CONTROLLING EXPORTS AND CONSERVING FOREIGN EXCHANGE UNDER INDIAN LAW: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK, ECONOMIC SECURITY, AND EMERGING CHALLENGES

Adv. Shahanaz Salim, LLM (Commercial Law),
Bharata Mata School of Legal Studies Choondy, Aluva

ABSTRACT

The regulation of exports and the conservation of foreign exchange constitute two interconnected dimensions of India's economic governance. Although India has progressively moved from a highly restrictive system of foreign-exchange control towards a liberalised and market-oriented regime, the State continues to exercise substantial regulatory authority over exports, foreign-exchange transactions and strategically sensitive economic activities. The legal framework governing these areas is principally founded upon the Foreign Trade (Development and Regulation) Act, 1992 ("FTDR Act"), the Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999 ("FEMA"), the Foreign Trade Policy, rules and regulations framed under these statutes, and the regulatory directions issued by institutions such as the Directorate General of Foreign Trade ("DGFT") and the Reserve Bank of India ("RBI"). The framework seeks to reconcile apparently competing objectives: facilitating international trade, maintaining macroeconomic stability, protecting national security, preventing illicit capital movements and ensuring the orderly development of India's foreign-exchange market.

This article critically examines the evolution, structure and contemporary operation of Indian export-control and foreign-exchange laws. It analyses the transformation from the restrictive Foreign Exchange Regulation Act, 1973 ("FERA") to the management-oriented FEMA regime and examines the statutory powers governing prohibited and restricted exports, licensing requirements, strategic trade controls and repatriation of export proceeds. Particular attention is given to the Special Chemicals, Organisms, Materials, Equipment and Technologies ("SCOMET") framework, which reflects India's increasing participation in global strategic-trade governance. The article further evaluates the respective roles of the DGFT, RBI, authorised dealers and enforcement authorities.

The article argues that effective export regulation should no longer be understood merely as a mechanism for restricting trade. Instead, it must operate as a sophisticated system balancing economic freedom, national security, foreign-exchange stability, technological development and India's international obligations. It concludes by proposing greater regulatory transparency, technological integration, risk-based compliance, institutional coordination and stronger safeguards against arbitrary administrative action.

Keywords: Export Control, Foreign Exchange, FEMA, FTDR Act, DGFT, RBI, SCOMET, Economic Security, Strategic Trade, Foreign Trade.

I. INTRODUCTION

International trade has become an essential component of India's economic development. Exports generate foreign currency, support domestic industries, create employment, and integrate Indian enterprises into global value chains. At the same time, unrestricted international movement of goods, services, technology, and capital can create risks relating to national security, illicit financial flows, balance-of-payments pressures, and the transfer of strategically sensitive technologies. Consequently, the Indian legal system has developed a regulatory framework designed not merely to promote international commerce but also to supervise and, where necessary, restrict transactions affecting national economic and strategic interests.

The regulation of exports and foreign exchange must therefore be understood within a broader constitutional and economic context. India's post-independence economic policy initially relied heavily upon quantitative restrictions, import licensing, and stringent foreign-exchange controls. Foreign exchange was treated as a scarce national resource, and the principal objective of regulation was conservation. The subsequent liberalization process fundamentally changed this philosophy. The Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999, replaced the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act, 1973, and shifted the emphasis from conservation through criminal-style control towards management and facilitation of external trade and payments. [1]

Export regulation underwent a parallel transformation. The Foreign Trade (Development and Regulation) Act, 1992, created the statutory foundation for regulating imports and exports while simultaneously seeking to facilitate international trade and augment Indian exports. Section 3 empowers the central government to prohibit, restrict, or otherwise regulate imports and exports, while Section 5 provides the basis for the formulation of foreign trade policy.[2]

The contemporary system consequently reflects a dual approach. On one side, India seeks to increase exports and facilitate legitimate international transactions. On the other hand, it retains restrictions over commodities, technologies, and transactions capable of affecting economic or national security. The SCOMET regime is a particularly important illustration of this approach because it subjects specified dual-use goods, technologies, and related items to strategic export controls. [3]

The central argument of this article is that export control and foreign-exchange regulation should be regarded as complementary components of India's economic-security architecture. Their effectiveness depends not merely upon statutory restrictions but upon transparent administration, institutional coordination, proportional enforcement, and the ability of law to respond to rapidly changing international commerce.

