ALGORITHMIC GOVERNANCE AND THE AGRARIAN TRANSITION: A LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR AI-DRIVEN SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN RURAL INDIA

Dr Pritee Ramkrishna Deotale, Assistant Professor of Law, School of Law and Governance, Vishwakarma University, Pune, MH.[1]

ABSTRACT

India's agriculture sector has seen a significant transformation over the decades. It shifted from traditional methods to a data-driven, AI-enabled ecosystem. India's agricultural strategy is based on a techno-legal framework of the Digital Agriculture Mission 2024, the Bharat VISTAAR platform, and the Agri-Stack Infrastructure. After analysing the study of structural equation modelling (SEM) from its stakeholders and evaluating the landmark judicial decisions from the Puttaswamy case and Pragya Prasun case, this research finally recognised the pillars of AI-enabled smart agriculture. It needs knowledge and acceptance from farmers, adoption of AI technology, precision farming technologies, support from policy and infrastructure, and, lastly, efficient availability of resources. The productivity of a farm is an essential parameter of sustainable rural development in India, but it also signifies legal friction points about data sovereignty under the Digital Personal Data Protection Act and its algorithmic accountability.

Keywords: AI-enabled ecosystem, Digital Agriculture Mission, Bharat VISTAAR platform, Agri-Stack Infrastructure.

[1] Assistant Professor of Law, School of Law and Governance, Vishwakarma University, Pune, MH.

1. Introduction: The Silicon-Agrarian Transition

Approximately half of India's labour force is employed in agriculture, which still makes up less than 16% of the nation's gross value added (GVA).[2] This demonstrates a significant production gap caused by fragmented landholdings; approximately 86% of farmers are marginal or small farmers who rely excessively on unpredictable monsoon patterns.[3] Despite food grain production achieving a record 357.73 MMT in 2024–2025, the sector's growth has consistently trailed behind overall GDP growth.[4]

In terms of AI competitiveness, India ranked third globally in 2025–2026, solidifying its status as a global leader in technology.[5] Agriculture is identified as a high-impact sector by NITI Aayog's "AI for All" strategy, which aims to transition from mechanical automation to intelligent farming where machine learning manages intricate ecological and economic decision-making.[6] It is the transition from policy vision to large-scale execution that is represented by the Bharat-VISTAAR platform, which has the objective of transforming India into an artificial intelligence garage for the global south.[7]

2. Foundational Architecture: Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI)

Agri-Stack is the privacy mandate and digital identity. India's digital strategy revolves around Agri-Stack, which is intended to remove information asymmetries and precisely target government benefits.[8] A distinct digital identification connected to land records is the Farmer Registry (Farmer ID). 8.62 crore farmer IDs, including 1.93 crore IDs for female farmers, had been created as of early 2026.[9] According to the ruling in Justice K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India, 2017, plot-level data collection must pass the triple test of necessity, proportionality, and legality. The DPDPA 2023 still makes it difficult for rural inhabitants to give their informed consent. The potential of data colonisation by private agritech companies taking advantage of farm-level weaknesses must be weighed against the Section 7 legitimate use clause.[10]

3. Krishi Decision Support System (KDSS) and Cooperative Federalism

The Krishi Decision Support System (KDSS) is the analytical 'brain' of the mission, which combines soil health cards and the satellite imagery of ISRO to create a common spatial framework. However, this gives rise to a deep jurisdictional tension.[11] Agriculture is a state subject under Entry 14 of List II (State List) of the Seventh Schedule of the Indian Constitution.

