CLIMATE CHANGE AND ITS IMPACT ON INDIGENOUS COMMUNITIES

Shreya Ghosh, National Law University, Tripura

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Background of the Study

> *"For Indigenous peoples, climate change is not a distant threat—it is a lived reality that alters lands, waters, and ways of life that have sustained them for generations."*[1]

Climate change is the biggest global challenge we face now. It impacts not only various life forms on Earth but human civilization itself. As temperatures rise and rainfall patterns become unsettled, glaciers are melting, and natural disasters are becoming more frequent. Ecosystems are evolving, which could mean millions of lives. The hardest hit populations are more often the most vulnerable: Indigenous peoples whose lives, traditions and livelihoods depend on their environment. However, Indigenous people are usually found in far-flung regions like forests, mountains, islands, and coastal regions, where they depend heavily on nature for natural resources such as food, water, medicine, and cultural traditions. They are highly sensitive to slight climate change events, as they are an intuitive response to it in their environment. Floods or droughts, deforestation and biodiversity loss undermine their economic underpinnings and undermine their ancestral knowledge systems. In addition, climate change affects Indigenous cultures with long-standing connections through traditional knowledge sharing and usage. Some of the customs that have been threatened by climate impacts are much more than just getting impacted by the weather, and well-established routines—to make medicine with indigenous herbs or with animal products — have also suffered in response to climate change. Many Indigenous groups already find it difficult to tap clean drinking water; this is particularly true for those living in remote areas where the availability of contaminated water could result in outbreaks of disease and severe health risks, ranging from illnesses with such strains that they affect babies born there. For instance, infants who reside in Arizona's Fort Apache

[1] *Victoria Tauli-Corpuz*, former UN Special Rapporteur on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples

Reservation face record rates of hospitalization that have been associated with polluted water sources. [2]Moreover, warmer water temperatures promote harmful algal blooms that damage the health of fish, animals and people when they swim; consume impacted fish and/or consume poisoned waters from algae proliferation. A large number of these communities are also traumatized by colonization and displaced by extreme storms, rising seas, and the development boom. This enduring struggle greatly affects the way tribes live life, sustainably live, find food and provide services.

1.2 Research Design:-

1.2.1 Research Problem

The indigenous communities are small contributors to global greenhouse gas emissions, they suffer the most severe consequences from climate change. Increased temperatures, erratic weather patterns, and environmental degradation have negatively affected their agricultural practices, subsistence lifestyles, and access to natural resources. Nevertheless, indigenous knowledge and adaptation strategies remain unrecognized and unintegrated in national and international climate change policies. So, working on this crucial gap is important to inform responsible responses which allow the environment to continue being respected and also to protect the rights of indigenous peoples in protected areas.

1.2.2 Research Methods

This study will take a doctrinal approach, specifically considering those in the literature, legal frameworks, policies, and international standards pertaining to climate change and indigenous communities. The doctrinal approach is predominantly library and document-based and relies on secondary sources rather than primary data collection by interviewing or through fieldwork.

The research will take the international and national regulatory frameworks established by relevant authorities into consideration. Sources include Acts, rules and regulations, court judgments, academic publications, published reports, as well as other secondary materials and selective primary data.

[2]Climate Change and the Health of Indigenous Populations available at: https://www.epa.gov/climateimpacts/climate-change-and-health-indigenous-populations (Last updated on August 8, 2025)

The researcher has read some online books pertaining to climate change in addition to the Convention on Climate Change to analyze its impact. Moreover, this paper relies heavily on the plethora of online articles and resources available in digital databases.

1.2.3 Research Aim and Objectives

The study aims to examine climate change and its effect on indigenous peoples and the rights, economic activities, and cultural preservation of Indigenous individuals as governed by current legal frameworks. The main goal is to analyze impacts of climate change—like environmental degradation, shifting weather patterns, and biodiversity loss—that threaten the livelihoods, health, cultural heritage, and traditional practices of these communities.

Some of the specific objectives of this research are:-

- To analyze Indigenous knowledge systems as guide postures in climate adaptation and mitigation strategies that support global and national initiatives.
- To assess the environmental laws that infringe on Indigenous peoples' rights.
- To evaluating the state of legal frameworks internationally and domestically designed to protect indigenous rights when faced with climate change.
- To propose the solutions that align community customs with contemporary climate policies.

1.2 **Research Questions**

- How does the indigenous knowledge system contribute to climate adaptation and mitigation strategies related to climate change?
- What significant challenges do indigenous peoples face in adapting to changing climatic conditions, as a result of inadequate environmental regulations?
- What are the consequences of existing national and international laws on the rights and protection afforded to indigenous communities concerning climate change?
- What potential solutions could align traditional practices of Indigenous communities

with contemporary climate change policies?

1.4 Hypothesis

- Current environmental regulations are insufficient to safeguard the rights of indigenous populations.

- The expansion of rural development negatively impacts the traditional customs of indigenous communities.

1.5 Literature Review

Articles:-

- In 2023, **Mahir Daiyan** published an article called "***The Impact of Climate Change on Indigenous Knowledge and Cultural Practice***" describing how climate change affects various aspects of Indigenous communities' life[3]. He pointed to their environmental knowledge and evolving beliefs and the consequences of these which have all resulted in extinction and degradation. Daiyan argued that traditional knowledge systems — historically essential for sustainable livelihoods — now face a much more critical threat from climate change, extinction of species and ecosystem decline. These changes in the environment force indigenous peoples to change their rituals, farming systems, and their seasonal activities, causing cultural disorientation and making adaptation difficult. His key message is that a preservation of indigenous ecological knowledge is important for cultural sustenance and climate resilience, so long as sustainable solutions can increase such knowledge.

- A comprehensive study which was delivered in 2024 by **Tashi Dorji, Kinley Rinchen, Angus Morrison-Saunders, David Blake, Vicki Banham** and **Sonam Pelden** have analysed in 71 pages article in detail "[4]***Understanding How Indigenous Knowledge Contributes to Climate Change Adaptation and Resilience: A Systematic Literature***

[3] The Impact of Climate Change on Indigenous Knowledge and Cultural Practices available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372860801_The_Impact_of_Climate_Change_on_Indigenous_Knowledge_and_Cultural_Practices (Last visited on june 2023)

[4]Understanding How Indigenous Knowledge Contributes to Climate Change Adaptation and Resilience: A Systematic Literature Review ,available at:https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00267-024-02032-x (last visited 31st august 2024)

Review." The findings showed that indigenous ecological knowledge derived from hundreds of years of environmental observations plays a critical role in helping communities predict, manage, and recover from climate change implications. It also highlighted that pairing indigenous with contemporary climatic responses strengthens adaptive capacities that promote biodiversity preservation, and makes global warming more culturally recognized as sustainable.

- **Redvers N., Aubrey P., Celidwen Y., & Hill K** conducted a narrative review in 2023 based on an article they coauthored entitled titled ***"Indigenous Peoples: Traditional Knowledges, Climate Change And Health"*** that focused on health responses to the impacts of climate change on Indigenous peoples while reinforcing the protective function of traditional knowledges in complement to collective rights.[5]It critiques international frameworks like UNDRIP because they are deficit based, portraying Indigenous peoples primarily as victims in global dialogues. Also, this study brings attention to variations of indigenous groups, along with contestations of political demands for an equitable recognition of land rights and references to ILO Convention No.169 (1989) as well as UN research since 1972 revealing, "without the active involvement of these communities, existing health/environmental frameworks are less than complete."