II. EVOLUTION OF EXPORT CONTROL AND FOREIGN-EXCHANGE REGULATION IN INDIA

India's early foreign-trade regime was characterized by extensive governmental intervention. Foreign exchange shortages, balance-of-payments concerns, and the need to conserve scarce international currency justified strict regulation. The Foreign Exchange Regulation Act, 1947, represented an early legislative response to this situation and was subsequently replaced by the more comprehensive FERA, 1973.

FERA reflected the philosophy of scarcity and control. Foreign exchange was regulated through extensive permissions, restrictions, and enforcement mechanisms. The legislation treated foreign-exchange violations as serious economic offenses and granted wide powers to the authorities. However, the economic reforms initiated in 1991 progressively demonstrated the limitations of an excessively restrictive regime.

The enactment of FEMA marked an important philosophical change. FEMA expressly seeks to facilitate external trade and payments and promote the orderly development and maintenance of the foreign-exchange market in India. [4] The Department of Revenue records that FEMA

---

[1] Foreign Exchange Management Act, No. 42 of 1999, Statement of Objects and Reasons, India Code; see also Department of Revenue, Government of India, *Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999*.
2. Foreign Trade (Development and Regulation) Act, No. 22 of 1992, §§ 3, 5 (India).
3. Directorate General of Foreign Trade, *Foreign Trade Policy 2023*, ch. 10 (SCOMET).
4. Directorate General of Foreign Trade, *Foreign Trade Policy 2023*, ch. 10 (SCOMET).
5.Foreign Trade (Development and Regulation) Act, No. 22 of 1992, pmbl. (India).

replaced FERA and came into force on June 1, 2000. [5]

This transformation is significant because FEMA does not completely abandon control. Rather, it replaces the idea of absolute conservation with regulated management. Certain transactions remain prohibited or restricted, while others are permitted subject to prescribed conditions. The modern framework therefore seeks to permit legitimate international transactions while preventing misuse of the foreign-exchange system.

III. STATUTORY FRAMEWORK FOR EXPORT CONTROL

The primary statute governing the regulation and development of foreign trade is the FTDR Act, 1992. Its objective is expressly framed in terms of facilitating imports and augmenting exports while providing mechanisms for regulation. [6]

Section 3 grants the central government broad authority to make orders providing for the development and regulation of foreign trade. It may prohibit, restrict, or otherwise regulate imports and exports either generally or in specified circumstances.[7] This provision constitutes the principal statutory foundation for India's export-control system.

Section 5 authorizes the Central Government to formulate and amend the export and import policy through notification. The policy framework is administered principally through the DGFT, which advises the government in formulating foreign-trade policy and is responsible for implementing that policy. [8]

The FTDR Act also requires persons undertaking imports or exports to obtain an Importer-Exporter Code ("IEC"), subject to applicable exemptions.[9] The IEC system provides a basic mechanism for identifying participants in international trade and assists regulatory authorities in monitoring cross-border transactions.

The statutory framework is supported by the Foreign Trade (Regulation) Rules, 1993, and successive Foreign Trade Policies and Handbooks of Procedures. The DGFT maintains the regulatory architecture through notifications, public notices, circulars, and other administrative instruments. [10]

The importance of this framework lies in its flexibility. Export controls frequently need to respond to international sanctions, technological developments, geopolitical circumstances, and domestic shortages. A system based entirely upon primary legislation would struggle to respond rapidly to these developments. Delegated legislation and policy instruments therefore provide necessary administrative flexibility, although such flexibility must remain subject to statutory limits and constitutional principles.

IV. CONTROL OVER PROHIBITED AND RESTRICTED EXPORTS

Export control does not mean that all exports are subject to identical restrictions. The Indian system generally differentiates between freely exportable goods and goods subject to prohibition, restriction, licensing, or specified conditions.

The central government may restrict or prohibit exports where necessary for economic, public-interest, or security considerations. Such controls can be justified, for example, where domestic availability of essential commodities is threatened, where export could undermine national security, or where international obligations require restrictions.

Strategic goods require an additional layer of scrutiny. India's SCOMET framework covers specified dual-use goods, software, and technologies that could have both civilian and strategic applications. [11] The framework is particularly relevant to nuclear, chemical, biological, aerospace, defense, and advanced technological sectors.

