
REHABILITATION AND RETRIBUTION IN MAHARASHTRA: EXAMINING THE ROLE OF REFORMATION IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Prapti Prasad Sinkar, KES Shri Jayantilal H. Patel Law College

ABSTRACT

The criminal justice system seeks to balance the need to punish offenders with the objective of reforming them and facilitating their reintegration into society. This paper examines the relationship between retribution and rehabilitation within Maharashtra's prison system, with particular emphasis on the extent to which the State's rehabilitative objectives are reflected in practice. The study adopts a primarily doctrinal approach, examining relevant legislation, judicial decisions, official prison policies and statistical data, supplemented by existing empirical research on correctional programmes. It analyses the legal and constitutional basis of rehabilitation, prison conditions including overcrowding and the significant undertrial population, and rehabilitative measures such as open prisons, vocational training, prison industries, education and after-care services. The paper further distinguishes between the provision and participation in rehabilitation programmes and their actual post-release outcomes. While Maharashtra has developed a range of correctional mechanisms, the available evidence does not provide a sufficiently comprehensive basis for assessing their long-term effectiveness in terms of employment, reintegration and recidivism. The paper therefore identifies the need for systematic post-release data and independent evaluation of correctional programmes to strengthen evidence-based prison policy in Maharashtra.

Keywords: Rehabilitation; Retribution; Reformation; Prison Reform; Maharashtra Prisons; Correctional Services; Reintegration; Recidivism; After-Care; Criminal Justice System.

1. INTRODUCTION

The criminal justice system has historically struggled with the question of how society should respond to crime. For this reason, imprisonment has served at least two purposes in penal societies. First, punishment embodies the retributive philosophy that requires criminals to answer for their deeds by imposing a penalty corresponding with the gravity of the offence. Second, contemporary penal systems seek to rehabilitate prisoners as means of encouraging reform and facilitating their re-entry into society as law-abiding citizens. Both aims are not entirely compatible with each other, but they are not mutually exclusive either. In other words, a prison system can serve as an instrument of punishment and still provide rehabilitative services to convicted offenders.¹

Maharashtra's penal system affords a useful insight into the functioning of a prison as an agency of reform. In particular, the Maharashtra Prisons and Correctional Services Department sets out its objects as including reforming prisoners, rehabilitating them, and releasing them back into society as law-abiding citizens. The Department's vision statement calls for providing educational services, training, and skills to unlock the creative potential of imprisoned offenders. Notably, the correctional services in Maharashtra also emphasize the need for independent research on various forms of institutional custodies.

The questions posed above will form the basis of this paper's analysis. First of all, the paper will demonstrate the ways in which Maharashtra's prison law and administration seek to balance between retribution and reform. Second, the paper will review the general conditions in prisons, as well as the availability of various correctional services, with a view to determining the extent to which they contribute to rehabilitation and punishment. Third, the paper will turn its attention to the available empirical evidence on the effectiveness of rehabilitation programs, as well as the degree to which these programs take account of the needs of convicted offenders.

I propose to use a doctrinal methodology for this paper. In particular, the analysis will focus on statutes, case law, and official guidance. In addition, I intend to make use of published statistical data and relevant empirical studies. By focusing on legislation and case law, I hope to provide an in-depth analysis of the theory and practice of the penal system in Maharashtra.

¹ A. Maheshwari, 'Rehabilitation v. Punishment: Evaluating Effectiveness in Reducing Recidivism' (2025)

At the same time, I will consider published numerical data pertaining to imprisonment conditions and the effectiveness of rehabilitative corrections to conduct a thorough assessment of the extent to which Maharashtra's prisons adhere to the principle of rehabilitation.

2. THEORETICAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 Theories of Punishment

Punishment is one of the central features of the criminal justice system. Theories of punishment attempt to explain why the State imposes a legal consequence on a person who has committed an offence. Among the major approaches to punishment are retribution, deterrence, prevention and reformation. In the context of imprisonment, the distinction between retributive and reformatory approaches is particularly significant because it reflects two different understandings of the purpose of punishment.

The retributive theory of punishment views punishment as a response to the wrongdoing committed by an offender. The basic idea is that a person who has committed an offence should face a proportionate punishment because the offence deserves a legal consequence. The focus is therefore primarily on the offence already committed, and on holding the offender accountable for it. Retribution does not necessarily mean revenge, but within a legal system it is concerned with proportionate and legally authorised punishment rather than private retaliation.

The reformatory theory, in contrast, focuses on the possibility of changing the offender. It proceeds from the understanding that criminal behaviour may be influenced by social, economic, psychological and environmental circumstances, and that punishment should therefore attempt to address those circumstances wherever possible. The objective is not simply to punish the offender for the past offence, but to reduce the possibility of future offending, and facilitate the person's return to society.²

Rehabilitation is closely connected with the reformatory approach but places greater emphasis on the process through which an offender is prepared to live outside prison. Education, vocational training, counselling, employment-related skills, family contact and assistance after

² Pihu, 'Retribution vs Reformation: Balancing Justice in Modern Criminal Law' (2026) *International Journal of Judicial Law* 27

release may form part of this process. Reintegration represents the stage at which the individual returns to the community and attempts to establish a lawful and productive life. Consequently, rehabilitation cannot be understood solely by examining what occurs within prison, and the conditions to which a prisoner returns after release are also relevant.