- **Fernández-Llamazares** and his colleagues published an article in 2020 titled ,"***A State-of-the-Art Review Of Indigenous Peoples And Environmental Change"*** reveals exposure patterns and the environmental and/or health and/or cultural harm of pollution that is felt, especially amongst indigenously populated areas worldwide[6]. The study is a comprehensive evaluation resulting from 686 researches including 367 cases that uncovered adverse effects by largely industrialization/mining/agriculture/urban development which lead to detrimental polluting factors affecting them disproportionately, although they make up only 5% of humans demographic giving 15% of extreme pollution burdens involving heavy metals /organic pollutants/radioactive substances whilst mainly focusing on 141 diverse ethnicities,

[5] Listening Deeply to Indigenous People: A Collaborative Perspective and Reflection Between a Mapuche Machi and Ecologists, available at: https://journals.plos.org/globalpublichealth/article?id=10.1371/journal.pgph.0002474 (last visited on August 2025)

[6] A State-of-the-Art Review of Indigenous Peoples and Environmental Pollution, available at: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7187223/(last visited December 2019)

mostly in South American & North American regions – the author puts an end to emphasising pressing calls not just for enhanced inclusionary measures which enhance the native perspective on the problem but also on systems underpinning effective legal frameworks that protect community rights and ecosystems alike.

- In 2021, **Fernando Tormos-Aponte** published an article titled[7],"**The Influence of Indigenous Peoples in Global Climate Decision-Making,**" where he explored the effect and modalities through which indigenous peoples influence global climate policy under the UNFCCC. [8]Since the 1990s, these communities, which cover about a quarter of the world's land area, have been actively involved in international climate discussions. They contributed their insights to the adaptation strategies outlined in the Paris Agreement. The author mentioned numerous obstacles that block indigenous political power, such as financial limitations, exclusion from decision-making processes, and internal diversity and conflicts.

  Although indigenous knowledge has received commendation within the Paris Agreement, Tormos-Aponte pointed out that meaningful progress necessitates decolonization efforts aimed at safeguarding indigenous rights.

Books:-

- ***Addressing the Climate Crisis in the Indian Himalayas: Can Traditional Ecological Knowledge Assist? –*** *by* **Anwesha Borthakur** & **Pardeep Singh**, 2024, examines how indigenous and local methods have alleviated the effects of climate change in the Himalayan region. It highlights the vulnerable circumstances faced by this area, where climate change impacts not only ecological systems but also disrupts the low-carbon lifestyles of its residents. This publication delves into the collaboration between traditional knowledge and modern science to find solutions for water and soil degradation caused by climate change.[9]

- "***Traditional Knowledge and Climate Change: An Environmental Impact on***

[7]The influence of indigenous peoples in global climate governance,available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/355767758_The_influence_of_indigenous_peoples_in_global_climate_governance
(last visited on October 2021)
[9] https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-031-50097-8

Landscape and Communities," edited by **Ana Penteado, Shambhu Prasad Chakrabarty, and Owais H.Shaikh**, 2024. This publication offers a relevant examination of the role that indigenous knowledge plays in addressing climate issues in the Global South. It features practical examples and case studies from the area that enhance environmental stewardship. Furthermore, it explores strategies for executing international agreements such as the UNFCCC and the Nagoya Protocol among others.[10]

- **"*Environmental Law*"** by **Gurdip Singh** and **Amrita Bahri,** [11] 3rd Edition, 2024. This book examines the constitutional significance of environmental issues. They write about recent developments in environmental legislation and include: sustainable development; legal regulations regarding hazardous materials; and protecting air quality, water resources, forests, wildlife habitats, and biodiversity. It also provides the relevant environmental laws passed in India for control of pollution and maintenance of ecological conditions, as well as shortcomings and gaps of such existing regulations.

- ***"Indigenous Knowledge for Climate Change Assessment and Adaptation"*** [12] by **Douglas Nakashima, Igor Krupnik & Jennifer Rubis –**explores the significance of Indigenous and Local Knowledge (ILK) systems in comprehending, evaluating, and addressing climate change. The authors illustrate that over centuries of intimate engagement with their surroundings, Indigenous communities have developed a deep ecological understanding that complements contemporary scientific approaches.

- ***"Routledge Handbook of Climate Change Impacts on Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities"*** by **Reyes Victoria**, this broad handbook identifies and examines the complex ways Indigenous people and local communities are responding to the effects of climate change through adaptive strategies.[13] There is substantial evidence of a significant shift in the planet's climate. Contributions from authors with many nationalities have been included in the volume, and there is a spectrum of

[10] https://dokumen.pub/traditional-knowledge-and-climate-change-an-environmental-impact-on-landscape-and-communities-9819988292-9789819988297.html
[11] SCC Online® | The Surest Way To Legal Research
[12]https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327651842_Indigenous_Knowledge_for_Climate_Change_Assessment_and_Adaptation
[13] https://ddd.uab.cat/pub/llibres/2023/4b7f22f626b0/9781003801313.pdf

methodologies that relate directly to this topic. The handbook is laid out in two parts: Part I explores the range of aspects of climate change that Indigenous Peoples and local communities can experience as a stand-alone phenomenon and as part of broader shifts in the environment. Part II is dedicated to the specific adaptation strategies adopted by these communities to successfully negotiate these complex challenges.

VII. Scope and Limitation

This work is also related to indigenous peoples' relationship with the environment, the nexus between indigenous populations and climate change, the influence of environmental change, change in culture for example environmental changes impacts on their ways of living, methods and ways of knowledge systems.

This research explores legal, social and policy factors that are applied from local and global perspectives.

Moreover, it highlights the participation of traditional community knowledge in adapting to and combating the impacts of climate change from the perspective of traditional communities. The research aims to evaluate if contemporary international and domestic laws are working as expected.

Despite this, this study has some limitations and primarily it relies on secondary data and does not collect primary data from fieldwork or direct interaction with native people. As a result, it can't claim to represent lived experience or firsthand accounts in full and without bias. Though examples from India and other countries are mentioned, not all indigenous groups are considered, limiting the geographical horizon of the study.

Another limitation is the characteristic of the climate related data with respect to its impact on indigenous societies. Some studies did not include the latest reports on climate science progress about climate change. Moreover, this study relies a lot on published literature (including reports) and documents that depend mostly on the quality of sources available.

Chapter 2:- Indigenous Knowledge Systems in Climate Adaptation and Mitigation - Indian and Global Perspectives

2.1 Why Indigenous Knowledge matters for climate responses

Indigenous knowledge (IK) is key when building climate change resilience for communities rooted in their environment. IK, however, is derived from life experiences and long-term adaptation, opposed to the modern day of data analytics. Such a profound interplay among communities and their ecosystems informs strategies for sustainable adaptation for Indigenous peoples that are environmental, economic, and cultural in nature. Indigenous practices, for example, offer sophisticated environmental signals such as plant blooms, birds moving, and changes in river dynamics that are early signposts of impending change in the weather. Farmers in India's Western Ghats know that the performance of the Cassia fistula signals the onset of the monsoon, while the Bhotiya and Lepcha tribes in the Himalayas use snowmelt and wildlife movements to interpret weather. IK's accuracy is higher than what customary weather methods provide; it makes regions more resilient and provides a roadmap for planting, harvesting, and migration.[14]

2.1.1 Ecosystem-Based Adaptation (EBA)

Indigenous Knowledge (IK) as an aid to climate-resilient adaptation, particularly among local communities that engage continuously with their natural environments, is essential for community resilience. Unlike the techniques of present science which often rely on outside data models and technology-powered predictions, IK is emergent by experience from knowledge-based learning, observation, and past adaptation. The deep ties to local ecosystems enable indigenous and traditional communities to develop adaptive approaches that are ecologically defensible, economically and culturally appropriate. Indigenous people have complex knowledge of the signs of environmental change in addition to establishing complex early systems for the study of early stages of climate change. These signals include aspects of nature such as flowering or fruiting phases of species, birds' migratory behaviour or insects' behaviours, and change in wind direction and river color or flow patterns. Such signals make accurate predictions about seasonal shifts such as changes in rainfall or temperature or other such phenomena. For example, farmers from tribal communities located in India's Western