The modern significance of SCOMET extends beyond traditional military exports. Contemporary strategic trade increasingly encompasses semiconductor technology, advanced manufacturing equipment, artificial intelligence-related technologies, high-performance computing, and other dual-use capabilities. Effective export regulation must therefore address technological risks without unnecessarily discouraging legitimate scientific and commercial cooperation.

The government has continued to update the SCOMET framework in response to developments in multilateral export-control regimes and domestic policy requirements. [12] This demonstrates that export regulation is increasingly connected with international non-proliferation and

---

[2] 6. Id. § 3.
7. Id. § 6.
8. Id. § 7.
9. Directorate General of Foreign Trade, *Regulations: Acts & Rules, Foreign Trade Policy and Import, Export and SCOMET Policy*.
10. Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India, *India's Strategic Trade Controls and SCOMET List*.

strategic-trade standards.

V. FOREIGN EXCHANGE MANAGEMENT ACT, 1999

FEMA represents the central legislative framework for foreign-exchange management in India. Its philosophy differs materially from FERA. Rather than treating foreign exchange primarily as an object to be conserved through restrictive criminal regulation, FEMA seeks to facilitate external trade and payments while maintaining an orderly foreign-exchange market.[13]

The Act regulates transactions involving residents and non-residents, dealings in foreign exchange and foreign securities, and other activities connected with cross-border financial transactions. The RBI occupies a central regulatory position under FEMA, while authorized dealer banks act as important intermediaries between businesses and the foreign-exchange regulatory system.

The Foreign Exchange Management (Export of Goods and Services) Regulations, 2015, and subsequent regulatory directions establish requirements concerning export declarations, realization and repatriation of export proceeds, and related reporting. RBI materials emphasize that export trade is regulated by the DGFT while authorized dealers conduct export transactions in conformity with foreign trade policy and RBI directions.[14]

This division of responsibility is crucial. The DGFT primarily addresses the legality and policy of the export transaction itself, whereas the RBI and authorized dealers supervise the foreign-exchange dimension. The two systems consequently operate alongside one another.

VI. CONSERVATION AND REPATRIATION OF FOREIGN EXCHANGE

Foreign-exchange conservation has historically been one of the central objectives of Indian economic regulation. Under the contemporary regime, however, conservation should be understood as ensuring that foreign exchange generated through legitimate economic activity is properly accounted for and does not unlawfully escape the regulated financial system.

Exporters are required to comply with applicable requirements concerning the declaration of

[3] 11. Press Information Bureau, Government of India, *DGFT Updates the SCOMET List with Recent Policy Changes and Updates in the Multilateral Export Control Regimes* (Sept. 3, 2024).
12. Foreign Exchange Management Act, No. 42 of 1999, pmbl. (India

exports and realization of export proceeds. These mechanisms serve several purposes. First, they permit authorities to determine the value of exports. Second, they assist in ensuring that foreign currency earned through exports is brought into the country in accordance with applicable law. Third, they reduce opportunities for trade-based money laundering, over-invoicing, under-invoicing, and other forms of illicit financial movement.

Authorized dealer banks play a particularly important role in this system because they provide the institutional bridge between exporters and the foreign-exchange regulatory framework. RBI directions require banks to ensure that export transactions conform to applicable foreign-trade policy, FEMA requirements, and regulatory directions. [15]

The modern concept of conservation therefore differs substantially from the rigid model under FERA. It is less concerned with preventing legitimate foreign-exchange transactions and more concerned with maintaining transparency, accountability, and orderly financial flows.

VII. ROLE OF THE DGFT AND RBI

The DGFT is primarily responsible for administering foreign-trade policy. It issues licenses, administers the IEC system, and implements restrictions and controls on exports. Under the FTDR Act, the director general advises the central government on foreign trade policy and is responsible for implementing that policy. [16]

The RBI, by contrast, is central to foreign-exchange management. It regulates authorized dealers, issues directions concerning foreign-exchange transactions, and administers various FEMA regulations.