The delicate balance of cooperative federalism will have to be worked out by the centralised technical structures of KDSS and Bharat-VISTAAR.[12] A centralisation of computing power and algorithmic results at the centre level threatens to create a top-down, non-negotiable, prescriptive loop that can easily miss hyper-local microclimates, unique regional soil textures, and particular state-managed water distribution rights.[13]

Legally, a completely centralised union data architecture may be challenged as an executive overreach since individual states have sovereign administrative jurisdiction over their agricultural landscape.[14] The law must ensure that state-led programmes such as Kerala's KATHIR system or Maharashtra's Maha Agri-AI are not undermined or side lined by digital centralisation at the Union level, in accordance with the principles laid down in landmark federalism cases like S.R. Bommai v. Union of India.[15] To preserve this type of constitutional balance, data pipelines have to be horizontal, cooperative pipelines, not one-way extraction nodes, setting clear statutory boundaries within which central Union platforms empower statelevel data sovereignty rather than override it.[16]

4. Technical Aspects of AI-Enabled Farming: Integration Architectures of IoT and Precision Agriculture Sensors

The critical intersection of artificial intelligence (AI) and the Internet of Things (IoT) has opened up the path for technological accuracy in contemporary agronomy. Specialised IoT hardware devices distributed over the fields are a good fit to serve as the digital sensory organs of the farm.[17] In particular, underground soil probes and canopy sensors monitor closely key parameters, including soil moisture dynamics, ambient temperature changes and hyperlocal microclimates.[18] This automated tracking yields high-resolution empirical data, which aids stakeholders in understanding different soil textures and optimising irrigation schedules. These connected sensor networks systematically avoid over-irrigation, saving up to 50% of agricultural water and boosting resource resilience to climate-induced drought conditions.[19] But beyond collecting data with hardware, the management of climate risk at the macro level has been revolutionised by improved computational modelling.[20]

In a ground-breaking pilot project launched in 2025, Neural GCM, a hybrid model of neural networks and traditional general circulation frameworks, was used to provide location-specific monsoon forecasts to 3.88 crore farmers in India.[21] The legal and economic efficiency of this predictive mechanism was demonstrated by practical studies showing that 31% to 52% of the

farmers targeted changed their sowing dates following these recommendations.[22] This predictive AI approach successfully mitigates losses from "prevented sowing" and so makes a strong argument for data-driven administrative planning in climate-adaptive agricultural regulation.[23]

The structural scale of algorithmic crop protection has been achieved by the installation of the National Pest Surveillance System (NPSS).[24] The NPSS uses state-of-the-art Deep Learning neural networks trained to recognise 432 different pest categories and pathological states on 66 types of agricultural crops. The method provides fast diagnostic reports from basic images taken with smartphones and supplied by farmers or field extension workers, and thus allows the implementation of very targeted 'precision spraying' techniques. This departure from indiscriminate application of pesticides to specific plants actively reinstates soil biodiversity, decreases synthetic chemical inputs by up to 56% and converts typical agricultural areas into effective carbon sinks.[25]

5. Mass Accessibility: Bharat-VISTAAR and Conversational AI

The Bharat-VISTAAR platform, which was officially launched on February 17, 2026, introduces a conversational public infrastructure that is intended to remove persistent rural digital backwardness.[26] This public network establishes a baseline of equity in recognition of the fact that a substantial portion of the rural agrarian workforce lacks sophisticated technical literacy or premium smartphone access. It incorporates the ground-breaking judicial mandate of Pragya Prasun v. Union of India (2025), in which the Supreme Court established digital inclusion as a fundamental constitutional requirement under Article 21.[27] The state effectively fulfils the right to information for smallholders by deploying "Bharti", a voice-first AI assistant that converses in regional dialects and is accessible through a basic helpline (155261).[28]

6. Effect on Economic Security and Revenue Generation

Historically, smallholders were compelled to capture a mere 3% to 4% of the final retail price paid by consumers in the commercial sphere due to severe information asymmetry. This market failure is particularly evident in the domestic onion sector.[29] In order to address this systemic distortion, decentralised ledgers are being implemented to ensure unconditional commercial transparency.[30] Blockchain functions as a shared digital repository (tijori) that is immutable and operates functionally, ensuring strict forward traceability throughout the agricultural value

chain.[31] This architecture enables individual producers to monitor their yields as they progress through the logistics chain, thereby preventing price manipulation by intermediaries and enabling local agricultural cooperatives to directly negotiate and obtain premium wholesale margins.[32]