[14] INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE SYSTEMS FOR CLIMATE RESILIENCE available at: https://ijrpr.com/uploads/V6ISSUE8/IJRPR51778.pdf (last updated on August 2025)

Ghats experience the coming-ons of the golden shower tree of Cassia fistula as a herald of monsoon season. In much the same way, Bhotiya and Lepcha people who live in the Himalayas use information of snowmelt and seasonal migrations of yaks along with migrations of mountain birds to make accurate weather predictions. Generally these traditional predictive methods are often more accurate than those supplied by official meteorological agencies in relation to the details within the micro-region, thereby supporting how Indigenous Knowledge builds important community capabilities by enabling time-sensitive modification of planting schedules, harvesting seasons, migration routes, etc.[15]

2.1.2 Customary Governance and Social Institutions

Indigenous Knowledge (IK) has a significant feature of being embedded in traditional governance frameworks. Community-based norms, seasonal restrictions, and rotational usage policies govern access to available natural wealth from village councils and elder committees through to clan-specific regulations. These systems are important in ensuring proper allocation of resources across the community and avoiding resource depletion. In regions such as Nagaland and Meghalaya, for example, hunting and forest use is controlled by local villagers themselves through local village councils who make up the bylaws that help to balance the needs for livelihoods with conservation goals. This mode of governance promotes intergenerational accountability to establish social legitimacy and protect sustainable resource management. [16]

2.1.3 Indigenous Knowledge and Climate Mitigation

Indigenous Knowledge (IK) plays a crucial role in addressing climate change, extending beyond mere adaptation strategies. Traditional land management practices are effective at preserving dense forests and fertile soils that have the capacity to sequester substantial amounts of carbon dioxide. For instance, community-managed forests established under the Forest Rights Act of 2006 in central and northeastern India benefit from secure land tenure, which

[15] Indigenous and local knowledge on social-ecological changes is positively associated with livelihood resilience in a Globally Important Agricultural Heritage System, available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308521X24000350 (last updated on April 2024)
[16] Identification of Indigenous Knowledge Components for Sustainable Development among the Santhal Community, available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319399588_Identification_of_Indigenous_Knowledge_Components_for_Sustainable_Development_among_the_Santhal_Community (last updated on August 2017)

promotes long-term carbon storage. [17]

Additionally, indigenous fire-management techniques such as low-intensity controlled burns employed by Aboriginal Australians and certain Indian communities serve to eliminate excess undergrowth, thereby reducing the likelihood of catastrophic wildfires while maintaining ecological balance. These managed burns not only aid in lowering greenhouse gas emissions but also enhance plant diversity and provide habitats for wildlife.

2.2 Indian Jurisprudence and Statutory Frameworks: Enabling Indigenous Knowledge System for Climate Action

Recognise that Indigenous communities value and demand recognition of their land rights, and local administration, for the Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) to become fully intermixed in the nation's policy. [18]In India, a number of judicial and legal directions play an important role in shaping the climate responses as articulated by IKS. The Supreme Court's ruling in ***Samata v. State of Andhra Pradesh***[19] effectively suspended the appropriation of tribal land inside agency areas by non-tribal persons and prevented exploitative conversions; both of which served to establish traditional use of land and environmental conservation. It was a decision that effectively put a check on commercial colonization of these tribal lands, a factor that helped to conserve landscapes essential for community-based conservation. Similarly, ***T.N. Godavarman vs Union of India***[20] is involved in long-running litigation that has transformed forest landscape governance with the courts having begun to enforce stronger regulations on diversion and appropriation laws as well as forest protection schemes that ease use of community resources, all of which come under public law scrutiny.

While complicated, these cases served as flashpoints for forest conservation, they also launched

[17] Identification of Indigenous Knowledge Components for Sustainable Development among the Santhal Community, available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319399588_Identification_of_Indigenous_Knowledge_Components_for_Sustainable_Development_among_the_Santhal_Community (last updated on August 2017)

[1818] [18]Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS) and Environmental Sustainability: Integrating Tradition with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), available at: https://www.journalofpoliticalscience.com/uploads/archives/7-7-16-793.pdf (last updated on 28-7-2025)

[19] *Samata v. State of Andhra Pradesh* , AIR 1997 SUPREME COURT 3297, 1997 (8) SCC 191, 1997 AIR SCW 3361, (1997) 3 APLJ 49, 1997 (4) SCALE 746, (1997) 6 JT 449 (SC), (1997) 2 SCJ 539, (1997) 6 SUPREME 530, (1997) 4 SCALE 746

[20] *T.N. Godavarman vs Union of India* ,AIR 1998 SUPREME COURT 769, 1998 AIR SCW 484, 1998 (1) UJ (SC) 275, 1998 (1) SCALE 114, 1998 (1) ADSC 251, 1998 UJ(SC) 1 275, 1998 (2) SCC 59, (1998) 1 JT 133 (SC), (1998) 1 SUPREME 265, (1998) 1 SCALE 114

a dialogue on resource control: something closely linked to community management and IKS. Among this context there has been legislation that is widely influential in transforming community practice to become a legal practice and is known as Forest Rights Act (FRA) 2006.

Adopting secure tenure and management of land, the FRA protects personal and collective forest rights and grants Gram Sabhas local supervision of the activities of CFR systems, to enable the effective management of biodiversity, as well as carbon sequestration and at a grassroots level from community engagement. Given the 2013 decision by the Supreme Court in *Orissa Mining Corporation Ltd vs Ministry Of Environment & Forest &Ors*[21] The Supreme Court's decision to permit Gram Sabha decisions regarding mining operations at Niyamgiri constitutes a powerful procedural shield, in that such assemblies have the autonomy to prevent projects that are not only hazardous to the environment but also to fertile knowledge ecosystems, retaining both the integrity of the environment as well as ecosystems supporting the transmission of knowledge. They are the principles behind the protection of tenure and participatory authority which constitute integral means of involving communities in adaptation strategies as well as providing a pathway for sustainable forms of preservation besides carbon management with respect to IKS.

2.3 International jurisprudence: principles that underpin IKS integration

The principle that the three interconnected legal principles form the basis of IKS-enacted climate initiatives that can then be adapted and implemented based on international legal consensus include respect for land tenure, Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC), and governance by communities.[22] Similar to the case of ***Pueblo Kichwa de Sarayaku v. Ecuador*** (Inter-American Court, 2012),[23] it was found that the government violated indigenous rights by undertaking oil exploration without adequate consultation or safeguards. The court ordered reparative actions in light of this breach. This ruling made FPIC a fundamental right to protect cultural-ecological integrity and therefore the ecosystems where IKS is employed. Aboriginal title was established in ***Tsilhqot'in Nation v. British Columbia*** (Supreme Court of Canada, 2014),**Error! Bookmark not defined.** the first case involving this type of Aboriginal land,

[21] *Orissa Mining Corporation Ltd vs Ministry Of Environment & Forest &Ors* , Writ Petition (Civil) No. 180 of 2011

[22]Interrelations between Indian Knowledge System (IKS) and Environmental law ,available at : https://www.ijsrst.com/index.php/home/article/view/IJSRST251306 (last updated on July-August 2025)

[23] *Pueblo Kichwa de Sarayaku v. Ecuador,* IACHR Series C No 245 (Inter-American Court of Human Rights, June 27, 2012)

along with their right over how land is used, the resources that go into use and the economic benefits to the Indigenous people when use is successful through it. This law gives communities the opportunity to take the lead in stewardship for carbon sequestration and promote resilience to environmental impacts.

The ***Mabo v. Queensland*** (High Court of Australia, 1992) landmark case rejected the doctrine of terra nullius, which was originally introduced by settlers into Australian jurisdiction and recognised native title[24]. This landmark decision enabled native-led stewardship work including sustainable fire management methods and stewardship agreements that provide further mitigation gains.