The distinction between the two institutions is conceptually important. An exporter may have permission under foreign-trade law to export a particular product but may still have to satisfy foreign-exchange requirements concerning receipt of payment. Conversely, compliance with foreign-exchange requirements does not authorize the export of an item whose export is

---

[4] 13. Reserve Bank of India, *Foreign Exchange Management (Export of Goods and Services) Regulations, 2000*, FEMA 23/2000-RB.
14. Reserve Bank of India, *Export of Goods and Services*, regulatory directions concerning authorised dealers and export transactions.

prohibited or requires a separate DGFT authorization.

The legal framework therefore operates through overlapping compliance obligations rather than a single regulatory permission.

VIII. EXPORT CONTROL AND NATIONAL SECURITY

The relationship between trade and national security has become increasingly significant. Modern technologies can have both civilian and military applications. Consequently, export controls are no longer restricted to conventional weapons.

The FTDR Act contains specific provisions concerning controls on exports of specified goods, services, and technologies, including interaction with the Weapons of Mass Destruction and their Delivery Systems (Prohibition of Unlawful Activities) Act, 2005. [17]

The SCOMET regime demonstrates India's attempt to establish a responsible strategic-trade system. Export authorizations involve scrutiny of the nature of the item, end use, end user, and destination. Such controls seek to prevent sensitive technology from contributing to proliferation or other security threats while permitting legitimate international commerce.

The challenge is to achieve proportionality. Excessive restrictions may discourage investment, research collaboration, and technological exports. Weak controls, on the other hand, may expose India to proliferation risks and international reputational consequences.

IX. JUDICIAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL DIMENSIONS

Export-control and foreign-exchange regulation must operate within the constitutional framework. Although international trade involves substantial governmental policy discretion, administrative action remains subject to constitutional requirements, particularly Articles 14, 19, and 21 where applicable.

Article 14 requires administrative decisions to avoid arbitrariness. Export licenses, permissions, and enforcement decisions should therefore be based on identifiable legal

[5] 15. Foreign Trade (Development and Regulation) Act, No. 22 of 1992, § 6 (India).
16. Foreign Trade (Development and Regulation) Act, No. 22 of 1992, § 14A (India); see also Weapons of Mass Destruction and their Delivery Systems (Prohibition of Unlawful Activities) Act, No. 21 of 2005 (India).

standards rather than unfettered discretion.

Article 19(1)(g) protects the freedom to practice a profession or carry on a trade or business, subject to reasonable restrictions under Article 19(6). Export restrictions affecting commercial activity must consequently have a lawful basis and satisfy the constitutional requirement of reasonableness.

At the same time, courts traditionally recognize that economic regulation involves complex policy considerations and therefore exercise caution in substituting judicial preferences for expert governmental judgment. The appropriate judicial role is ordinarily to ensure legality, procedural fairness, non-arbitrariness, and compliance with statutory limits.

This balance is especially important in strategic trade matters, where confidential intelligence and national-security considerations may legitimately affect governmental decision-making.

X. CONTEMPORARY CHALLENGES

The traditional export-control model was designed primarily for physical goods. Modern commerce has substantially altered that assumption.

Digital technologies can cross borders without physical movement. Software, encryption technologies, cloud-based services, and technical knowledge can be transferred electronically. Consequently, determining what constitutes an export is increasingly complex.

The traditional export-control model was designed primarily for physical goods. Modern commerce has substantially altered that assumption.

Digital technologies can cross borders without physical movement. Software, encryption technologies, cloud-based services, and technical knowledge can be transferred electronically. Consequently, determining what constitutes an export is increasingly complex.

The RBI's regulatory framework already recognizes that, in the context of software, export can include transmission through electronic media. However, technological developments have moved considerably beyond conventional software transmission.

[6] 17. Reserve Bank of India, *Foreign Exchange Management (Export of Goods and Services) Regulations, 2000*, defining export to include transmission of software through electronic media.

Another major challenge concerns e-commerce. Small enterprises can now sell internationally without the infrastructure traditionally associated with export houses. This creates opportunities for economic growth but also creates compliance challenges involving valuation, payment reporting, customs classification, and foreign-exchange realization.

Cryptocurrency and other digital assets create an additional regulatory challenge because conventional foreign-exchange controls were designed around identifiable financial intermediaries and traditional currencies. Cross-border digital transactions can potentially complicate monitoring and enforcement.