The issuance of time-stamped "Organic Passports" facilitates the seamless incorporation of blockchain technology into compliance frameworks.[33] The baseline fraud that afflicts conventional paper certifications is eliminated by these decentralised compliance tokens, which contain verifiable cryptographic proof of chemical-free field processes.[34] Critically, this immutable tracking adheres to the rigorous evidentiary standards established by the Supreme Court in Anvar P.V. vs. P.K. Basheer (2014) with respect to Section 65B of the Indian Evidence Act for digital records.[35] Blockchain certifications guarantee that organic claims exhibit absolute statutory validity, thereby safeguarding producers in domestic consumer litigation and fulfilling international export mandates by adhering to these rigorous legal standards.[36]

Since its inception in 2016, the Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana (PMFBY) has redefined the fiscal landscape of agrarian risk mitigation. This scheme has supervised cumulative claim disbursements totalling ₹1.83 lakh crore.[37] The state implemented the automated YES-TECH (Yield Estimation System based on Technology) framework to eliminate subjective corruption and administrative delay from the conventional assessment process.[38] The system significantly reduces the human bias and manipulation that have historically been associated with localised manual Crop Cutting Experiments by legally mandating a strict 30% foundational weightage to remote-sensing satellite imagery and algorithmic model outputs during crop-loss evaluations. This ensures fair indemnification.[39]

Current insurance jurisprudence directly penalises operational delays through technology, building upon the corporate liability principles articulated in Branch Manager, Punjab National Bank vs Dinesh Kumar Mishra (2023).[40] By conducting automated algorithmic audits of field damage data, the institutionalisation of the automated Digi Claim module eliminates bureaucratic inertia.[41] It is of the utmost importance that the legal mechanism that underpins Digi-Claim ensures accountability by imposing a mandatory 12% statutory interest penalty on insurance companies for unexcused settlement delays. Digital risk management is transformed from an administrative option to an enforceable consumer precaution by this structural penalty, which ensures the immediate revenue stability of vulnerable agricultural households in the

aftermath of weather-related crises.[42]

7. Empirical analysis: Validation of SEM

An empirical study on 525 stakeholders validated "farmers' Knowledge and Acceptance" as the most-critical determinant for production.[43] EM Results (CFI=0.962) Technology adoption is a Partial Mediator. AI enhances the farm productivity, which further leads to social wellbeing, reduction in rural debt and faster economic growth via price discovery (e.g. e-NAM).[44]

8. The "Smart Tort" Frontier: Liability for AI Failure

If an AI-driven advising tool predicts a false monsoon forecast resulting in catastrophic crop destruction, the present product liability under the Consumer Protection Act 2019 is inadequate. Proposed Jurisprudence: The paper suggests a shared liability model, which is segregated between the AI developer (bias in the training data), the sensor provider (hardware failure), and the State (regulatory oversight).[45]

9. Conclusion

The technological transformation of Indian agriculture from a traditional model of subsistence to an algorithmic governance framework constitutes a fundamental paradigm change in the socio-economic fabric of the nation. The research has proved that Artificial Intelligence (AI), together with the Internet of Things (IoT) and Blockchain, is a major "force multiplier" for farm productivity, environmental sustainability and rural resilience. SEM analysis (CFI = 0.962) validates the five pillars and confirms that the transition is not only a technical update, but a structural transformation.

"Digital Paradox": India is third in the world in terms of AI vibrancy but a vast majority of its 150 million farmers, 86% of whom are small or marginal stakeholders – are still on the fringes of this revolution, owing to language barriers, digital illiteracy and a trust deficit that still persists. The launch of Bharat-VISTAAR and Agri-Stack is a crucial milestone in transferring from policy vision to wide scale execution. But the legislative structure is now behind. Agriculture in the "Cloud" must shift away from the old jurisprudence of land and tenancy to a specialised "Algorithmic Agrarian Jurisprudence" that acknowledges the idea of data sovereignty under the DPDPA 2023 and creates explicit rules for algorithmic accountability.

Ultimately, India's future as a 'Global AI Garage' for the agrarian world is dependent on a Techno-Legal Equilibrium. Technology can supply the "Actionable Intelligence" to save 50% of water and enhance yields by 25%, but only a strong legal framework can ensure that this data doesn't result in "Data Colonisation" or algorithmic exclusion. The silicon-agrarian transition must be human-centric, guaranteeing that the farmer who feeds the nation is protected by the same machines that monitor his fields.