In the few books like ***Traditional Knowledge and Climate Change: An Environmental Impact on Landscape and Communities*** and ***Indigenous Knowledge for Climate Change Assessment and Adaptation*** in which here already the necessity of Indigenous Knowledge were mentioned. These books demonstrated that how traditional knowledge system can helps to mitigate the environmental situation and also can help in environmental law, if the legislature adopt these practices.

[24] *Mabo v. Queensland* (No 2) [1992] HCA 23; (1992) 175 CLR 1

Chapter 3 : Environmental Laws and the Infringement of Indigenous Peoples' Rights — India and the Global Perspective:

3.1 Legal structures that produce conflicts

Two structural features of contemporary environmental legislation often collide with Indigenous rights. [25]Firstly, many conservation and natural resource laws devolve control of land and use for resources to the state (including such areas as protected area designations, mining permits, and forest classifications), thus effectively eroding prior customary rights. [26] Secondly, permitting processes and development models frequently underline "public interest" or economic development without sufficiently fulfilling the requirement for FPIC of affected parties. In India, a patchwork of colonial-era laws and post-Independence regulations including the Indian Forest Act, the Wildlife (Protection) Act, and the Forest (Conservation) Act have tended to centralize control over forests while pushing back traditional governance structures. The Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act of 2006, which was aimed at recognizing rights for communities who rely on forests, was introduced as such corrective legislation. However, implementation inconsistencies along with inter-regulatory inconsistencies regularly resulted in bureaucratic clashes in relation to conservation/development projects and community protests. Studies of recent cases and litigation suggest that the interpretations of the FRA are currently being questioned and challenged, together with constitutional scrutiny.

3.2 India — Case Law and Disputed Doctrines

- **Samata v. State of Andhra Pradesh (1997)**

In the case of Samata v. State of Andhra Pradesh, the Supreme Court of India determined that land located in "agency tracts"—regions predominantly inhabited by tribal communities—could not be sold or leased to non-tribals for mining or other exploitative purposes[27]. The court

[25] Conservation Policy and Indigenous Peoples, available at: https://www.culturalsurvival.org/publications/cultural-survival-quarterly/conservation-policy-and-indigenous-peoples (last updated on May 7 ,2010)
[26] Conservation Policy and Indigenous Peoples, available at: https://www.culturalsurvival.org/publications/cultural-survival-quarterly/conservation-policy-and-indigenous-peoples (last updated on May 7 ,2010)
[27] A study on the status and problems of Tribal Children in Andra Pradesh, available at: https://samataindia.org.in/data/Publications/childrights.pdf (last visited on 2007)

deemed extensive transfers to private enterprises as unacceptable, emphasizing the importance of safeguarding tribal land rights from commercial exploitation. This ruling marked an early constitutional stand against unrestricted resource extraction from tribal territories, asserting that legislative approvals cannot override constitutional protections afforded to these lands.

- **Niyamgiri / Dongria Kondh and Vedanta (2013 and Related History)**

The conflict surrounding mining operations in the Niyamgiri Hills in Odisha starkly highlighted the clash between mining interests, conservation efforts, development permissions, and Indigenous self-determination[28]. Following a lengthy period marked by legal battles and political disputes, this struggle culminated when Gram Sabhas—the village assemblies representing the Dongria Kondh community—exercised their authority to reject bauxite mining on their sacred hills. Ultimately, both courts and administrative entities acknowledged that the democratic decisions made by Gram Sabhas along with statutory community entitlements under the Forest Rights Act could not be superseded by standard clearance processes for mining activities. The events concerning Niyamgiri are frequently referenced as a significant milestone in affirming local consent and autonomy within Indigenous governance.

3.3 Implementation Gaps and Current Legal Disputes

Although the Forest Rights Act (FRA) has been recognised in legislation, its operationalzation with respect to laws of protected areas and conservation goals remains inconsistent. [29]A number of petitions and policy initiatives have sought to challenge or limit the operation of the FRA in wildlife sanctuaries, tiger reserves, or where Forest Department mandates are implemented. Civil society organizations have documented attempts by authorities to curtail gram sabha powers or reinterpret consent requirements concerning “linear projects,” which has led to increased scrutiny from judicial bodies. These implementation issues show that even well-intentioned legislation can succumb to governance challenges and policy tools that maintain centralized authority.

3.4 International Jurisprudence and Norms:

[28] Applying Ecological Principles to Land Management, available at: https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-1-4613-0099-1 (last updated on 2001)
[29] FORESTS, RIGHTS AND CONSERVATION: FRA ACT, available at: https://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep00846.7?seq=1 (*last updated on* January 1 2006)

3.4.1 Duty to Consult and Accommodate — Haida Nation (Canada)

Courts across various jurisdictions have established the principle of a state's obligation to consult Indigenous peoples when their rights may be impacted by governmental decisions. In the case of [30]*Haida Nation v. British Columbia* (Supreme Court of Canada, 2004), it was determined that the Crown is legally required to engage in consultation with Indigenous peoples before authorizing resource development activities.[31]While this duty does not necessarily grant a veto power, it mandates substantial engagement and negotiations conducted in good faith. The jurisprudence of Haida has played an essential role in shaping the global understanding of the procedural safeguards applicable to environmental approval.

3.4.2 Other International Instruments

Two principal international agreements underpin Indigenous rights related to environmental governance: the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP, 2007) and ILO Convention No. 169. [32] Although UNDRIP is non-binding, it outlines key principles such as Free Prior Informed Consent (FPIC), land and resource entitlements, along with cultural preservation—standards that are increasingly referenced within domestic legal proceedings and policy discussions.

Conversely, ILO Convention No. 169 is obligatory for ratifying nations; it similarly insists on consultation processes and recognition of customary land rights. These frameworks lend significant normative authority to communities striving against dispossession linked to conservation or extraction initiatives.

3.5 Patterns of Infringement — Implementation of Rules and Regulations in Practice

Several consistent patterns illustrate the infringement of Indigenous rights by environmental laws:

1.Top-down Classification and Exclusion: Creation of protected areas or classification as

[30] *Haida Nation v. British Columbia* , 2004 SCC 73.
[31] The Duty to Consult in Canada Post-Haida Nation, available at: https://arcticreview.no/index.php/arctic/article/view/2568/4793 (last updated on 2020)
[32] United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous People, available at: https://www.un.org/development/desa/Indigenouspeoples/wp-content/uploads/sites/19/2018/11/UNDRIP_E_web.pdf 9(last updated on 2018)

"forest" without prior mapping of customary land tenure often leads to displacement or criminalization of traditional livelihoods. [33] While the Forest Rights Act (FRA) professes to provide recourse, reclassifications and evictions continue unabated.

2. Fragmented Legal Regimes: Conservation law, forest law, and land-use regulations often operate independently of one another. When statutory responsibilities or permits conflict, bureaucratic agencies may focus more on conservation or infrastructure projects rather than community rights, which ultimately causes arbitrary dispossessions.

3. Inadequate Procedural Safeguards: Consent is often superficial; genuine Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) is infrequently realized. And when consultations do occur, they are often undermined by intimidation, unequal access to information, or elite dominance.

4. Economic Prioritization: Mining, hydropower, and other infrastructure projects are often presented as being in the national interest, allowing the rights of the local people to be bypassed even if constitutional rights already exist.

5.Judicial Ambiguity: Courts have balanced the maintenance of Indigenous rights with developmental goals. Some decisions supported community entitlements, like Samata and Niyamgiri, to some extent; different decisions and administrative directives have created exceptions, leaving the wording of the law questionable.

