR

In the U.S., private platforms like **Modria** and **Tyler Technologies** have been widely used for resolving disputes related to taxes, consumer issues, and family law.

Distinctive elements:

- Private-public partnerships (PPPs) with courts and municipalities.

- Automated negotiation tools and dynamic forms.

- Optional escalation to human mediators.

Lessons for India:

- Collaboration between courts, startups, and tech firms can accelerate ODR adoption.

- Flexibility in integrating AI for preliminary stages adds efficiency.

By benchmarking India's ODR efforts against international models, it becomes clear that a hybrid approach—blending legal reform, government involvement, and private innovation—can pave the way for a robust digital dispute resolution framework.

THE ROAD AHEAD: RECOMMENDATIONS

To realize the full potential of Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) and reshape the future of mediation in India, a holistic approach is required—one that blends technology with legal reform, education, and ethical safeguards. Based on domestic needs and global insights, the following strategies are proposed:

8.1 Strengthening Legal and Regulatory Framework

- Enact **dedicated ODR legislation** defining scope, enforceability, procedural safeguards, and accreditation mechanisms for mediators and platforms.

- Amend existing laws like the Arbitration and Conciliation Act and Civil Procedure Code to explicitly incorporate provisions for ODR.

8.2 Capacity Building and Digital Literacy

- Launch nationwide **ODR training and certification** programs for mediators, arbitrators, and legal professionals.

- Promote **digital literacy drives**, especially in rural areas, ensuring equitable access to ODR platforms.

8.3 Public-Private Collaboration

- Encourage **collaboration between courts and ODR startups**, creating a shared infrastructure for virtual case referrals, pre-litigation mediation, and settlement enforcement.

- Incentivize **corporate use of ODR** through tax benefits, industry guidelines, or CSRlinked legal aid.

8.4 Inclusive and Accessible Platform Design

- Mandate **multi-language and mobile-friendly interfaces** for ODR tools.

- Introduce **voice-enabled and screen-reader compatible designs** to assist persons with disabilities or limited literacy.

8.5 Ethical and Technological Safeguards

- Establish **ethical standards and grievance redressal mechanisms** for ODR platforms.

- Promote **AI transparency and human oversight**, ensuring that technology enhances rather than replaces human judgment.

8.6 Institutionalizing ODR in the Judiciary

- Create **court-annexed ODR wings** for selected disputes like cheque bounce cases, matrimonial issues, and consumer claims.

- Integrate ODR into the e-Courts ecosystem and strengthen its role in National Judicial Data Grid (NJDG) reporting.

CONCLUSION

Online Dispute Resolution is no longer a futuristic concept—it is a present necessity. As India grapples with judicial backlog, rising legal costs, and an increasingly digital society, ODR offers a path toward a more accessible, efficient, and human-centered justice system. Mediation, with its emphasis on consensus and empathy, is uniquely positioned to thrive in this digital environment, provided the right tools, training, and trust are established.

The shift to online mediation is not merely a technological transition but a **philosophical one**—moving from adversarial procedures to collaborative problem-solving, from exclusivity to inclusion. While challenges like the digital divide, legal uncertainty, and resistance to change persist, they are not insurmountable.

By learning from global best practices, investing in infrastructure, and crafting India-specific solutions, ODR can evolve into a cornerstone of India's legal landscape. If implemented with vision and care, the fusion of ODR and mediation can truly **redefine access to justice**—making it not just a constitutional promise, but a lived reality for all.

REFERENCES

1. NITI Aayog, *Designing the Future of Dispute Resolution: The ODR Policy Plan for India*, Press Information Bureau (Nov. 29, 2021), https://pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=1776202.

2. Mapping ADR, *Online Dispute Resolution: The Future of Dispute Resolution in India*, O.P. Jindal Global University, https://jgu.edu.in/mappingADR/online-disputeresolution-the-future-of-dispute-resolution-in-india/.

3. LiveLaw, *Tech-Driven Justice: Unraveling the Dynamics of Online Dispute Resolution*, (Feb. 2024), https://www.livelaw.in/lawschool/articles/future-of-justice-technologyalternative-dispute-resolution-260027.

4. Dr. P. Jogi Naidu & Bhagavatula Naga Sai Sriram, *Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) in India: Opportunities, Challenges, and Future Prospects*, Int'l J. Legal Sci. & Innovation, https://ijlsi.com/wp-content/uploads/Online-Dispute-Resolution-ODR-inIndia.pdf.

5. Vidhi Centre for Legal Policy, *ODR: The Future of Dispute Resolution in India*, https://vidhilegalpolicy.in/research/the-future-of-dispute-resolution-in-india/.

6. Juris Centre, *The Rise of Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) in India*, (Feb. 14, 2024), https://juriscentre.com/2024/02/14/the-rise-of-online-dispute-resolution-odr-in-india/.

7. Presolv360, *Unleashing ODR: A Brighter Future in Dispute Resolution*, https://presolv360.com/resources/unleashing-odr-a-brighter-future-in-disputeresolution/.

8. Agami, *ODR Mission: Building a Movement for Digital Dispute Resolution*, https://agami.in/odr/.

9. Drishti IAS, *Online Dispute Resolution Mechanism in Indian Judiciary*, https://www.drishtiias.com/daily-news-editorials/online-dispute-resolutionmechanism-in-indian-judiciary.

10. Mediate.com, *Digitizing Justice: The Case for Dedicated Online Dispute Resolution Legislation in India*, https://mediate.com/digitizing-justice-the-case-for-dedicatedonline-dispute-resolution-legislation-in-india/.

11. State of Maharashtra v. Praful Desai, (2003) 4 SCC 601.