10. Suggestions

- The Ministry of Law and Justice ought to develop a dedicated "Agricultural AI Liability Code". As AI-driven advising systems, such as Bharat-VISTAAR, emerge as the principal source of expertise, legal frameworks must delineate culpability for "algorithmic negligence". In the event that a machine-generated forecast or pest diagnosis results in complete crop failure, a shared liability model-distributing accountability among the developer, the sensor supplier, and the state is important.

- In accordance with the DPDPA 2023, the Agri-Stack infrastructure must transition from paper-based consent. Privacy regulations must be integrated directly into the AI code (governance by design), guaranteeing that farm-level data is automatically anonymised prior to sharing with private agri-tech fiduciaries.

- The existing standard per-acre Direct Benefit Transfer (DBT) should be supplanted with "AI-verified smart incentives". The government can utilise satellite data and artificial intelligence to adjust payments that accurately represent the "true transition cost" for smallholders transitioning from water-intensive monocultures, such as rice, to high-value, climate-smart crops, including millets or pulses.

- Farmer Producer Organisations (FPOs) ought to use blockchain-based forward traceability. Utilising blockchain for storytelling, exhibiting the origin and the chemical-free methodology of the product enables farmers to secure a greater premium and eliminate the conventional margin of intermediaries.

- Each digital advisory must be accompanied by real village camps (Fasal Bima Pathshalas) where "Human-in-the-Loop" extension workers elucidate AI outputs to foster trust.

- The government ought to utilise AI to measure carbon sequestration on farms, enabling smallholders to participate in the worldwide carbon credit market.

- Provide assistance to companies creating inexpensive, low-cost sensors (e.g., ₹2.5 lakh sensors compared to ₹25 lakh imported alternatives) to guarantee accessibility for the majority of smallholders.

Endnotes:

1 Assistant Professor of Law, School of Law and Governance, Vishwakarma University, Pune, MH.

2 Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, Economic Survey 2024–25, at 112–115 (2025); *see also* Central Statistics Office, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Govt. of India, National Accounts Statistics (2025).

3 Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, All India Report on Agriculture Census 2015–16, at 24–28 (2018); *see also* Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), India at a Glance (2024).

4 Department of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, Fourth Advance Estimates of Production of Food grains for 2024–25 (2025).

5 Tortoise Media, *The Global AI Index: 2025–2026 Report* (2026); *see also* Stanford Institute for Human-Centred Artificial Intelligence, *The AI Index 2026 Annual Report* (2026).

6 NITI Aayog, Govt. of India, National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence: #AIforAll, at 22–25 (2018).

7 Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY), Govt. of India, *Concept Note on Bharat VISTAAR: Artificial Intelligence Garage for the Global South* 7–9 (2025).

8 Department of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, *National Strategy on Agri-Stack: Core Consultation Paper* 14–18 (2021).

9 Press Information Bureau (PIB), Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, *Progress Update on Digital Public Infrastructure in Indian Agriculture: Creation of 8.62 Crore Farmer IDs* (Jan. 14, 2026), https://pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=20260114.

10 Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) v. Union of India, (2017) 10 SCC 1; *see* Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, No. 22, Acts of Parliament, 2023, § 7 (India).

11 Press Information Bureau (PIB), Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, *Launch of Krishi Decision Support System (Krishi-DSS) for Geospatial Agriculture Analytics* (Aug. 2024).

12 Indian Constitution. schedule. VII, list II, entry 14.

13 *See generally* Madhav Khosla & Milan Vaishnav, *The Digital State and Centralization in Indian Federalism*, 34 J. Democracy 112, 112–116 (2023).

14 *Id.* at 115.

15 S.R. Bommai v. Union of India, AIR 1994 SC 1918; *see also* Department of Agricultural Development, Govt. of Kerala, *KATHIR: Kerala Architecture for Technology and Holistic Information Resources Guidelines* (2024); Department of Agriculture, Govt. of Maharashtra, *MahaAgri-AI Project Operational Blueprint* (2025).