[33] ENVIRONMENTAL LAW & PRACTICE REVIEW, available at: https://nalsar.ac.in/images/elpr-Vol-7.pdf (last updated on 2020)

Chapter 4:- Evaluating the State of Legal Frameworks (International & Domestic) for Protecting Indigenous Rights in the Face of Climate Change

4.1 International instruments: normative scaffolding with limited teeth

The current legal standards regarding Indigenous rights are established in two international frameworks. For one, the **United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples** (UNDRIP) adopted in 2007 outlines a wide variety of Indigenous rights. These rights consist of rights to self-determination, access to lands and resources, and free, prior and informed consent (FPIC), and cultural preservation. UNDRIP puts these entitlements in the context of human rights obligations associated with environmental protection efforts. While it is largely a political document, its authority has shaped domestic shifts in policy and judicial interpretation. [34]

Second, **ILO Convention No. 169** established in 1989 is the only treaty specifically concerning Indigenous and tribal peoples that has been legally binding. It requires ratifying states to partner with Indigenous communities in order to adopt measures that seek to ensure their livelihoods and also to initiate measures to take care of their cultures and territories. However, its global impact is restricted by the lack of many nations that have yet to ratify C169. These instruments articulate key normative concepts—especially FPIC and communal land ownership—crucial to strategies to strengthen climate resilience. They fail due to the uneven adoption of these treaty tools by countries (in terms of the treaties) and lack of direct enforcement instruments; therefore they are more guidelines that national courts and legislative authorities can use or ignore.

4.2 Regional and international jurisprudence: incremental rights-recognition tied to environment

Regional human rights courts have a robust jurisprudence that recognizes communal property

[34] Department of Economic and Social Affairs, *available at*- https://www.un.org/development/desa/indigenouspeoples/climate-change.html(last updated on 24th September 2007)

and procedural protections for Indigenous rights where extractive or development plans endanger Indigenous lands - an established jurisprudence by which climate litigation can now draw upon a stream of judicial decisions. A watershed of the Inter-American court system was of course the 2007 ruling in ***Saramaka People v. Suriname***.[35] In this historic ruling, the Court affirmed that communities that are tied to their ecosystem as being significant entities must be consulted before any major construction activity can take place. It required environmental impact studies and benefit-sharing measures for these projects, which in turn connected property and cultural rights with environmental governance and placed onerous bureaucratic requirements on states. There are repeated references to the precedent established by Saramaka as a means of holding state agents accountable if environmental damage is an existential threat to Indigenous people's lives and cultures.

Further, national supreme courts have delivered influential pronouncements affirming Indigenous land entitlements under domestic law to enable the contestation of climate-damaging activities. A recent example to give an insight on this is the Canadian Supreme Court ruling in ***Tsilhqot'in Nation v. British Columbia (2014***) [38] which enshrined aboriginal title over designated territories while emphasizing government obligations to consult with pertinent parties and establish any infringements of aboriginal title—a decision that markedly strengthens Indigenous communities' voices in the prevention or conditions on resource extraction projects creating climate vulnerability. These judicial decisions demonstrate how courts can translate international legal principles into concrete obligations — including consultation processes, detailed environmental assessments and provisions for compensation — that are critical when climate-led projects (dams, mining, logging and coastal development) threaten Indigenous lands.

4.3 Domestic statutory frameworks and case law — three comparative snapshots

India:

India, which has a progressive statutory legislative framework and a challenge in implementation. [36]The Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006 (FRA) recognizes the rights of forest-dwelling communities to use,

[35] *Saramaka People v. Suriname*, IACHR Series C no 185, IHRL 3058 (IACHR 2008),
[36] Forest Rights Act, 2006, available at: https://www.drishtiias.com/to-the-points/Paper2/forest-rights-act-2006 (last updated on 6 march 2019)

manage and protect traditional forest lands and resources. This promises to be a powerful lever for ensuring both land-based climate adaptation measures and stewardship techniques. Judicial decisions have had a role, too. Case in point, in ***Samatha v. State of Andhra Pradesh*** (1997),[19] which limited the transfer of tribal lands for private mining activities in scheduled areas - serving as an example of how courts may protect tribal land and climate risks, from extractive activities. On the other hand, trailblazing environmental cases such as ***T.N. Godavarman***[20] have shaped forest protection policies; however, implementation gaps between conservation law and tribal claims to land persist.

Australia:

In Australia, ***Mabo v Queensland*** **(No. 2)(1992)**[37] rejected the doctrine of terra nullius whilst providing legal recognition of native title, a fundamental change in which Indigenous title-holders are allowed to exercise property-related control over decisions governing the use of land and resource use. This recognition puts legal power into the hands of the Indigenous community to legally challenge or affect projects that may exacerbate climatic impacts on their ancestral homeland, such as coastal erosion or deforestation; however, native title is often susceptible to extinguishment under complex compensation frameworks and therefore protections are in turn not fully formed.

Canada:

As highlighted previously, ***Tsilhqot'in Nation v. British Columbia*** (2014) [38]established a strong declaration regarding Aboriginal title by clarifying it encompasses both legal ownership and authority over land usage—imposing responsibilities on governments to justify any infringements while ensuring meaningful consultation occurs beforehand. This doctrinal clarity empowers Indigenous groups to claim protections of their own on climate change by appealing under domestic law for issues such as coastal setbacks or opposition against destructive projects.

4.4 Strengths: legal recognition, procedural safeguards, and Indigenous agency

[37] The Mabo Case, available at: https://nationalaglawcenter.org/wp-content/uploads/assets/bibarticles/meyersmugambwa_mabo.pdf (last updated on 1993)
[38] Tsilhqot'in Nation v British Columbia (2014): An Expansion of Title and Justification, *available at:* https://www.constitutionalstudies.ca/2015/04/tsilhqotin-nation-v-british-columbia-2014-an-expansion-of-title-and-justification/ (last updated on April 15 2015)

1. Normative Clarity: UNDRIP and ILO 169 and similar instruments create normative guidelines (including FPIC and collective land rights) that serve as a basis for climate responses based on rights. [39]

2. Jurisprudential Momentum: Regional and domestic courts have created enforceable obligations (including consultation, environmental assessments, land rights) which have important implications for climate governance—for example, cases like Saramaka, Tsilhqot'in, and Mabo.

3. Statutory Tools for Stewardship: Frameworks like India's FRA explicitly acknowledge Indigenous stewardship, thereby providing a legal framework to support community-driven adaptation strategies and conservation efforts.

4.5 Gaps and limitations: enforcement, fragmentation, and climate specificity

Despite progress, a number of significant holes hinder effective protection:

- **Absence of binding agreements and variable ratification**:

The UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) also does not include mandatory enforcement, and the protections provided by ILO Convention 169 are directed at states which ratified it, meaning many Indigenous communities have no robust international treaty protections in place.

- **Legal rights are frequently challenged during execution**:

Verification procedures, land titling, and protection from dominant economic interests, for example, exhibit serious inconsistency. India's Forest Rights Act, for instance, is encountering administrative issues and discord with forestry conservation authorities.

- **Disjointed climate legislation**:

Often the approach to climate policy takes place within isolated sectors — energy production

[39] Proceduralising indigenous peoples' demands: Indigenous environmental rights and legal pluralism in contemporary jurisprudenceProceduralising indigenous peoples' demands: Indigenous environmental rights and legal pluralism in contemporary jurisprudence, available at: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/27706869.2023.2194846 (*last updated on* 31st march 2023)

or agriculture, for example — with little integration into frames promoting Indigenous rights. The lack of coherence in such policies leads to regulatory voids where programs of "climate mitigation" (such as major bio-energy projects or carbon-offset schemes) mean either the displacement of communities or jeopardizing traditional land tenure if specific legal barriers are not set in place for these activities.

- **Misalignment in timing considerations**:

The impact is layered and cumulative from climate change over time, and climate-related litigation is often a haphazard process—hence, remedies usually fall under the umbrella of merely individual development projects rather than systemic injustices affecting more widespread societal climate impacts.