Supply-chain fragmentation also requires a more sophisticated approach. A product manufactured in India may incorporate components, software, or technology originating from several countries. Export control, therefore, increasingly requires assessment not merely of the final product but also of technological content, end use, and destination.

XI. CRITICAL ANALYSIS

India's legal framework has several significant strengths. First, it provides statutory authority for export restrictions while simultaneously promoting international trade. Second, FEMA has replaced an excessively restrictive foreign-exchange philosophy with a management-oriented approach. Third, SCOMET provides a specialized mechanism for controlling strategically sensitive exports. Fourth, the DGFT-RBI-authorized dealer structure provides institutional mechanisms for monitoring trade and financial flows.

Nevertheless, the framework can be improved. First, regulatory clarity should be strengthened. Exporters, particularly small and medium enterprises, may find it difficult to navigate multiple notifications, policy documents, and regulatory directions. Consolidated digital compliance guidance would reduce uncertainty.

Second, risk-based regulation should replace unnecessarily uniform scrutiny. Low-risk commercial exports should receive streamlined treatment, while high-risk strategic transactions should receive enhanced scrutiny.

Third, technological integration should be expanded. Artificial intelligence and data analytics could assist authorities in identifying unusual export valuations, suspicious counterparties, and high-risk destinations without imposing identical burdens on all exporters.

Fourth, inter-agency coordination should be strengthened. DGFT, RBI, Customs, Enforcement Directorate, and other authorities should share relevant information through secure and interoperable systems.

Fifth, procedural safeguards must remain central. Regulatory powers affecting businesses should be exercised transparently, with appropriate opportunities for explanation, review, and appeal.

Finally, Indian export-control policy should remain internationally compatible while protecting domestic interests. India's growing role in global supply chains makes predictable and credible regulation increasingly important.

XII. CONCLUSION

Indian laws relating to export control and foreign-exchange management are indicative of the transformation in the country's economic philosophy from scarcity and strict control to regulated liberalization. The FTDR Act is the principal legal framework governing exports and FEMA governs and regulates foreign-exchange transactions. The DGFT and RBI play complementary roles, supported by Customs, Authorized Dealers and enforcement agencies.

Hence the concept of conserving foreign exchange has assumed a topical significance. There is no need to suppress legitimate international economic activity any longer. Instead, it needs transparent monitoring, lawful repatriation of export earnings, prevention of illicit financial flows and keeping an orderly foreign exchange market.

So too, export control has evolved beyond traditional commodity controls. The rise of dual-use technologies, digital commerce, strategic supply chains, and complex financial instruments necessitates a regulatory regime that can manage risks without infringing upon India's legitimate commercial interests.

The future of Indian export control law should therefore be based on facilitation with accountability, liberalization with safeguards, and technological innovation with strategic responsibility. The aim should not be to replicate the restrictive environment of the pre-liberalization period but to create a regulatory environment in the present day that safeguards national security and economic stability while allowing Indian enterprises to compete effectively in the global market.

A successful system must ultimately achieve equilibrium between two imperatives: India must remain open enough to participate confidently in international trade, yet sufficiently vigilant to ensure that trade and foreign exchange are not converted into instruments of economic instability, proliferation, or illicit financial activity. That equilibrium represents the central challenge—and the defining purpose—of contemporary Indian export and foreign-exchange regulation.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Statutes and Regulations

- Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999.
- Foreign Trade (Development and Regulation) Act, 1992.
- Foreign Trade (Regulation) Rules, 1993.
- Foreign Exchange Management (Export of Goods and Services) Regulations, 2000.
- Foreign Exchange Management (Current Account Transactions) Rules, 2000.
- Weapons of Mass Destruction and their Delivery Systems (Prohibition of Unlawful Activities) Act, 2005.

Government and Institutional Materials

- Directorate General of Foreign Trade, *Foreign Trade Policy 2023*.
- Directorate General of Foreign Trade, *Handbook of Procedures*.
- Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India, *India's Strategic Trade Controls and SCOMET List*.
- Reserve Bank of India, *Foreign Exchange Management Regulations and Directions*.
- Department of Revenue, Ministry of Finance, Government of India, *Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999*.

Websites

- Directorate General of Foreign Trade
- Reserve Bank of India
- Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India
- Department of Revenue, Ministry of Finance