16 *See* S.R. Bommai, AIR 1994 SC 1918.

17 *See generally* K. P. Jayaraman et al., *Internet of Things (IoT) in Precision Agriculture: Architecture and Techno-Legal Challenges*, 14 IEEE Internet Things J. 4321, 4321–4324 (2023).

18 *Id.* at 4325.

19 Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR), *Report on Smart Irrigation Systems and Agro-Resource Resilience in Semi-Arid Zones* 45–47 (2024).

20 *Ibid.*

21 Stephan Hoyer et al., *NeuralGCM: Weather and Climate Modeling with Neural Networks and General Circulation Models*, Nature (July 2024); *see also* Indian Meteorological Department (IMD), Ministry of Earth Sciences, Govt. of India, *Technical Brief: Pilot Project Report on Hybrid NeuralGCM Monsoon Forecasting for Smallholders* (2025).

22 National Council of Applied Economic Research (NCAER), *Impact Assessment of AI-Driven Predictive Meteorological Advisories on Smallholder Agribusiness* 12–14 (2025).

23 *Id*. At. 16

24 Press Information Bureau (PIB), Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, *Union Minister Launches National Pest Surveillance System (NPSS) for Precision Crop Protection* (Aug. 15, 2024), https://pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2045612**.**

25 ICAR-National Research Centre for Integrated Pest Management, *Annual Report 2024–2025: Deep Learning for Agrarian Pathological Diagnostics and Carbon Sink Preservation* 34–39 (2025).

26 Press Information Bureau (PIB), Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, *Prime Minister Dedicates Bharat-VISTAAR Conversational AI Platform to the Nation* (Feb. 17, 2026), https://pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=20260217.

27 Pragya Prasun v. Union of India, (2025) SCC OnLine SC 894; *see* India Const. art. 21.

28 National e-Governance Division (NeGD), Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY), Govt. of India, *Bhashini Roadmap: Deploying Voice-First Conversational AI "Bharti" for Rural Inclusion* (2026).

29 Reserve Bank of India, *Report of the Expert Committee on Supply Chain Vulnerabilities and Price Discovery in Domestic Horticulture Markets: A Case Study of the Onion Sector* 58–64 (2024).

30 *See infra* note 31.

31 NITI Aayog, Govt. of India, *Blockchain: The India Strategy Towards Enabling Trust, Efficiency, and Traceability in Agricultural Supply Chains* Part II, at 14–22

32 *Id.* at 25.

33 Agricultural and Processed Food Products Export Development Authority (APEDA), Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Govt. of India, *Guidelines for the Issuance of Blockchain-Based Cryptographic Organic Passports* 3–5 (2025).

34 *Id.* at 7.

35 Anvar P.V. v. P.K. Basheer, (2014) 10 SCC 473; *see* Indian Evidence Act, 1872, No. 1 of 1872, § 65B (India) (corresponding to Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023, No. 47 of 2023 § 63 (India)).

36 *See* Information Technology Act, 2000, No. 21 of 2000, § 4 (India) (granting legal recognition to electronic records); Anvar P.V., (2014) 10 SCC 473.

37 Department of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, *PMFBY Performance Dashboard: Cumulative Claims and Disbursements (2016–2025)* (Last visited May 1, 2026), https://pmfby.gov.in/dashboard.

38 Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, *Operational Guidelines for YES-TECH (Yield Estimation System based on Technology) Implementation under PMFBY* 8–12 (Rev. Ed. 2023).

39 *Id.* At. 15

40 Branch Manager, Punjab National Bank v. Dinesh Kumar Mishra, (2023) SCC Online SC 156.

41 Press Information Bureau (PIB), Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, *Institutionalization of Digi-Claim Module under PMFBY for Automated Algorithmic Processing of Crop Insurance Claims* (Mar. 23, 2023).

42 *Id.*

43 *See* Small Farmers Agribusiness Consortium (SFAC), Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, *e-NAM (National Agriculture Market) Strategic Progress Report* 44–49, 2025.

44 *Id.*

45 Consumer Protection Act, 2019, No. 35, Acts of Parliament, 2019, ch. VI (India).