Chapter 5 : Aligning Indigenous Community Customs with Contemporary Climate Policies — Pathways for Sustainable Integration

5.1 Review of International and National Legal Frameworks

1. Global Acknowledgment of Customary Governance

Internationally, UNDRIP (2007) provides a primary framework that can help connect community traditions to environmental stewardship. This document focuses on the protection and rehabilitation of, and accountability to, traditional land management practices. Articles 25 to 32 unambiguously express Indigenous peoples' rights to retain and build spiritual connections with land and resources and to participate in the decision-making on the management of resources. Key parts of UNDRIP are the Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) principle requiring that communities must be consulted before any new initiative or policy is implemented on their lands. Similarly, ILO Convention No. 169 (1989) requires that nations must respect Indigenous peoples' institutions and their people, customs, and values while conducting environmental and development programs. This treaty highlights the need to adapt climate-related policies to traditional ways of knowing about the world but claims that Indigenous involvement is more than symbolic. While not a legally obligatory responsibility under the Paris Agreement (2015), recognition is addressed in its Preamble of traditional knowledge's influence on climate adaptation. Under Article 7.5, parties to adaptation measures are expected to respect and advance Indigenous knowledge systems. Additionally, the LCIPP, as a way underpinned by UNFCCC guidelines, has further institutionalized these acknowledgments through a collaborative relationship of science and traditional wisdom.[32]

2. National Legal Frameworks Enhancing Roles for Indigenous Climate Action

Many countries have enacted domestic laws promoting community and ecological practices to fit with their goals.

- **India:**

The Scheduled Tribes And Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition Of Forest Rights) Act of 2006 sets up the rights to Community Forest Resources as well as empowering gram

sabhas — village assemblies, for example — that can manage forests sustainably. A ruling in ***Samatha v. State Of Andhra Pradesh*** (1997)**Error! Bookmark not defined.** confirmed tribal control over Scheduled Areas by ruling leasing these lands for private mining unconstitutional; these frameworks thus allow traditional conservation practices like rotational forestry and communal grazing to be enshrined in law.

- **Australia:**

Mabo v. Queensland (No. 2) (1992)[24], Native Title Act of 1993 recognizes Indigenous land rights based on customary law. [40]This framework enables collaborative land management between Indigenous communities and governmental entities, as it will impact climate change mitigation measures such as fire control of lands and protecting biodiversity.

- **Canada:**

The Supreme Court's decision in ***Tsilhqot'in Nation v. British Columbia*** (2014)**Error! Bookmark not defined.** honoured the rights of Indigenous communities as the holders of Aboriginal title through resource management. It set a legal precedent for weaving community-driven climate adaptation strategies, such as forest management and rural habitat restoration, into nation-wide climate strategies.

- **New Zealand:**

The counseling agreement about Whanganui River, which came to legal personhood status in 2017, which is the product of a co-management agreement, is also one evidence demonstrating that traditional cosmology can work in harmony with new environmental law. A number of barriers thwart true integration between Indigenous ways of knowing, living, and working and the politics of climate-related governance.

5.2 Possible Solutions to Integration of Community Traditions into Climate Policy:

In order to truly tackle climate problems, that approach calls for respectful of traditionalism as central to state climate policies. Suggested approaches are:

[40] The Mabo Case, available at: https://nationalaglawcenter.org/wp-content/uploads/assets/bibarticles/meyersmugambwa_mabo.pdf (last updated on 1993)

- **Co-governance and Co-Legal Pluralism**

Co-decrees with Indigenous entities should enable national climate policies that allow for collaboration between government and Indigenous organisations. In collaboration with state agencies for the purposes of local adaptation, India's gram sabhas can build models of land stewardship, akin to that by the Indigenous people of Australia.

- **Mandatory Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC)**

FPIC must be integrated into climate law, for example requiring Indigenous peoples to agree to adopt renewable energy projects and other changes. The ***Saramaka People v. Suriname****Error! Bookmark not defined.* decision highlights the significance of honouring Indigenous consent.

- **A respect for the Knowledge and Knowledge Systems**

Climate policies must incorporate Indigenous knowledge, such as the Sámi reindeer herders' expertise about snow conditions or predictions of the Khasi and Lepcha that are supporting TEK as scientific evidence.

- **Social Carbon Governance in a Community-Focused Way**

They should operate through local carbon governance, similar to the Forest Rights Committees that India adopted, instead of carbon markets. Community-managed carbon governance, in the manner found in India, should replace carbon markets from outside of the community, can ensure environmentally friendly benefits and provide livelihood security and ensure legally recognized communal ownership of carbon resources.

- **Amplifying Indigenous Voices**

Nations must set up councils that will integrate Indigenous views into climate policy, like Canada's advisory committee. It can help us work more collaboratively and implement policies more effectively.

Governments and universities should archive Indigenous eco-knowledge while maintaining IP rights. Cultural competence training for practitioners and scientists is also critical in maintaining native environmental ethics.

5.4 Case Study Applications:

- **India**: The village of Mendha-Lekha was the first place in India to establish collective forest rights under the Forest Rights Act (FRA) and for sustainable harvesting activity. This programme also achieves the fusion of traditional resource management with environmentally-friendly forestry[41].

- **Australia (Arnhem Land Fire Management**): Indigenous local communities' traditional ways of fire management have been reinstated, contributing to reduced severity of wildfires and greenhouse gas emissions, contributing to national carbon credit frameworks.[42]

- **Canada (Haida Nation Case, 2004):** In a landmark case the Supreme Court decided that the Crown must consult and accommodate Indigenous inhabitants before anything is done to natural resources on their lands thus providing a constitutional precedent to Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) as being part of climate planning.[30]

- **Colombia (Atrato River Case, 2016):** The river was legally protected under a jurisdiction established by the Constitutional Court, and Indigenous and Afro-Colombian people were identified as its custodians. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**This is a pioneering decision which harmonizes modern constitutional laws with classical ecological viewpoints.

5.5 Expansion of rural development negatively impacts the traditional customs of indigenous communities.

Rural development is supposed to bring advancement and poverty reduction but more often impacts indigenous people. These communities have lived amongst nature for generations but are increasingly threatened by industrialization, deforestation, and infrastructure developments, damaging their social organization, culture, and ancestral ways of life. Such alterations disturb ecological balance, which is fundamental to those communities' survival, leading to environmental decline and cultural crises. Indigenous traditions are rooted in the

[41] Mendha-Lekha in Gadchiroli: India's First Self-Governed Village with Legal Forest Rights, *available at:* https://www.thenewsdirt.com/post/mendha-lekha-in-gadchiroli-india-s-first-self-governed-village-with-legal-forest-rights#google_vignette (last updated onApril 16 , 2025)

[42] Contemporary Aboriginal savanna burning projects in Arnhem Land: a regional description and analysis of the fire management aspirations of Traditional Owners, *available at*: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/337537894_Contemporary_Aboriginal_savanna_burning_projects_in_Arnhem_Land_a_regional_description_and_analysis_of_the_fire_management_aspirations_of_Traditional_Owners (last updated on November 2019)

natural world, with forests, rivers and mountains as sacred. These development activities that destroy these environments put at risk their resources and cultural identity. Deforestation is particularly dangerous, causing biodiversity loss and displacement and depriving sacred spaces of cultural significance.

And although rural development provides jobs, through industrialization, it is often at an expensive price to environmental integrity and community stability. Factory pollution harms the air and water and leads to poorer health and a decline in traditional livelihoods. This trend towards wage labor undermines the foundations of knowledge based in self-reliance and collective decision-making in favour of individual self-interest and market-oriented concepts. Worldwide problems such as climate change have also compounded the effects of rural development to a degree that unpredictable weather patterns have threatened agriculture and social cohesion.

Uncontrolled construction causes widespread landslides and ground erosion, evictions of peoples and the cutting of links to their indigenous lands. Landholding policies frequently clash with customary moral and practical norms of communal stewardship, resulting in conflicting communities and a decline in coexisting in resource use.

The dynamics of gender are also affected, with women, who traditionally preserve cultural heritage, losing access to roles within the cash economy that is now emerging. While legal frameworks protect these rights for indigenous peoples, development projects continue to move ahead without their consent — which is often defined as anti-progressive.

As seen with the ***Niyamgiri Hills*** case,**Error! Bookmark not defined.** indigenous rights must therefore be defended and there is also a need to bridge the traditional with the modern world. Finally, unregulated rural development is environmentally and culturally insensitive and undermines indigenous identities. They can save these communities in an era of new environments by promoting sustainable practices, which respect cultural identity.

Chapter 6:- Analysis, Conclusion and Suggestions

6. Analysis:-

This paper is a comprehensive and sensitive consideration of the nexus among climate change, Indigenous rights, and environmental law. It tackles this daunting challenge through an innovative, rigorous approach, combining doctrinal-led legal analysis, jurisprudential critique and socio-environmental research. The main strength of this contribution is its interdisciplinary character of mixing legal reasoning with human rights discussion, ecological perspectives and cultural contexts. It is suggested that tackling the global climate crisis needs to consider Indigenous perspectives, as they manifest in legislative and policymaking. Rather than simply describe the existing frameworks of laws and treaties in a descriptive fashion, it examines how they operate on ground to see if they are within ethical limits in terms of justice, participation, and equity. Since its inception, the study articulates a major research question: the impacts of climate change on Indigenous communities are disproportionate, even when they are minimally responsible for greenhouse gas emissions. It is a paradox that lies at the heart of the investigation. The paper uses a doctrinal approach to assess national and international legal frameworks. Further, the literature review fills an important gap in this area by providing an overview of contemporary academic conversations around Indigenous knowledge systems (IKS), environmental stewardship techniques such as jhum cultivation and Johad water management techniques for India, and Aboriginal fire management strategies in Australia. Such findings strengthen the case that such conventional approaches play a cultural and scientific effect of balancing ecological functions. The analytical depth reaches all the way to an inspection of the various environmental rules and regulations that violate Indigenous rights—one of the originality of this paper. It illustrates how the legacy of colonization has influenced modern-day regulatory structures and is often in the service of the exclusion of Indigenous people internationally. Specific critiques, including one of India's Indian Forest Act, show how this historical centralization of forest management has conflicted with tribal land title, presented as protection. The paper describes the judicial developments toward recognising native land claims in landmark cases, including ***Samata v. State of Andhra Pradesh****Error! Bookmark not defined.* and ***Niyamgiri*** (Vedanta) case,**Error! Bookmark not**

defined. and pivotal judicial decisions, including ***Mabo v. Queensland*** and these are not only cases to be regarded as court cases so much as moral milestones that reimagine state-community relations, in terms of autonomy over claims that Indigenous peoples have cherished for eons. There is a consideration of domestic, as well as international, frameworks (e.g. the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples [UNDRIP]) and the ways in which states and societies regulate in the form of 'soft law' and that these laws can play an important role in the shaping of normative norms (even without coercive enforcement). This comparative study contributes to the understanding of varying jurisdictions, observing patterns which continue to converge towards acknowledging Indigenous sovereignty, but which are performed as unevenly as they are for diverse historic contexts. Faced with crises over biodiversity driven principally by human activities, the need to deal with biodiversity loss and unsustainable development models is as urgent as ever. The paper emphasizes the importance of advancing positive, equitable ends based on mutual respect for the dignity of all human beings, irrespective of their heritage, culture, or beliefs. The quest towards cooperation to protect the natural resources bestowed on us by the Earth is framed as the most essential necessity to secure the viability of next generations. Finally, this research paper serves to better understand and address the complex relationship between climate change, Indigenous rights and environmental law, while advocating for a multi-faceted response that includes multiple voices and ways of being. Because we value Indigenous knowledge, as we fight for their rightful seat in policy and legislative processes, we can set the stage for a more equitable and sustainable future for us all.

6.1 Conclusion

Climate change is not just an environmental crisis but also a monumental battle for justice, governance, and human solidarity. The consequences of its influence have shown the moral inequalities entrenched in contemporary culture, with those responsible for the least damage to the environment taking the greatest hardship. Indigenous peoples worldwide are leading the charge on this issue. Their ancestral terrain, cultural traditions, and identities are beginning to be destroyed by increasing climatic disorder; however, they have some of the most effective and scalable solutions found in their traditional way of knowing. This research project highlights that the struggle against climate change is, at all times, inextricably linked to issues of advocating for Indigenous rights; environmental despoliation and social injustice represent overlapping issues that must be addressed as a collective by decolonization and legal recognition.The inquiry opened with a note acknowledging that Indigenous people are among

the first to monitor ecological change in their surroundings. For these communities, climate change is more than just abstract science; it's a physical phenomenon that affects their lands and waterways, forests, food systems, causing agricultural cycles to be broken and cultural heritages that rely on certain natural rhythms to be disrupted. In India, for example, communities such as the Adivasis in Chhattisgarh and the Lepcha tribes in Sikkim experience severe consequences of biodiversity loss and erratic monsoons, showing how commercial encroachment affects lifestyle in a global similar way, from the Amazon basin in South America to the Arctic Circle. That kind of shared experience underscores the fact that climate change poses an environmental and civilizational threat, forcing us to reflect on the basic relationships between human beings and nature.

Legal frameworks in response to this crisis uncover a complex interplay of progress and contradiction. Inherent in international instruments such as the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) are mechanisms that create normative standards to protect Indigenous rights — for example the principle of self-determination and collective ownership of land, as a whole, and the principle of Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC). Nonetheless, many of these rights remain just aspirational ideals, thanks to lack of enforcement and a resistance from states to implementing these principles at home. The gap underlines the gap between the moral clarity that is enshrined in these documents and their actual legality.

This kind of judicial development in a range of different countries may provide a ray of hope in the face of suffering. Landmark decisions like the Inter-American Court decision in **Error! Bookmark not defined.*Saramaka People v. Suriname*** and Canada's Supreme Court decision in **Error! Bookmark not defined.*Tsilhqot'in Nation v. British Columbia*** show an increasing acknowledgment of equitable land tenure as a component of justice. The above cases indicate that the law is at heart in many ways a force for inclusion, and this is true in its most consequential forms, in which judicial accountability is an unspoken rule, and emerges organically from considerations for equity more broadly accepted by all who share in the affected population's experience and concern. Nowhere is this more true than in the recent decisions of India's own apex Supreme Court which upheld the tribal autonomy under constitutional clauses that protect ecological rights thus underscoring the necessity for greater commitment beyond existence to mitigate the daily inequalities experienced by indigenous people on a daily basis.

IKS bring critical ethical lessons which sit at the heart of this study, and articulate more intricate understandings accumulated from the generations concerning the balance of ecosystems and community based governing structures. These systems are not remnants of past centuries but living, energetic, reproducible expressions of knowledge that carry with them scientific observations and offer them an avenue for progression. For example, looking at traditional forms in India that guide water harvesting methods in Rajasthan by Johad means or changing cultivation methods in the Northeast, is evidence that traditional ways of doing things can be used to become more resilient to future fluctuations in climate. These models are consistent with approaches used by Indigenous Australians and Amazonians, and are illustrative of the relationship between eco-worried ethics and sustainable practices.

Much of this exploration has also revealed the flaws in countless conservation laws, which supposedly serve to protect the earth but in reality frequently isolate marginalized groups. Statutes such as India's Forest Act of 1927 and the Wildlife Protection Act of 1972 criminalize customary use and mirror "fortress conservation" policies that draw on the colonial era and leave the original inhabitants behind. Such stories are not unique to India, with similar tales emerging in Africa, including Indigenous peoples being dispossessed of their land because of profit-driven extraction activities that put their livelihoods and cultures at risk. These issues are not straightforward and require a participatory governance framework to engage Indigenous custodians and acknowledge their knowledge and experiences. Folding the voices of elders, by enabling people to get involved in the community, we are building what has been left behind by external rules which have removed resources from local communities and not returned them to them in a sustainable way. Such approaches not only continue the legacies handed down through generations, but they also guarantee that the next generations will inherit a more compassionate, stronger world. This is a need which the research underscores and one that now more than ever demands immediate solution; it asks the necessity of collaboration among all concerned parties and to tackle persistent inequalities or inequities faced by Indigenous people against the backdrop of climate change. In seeking to secure a sustainable future for all of society, we need to understand that environmental and social challenges are not simply different. We must understand that together (we can go some way towards this) different perspectives are just that, forms another side of the coin for all who share those interests because we are each unique and different in many ways. We must move forward together. In doing this the process will yield a collective dawn of possibilities that is bound forth yet to be seen as we all strive toward a common vision for equity.

6.2 Suggestions:

6.2.1 Legal Reform Suggestions:

- Indigenous communities must be included in environmental matters and these recommendations hope to strengthen the legal protection of Indigenous communities from climate-related threats.

- Mandate Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) for all climate initiatives that affect Indigenous lands, including mining, renewable energy, and reforestation projects.

- Amend the Forest (Conservation) Act of 1980 and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) guidelines of India so that FPIC is obligatory and not optional.

6.2.2. Align Conflicting Environmental Laws.

- Ensure consistency between the Forest Rights Act of 2006 and conservation laws such as the Wildlife Protection Act of 1972 to prevent undermining Indigenous rights by "protected area" designations.

- Develop a unified legal and legislative structure marrying protection of biodiversity to Indigenous land claims.

6.2.3. Recognise Indigenous Legal Models

- Approve customary laws in the same way that traditional forms of governance are in the court of law under the heading of environmental adjudication.

- Courts and tribunals such as the NGT could accommodate these customary ecological practices as evidence based on sustainable forms of management.

6.2.4. Embed Climate Justice in Constitutional Interpretation

- Advise that judicial approaches to Article 21's right to life ought to incorporate both climate justice principles and acknowledgment of Indigenous cultural rights, in addition to livelihoods.

- The judiciary may use Samata or Niyamgiri as precedents in the recognition of environmental entitlements as fundamental human rights.

6.2.5 Policy And Administrative Suggestions

- Set up advisory councils of Indigenous representatives in line with the Ministry of Environment, Forests, and Climate Change (MoEFCC), through which policy can be formulated and developed collectively. They can advise on local adaptations and forest conservation and biodiversity protection initiatives.

- Promote cooperative management systems in which local Gram Sabhas team up with state forestry departments to monitor forests and watersheds. - e.g.: expand winning models such as “Mendha Lekha” community forests governance to more states.

6.2.6 International & Diplomatic Suggestions

- **Ratify ILO Convention No. 169** in India, which has yet to ratify this convention; campaigning for its ratification would strengthen global protections relating to indigenous land use, access to resources, consultation and even more on that aspect.

- Integrate the UN Declaration On Indigenous Peoples’ The integration of principles set by United Nations Declaration on Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) into domestic legislation will connect commitments to global action with actual social practices.

6.2.7 Institutional And Judicial Recommendations:

- Create an "Indigenous Rights Division" within the NGT. Build an organisation that is unique to resolving land rights related cases and reducing risks under National Green Tribunal (NGT) or essential human rights bodies.

- Empower both Indigenous groups and non-governmental organizations (PILs) navigated through legal channels enforcing constitutional provisions relative to environmental dangers.

- Sensitize judges, bureaucrats, and other relevant officials on matters concerning culture, laws specifically tailored for indigenous peoples, coupled with issues around climatic

vulnerability using education platforms like judicial academies of the law enforcement sector.

6.2.9. Human Rights Global Justice Imperative:

- **Adopt Framework Recognizing Nature's Rights:** Assert that ecosystems should be viewed as individual entities entitled to respect just like the Whanganui River located New Zealand, Atrato river Colombia aligning the dimension-spirituality concepts generated among tribal members modern juridical standards.

- **Foster International Collaborative Networks:** Strengthen South-South alliances connecting various national-indigenous networks operating across Indian, Latin American, African territories aiming at collective efforts leading to progress made toward shared adaptive challenges.

Table of Abbreviations

UNDRIP	United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples
FPIC	Free, Prior and Informed Consent
IKS	Indigenous Knowledge Systems
ILO	International Labour Organization
FRA	Forest Rights Act
NGT	National Green Tribunal
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
EBA	Ecosystem-Based Adaptation
TEK	Traditional Ecological Knowledge
CFR	Community Forest Resources
SDGs	Sustainable Development Goals
PIL	Public Interest Litigation

Bibliography

- **Primary Sources**

Cases:

- Atrato River Case Colombia, Centre for Studies for Social Justice v. Colombia, Constitutional Court of Colombia Judgment T-62216, 2016.

- Haida Nation v. British Columbia Minister of Forests, Supreme Court of Canada, 2004 3 SCR 511.

- Mabo v. Queensland No. 2, High Court of Australia, 1992 175 CLR 1.

- Orissa Mining Corporation Ltd. v. Ministry of Environment Forests Ors, Supreme Court of India, 2013 6 SCC 476.

- Pueblo Kichwa de Sarayaku v. Ecuador Inter-American Court of Human Rights, Judgment June 27, 2012.

- Samata v. State of Andhra Pradesh, Supreme Court of India, 1997 8 SCC 191.

- Saramaka People v. Suriname, Inter-American Court of Human Rights, Judgment November 28, 2007.

- T.N. Godavarman Thirumulpad v. Union of India, Supreme Court of India, 1997 2 SCC 267.

- Tsilhqotin Nation v. British Columbia, Supreme Court of Canada, 2014 2 SCR 257.

Statutes:

- Forest Rights Act, 2006 (India).

- Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 (India).

- Indian Forest Act, 1927 (India).

- Forest Conservation Act, 1980 (India).

- Environmental Impact Assessment Guidelines (India).

- United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP), 2007.

- ILO Convention No. 169 on Indigenous and Tribal Peoples, 1989.

- Native Title Act, 1993 (Australia).

- Paris Agreement, 2015.

- **Secondary Sources**

Books

- Borthakur, Anwesha & Singh, Pardeep, *Addressing the Climate Crisis in the Indian Himalayas: Can Traditional Ecological Knowledge Assist*? (2024).

- Penteado, Ana, Chakrabarty, Shambhu Prasad & Shaikh, Owais H., (eds.), *Traditional Knowledge and Climate Change: An Environmental Impact on Landscape and Communities* (2024).

- Singh, Gurdip & Bahri, Amrita, *Environmental Law,* 3rd ed. (2024).

- Nakashima, Douglas, Krupnik, Igor & Rubis, Jennifer*, Indigenous Knowledge for Climate Change Assessment and Adaptation.*

- Reyes Victoria ,*Routledge Handbook of Climate Change Impacts on Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities.(2023).*

Journal Articles and Reports:

- Daiyan, Mahir, "The Impact of Climate Change on Indigenous Knowledge and Cultural Practices," 2023.

- Dorji, Tashi et al., "Understanding How Indigenous Knowledge Contributes to Climate Change Adaptation and Resilience: A Systematic Literature Review," 2024.

- Redvers, N. et al., "Indigenous Peoples Traditional Knowledges, Climate Change And Health," 2023.

- Fernández-Llamazares, Andrés et al., "A State-of-the-Art Review of Indigenous Peoples and Environmental Change," 2020.

- Tormos-Aponte, Fernando, "The Influence of Indigenous Peoples in Global Climate Decision-Making," 2021.

- **Tertiary Sources**

- Online databases and websites related to environmental law and Indigenous rights such as EPA.gov, researchgate.net, Springer.com, and official UN documents on indigenous peoples and climate change.

- Summaries and policy papers from Indian Law University sources and global climate governance overviews.

- Legal practice reviews and environmental law bulletins such as SCC Online and NALSAR publications.