Retribution is principally concerned with the wrongdoing that has already occurred, whereas rehabilitation is concerned with the offender's future. A modern prison system may nevertheless incorporate both. Imprisonment can serve as the legally prescribed punishment for an offence while the period of incarceration is simultaneously used for education, training, behavioural reform and preparation for release.³

2.2 Punishment and the Indian Prison System

The Indian prison system has historically contained both punitive and reformatory elements. Colonial prison administration placed considerable emphasis on discipline, confinement and forms of hard labour. Over time, however, the understanding of imprisonment has developed towards a correctional approach in which reformation and rehabilitation have become recognised objectives of prison administration.⁴

This development is reflected in the distinction between imprisonment as a form of punishment and imprisonment as an institution intended to facilitate reform. The continuing presence of disciplinary rules, restrictions on liberty and custodial control demonstrates the punitive character of imprisonment. At the same time, prison education, vocational training, work programmes, open prisons, parole, furlough and after-care mechanisms demonstrate the recognition that imprisonment may also serve a reformatory purpose.⁵

The prisoner remains subject to the deprivation of liberty imposed by law, but the prison administration is not necessarily expected to treat the entire period of imprisonment as an exercise in punishment alone. The rehabilitative objective becomes particularly significant towards the end of imprisonment, when the question shifts from confinement to preparation for release and reintegration.

³ A. Shukla, A. Raj and S.K. Mahunta, 'Critical Analysis of Prison Reforms in India' (2026) Indian Journal of Legal Review

⁴ S.S. Kumar, 'Prison Dynamics: Understanding Their Dual Purpose of Rehabilitation and Punishment through Rehabilitation and Poena in the Indian Context' (2024)

⁵ Maharashtra Prisons Department, Vision and Mission

This duality is important for Maharashtra because the State's prison administration expressly describes vocational training, education and other correctional measures as part of its rehabilitative function. The Maharashtra Prison Department's own historical account also records the movement from punitive forms of prison labour towards useful and meaningful work and vocational training.

2.3 Statutory Recognition of Punishment and Rehabilitation

The statutory framework demonstrates that punishment and rehabilitation can operate alongside one another. The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS) retains conventional punishments such as imprisonment, fine and, for specified offences, the death penalty.⁶ At the same time, it introduces community service as a form of punishment for specified offences. The inclusion of community service is significant because it illustrates the possibility of responding to criminal conduct through measures that do not necessarily depend exclusively upon incarceration.

Parole and furlough, open prisons, vocational education, prison employment and after-care are examples of mechanisms through which the consequences of imprisonment can be managed alongside the objective of preparing prisoners for eventual return to society.⁷

The Maharashtra Prisons and Correctional Services Act, 2025 further strengthens this statutory emphasis. Its terminology expressly incorporates correctional services and after-care services, thereby recognising rehabilitation as an institutional responsibility rather than leaving it solely to individual prison initiatives. The Act's recognition of after-care is particularly important because rehabilitation does not necessarily end at the prison gate.

2.4 Constitutional Dimension

The constitutional framework provides an additional basis for examining the treatment of prisoners. Although imprisonment necessarily involves the deprivation of personal liberty pursuant to law, imprisonment does not place a person entirely outside the protection of the Constitution. The Supreme Court has repeatedly recognised that prisoners retain fundamental

⁶ The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Act No. 45 of 2023)

⁷ Model Prisons and Correctional Services Act, 2023

rights subject to the restrictions necessarily flowing from incarceration.⁸

Article 21 of the Constitution, which protects life and personal liberty, has consequently become an important constitutional basis for the development of prisoners' rights. The constitutional understanding of life and liberty extends beyond mere physical existence and includes the protection of human dignity. This principle is relevant to prison administration because conditions of confinement, treatment of prisoners and opportunities for reform can affect the dignity of persons in custody.⁹

The constitutional dimension also supports the idea that imprisonment should not be understood exclusively as an instrument of suffering. Where the State deprives a person of liberty, the manner in which that deprivation is administered is subject to constitutional standards. Rehabilitation, education, vocational development and preparation for release can therefore be examined not merely as administrative benefits but as aspects of a broader correctional approach consistent with human dignity.¹⁰

The Supreme Court's sentencing jurisprudence has similarly recognised the relevance of reformation and the possibility of rehabilitation when determining appropriate punishment. In cases concerning severe punishments, the Court has required consideration of circumstances bearing upon the possibility of reform and rehabilitation. This demonstrates that the future conduct and reformatory potential of an offender can be legally relevant even within a system that imposes punishment for past wrongdoing.

Punishment remains an essential function of criminal law, but punishment does not necessarily exhaust the purpose of imprisonment. The recognition of rehabilitation creates an additional responsibility for the State to examine what happens to an individual during imprisonment and after release.

For Maharashtra, this distinction provides the theoretical foundation for the central inquiry of this paper: whether the rehabilitative objectives recognised by law and prison policy are reflected in the actual conditions, programmes and reintegration outcomes of the State's prison system.

⁸ Sunil Batra v Delhi Administration

⁹ Suhas Chakma v Union of India

¹⁰ Bachan Singh v State of Punjab

3. MAHARASHTRA'S PRISON SYSTEM: STRUCTURE AND CONDITIONS

3.1 Legal Architecture

The administration of Maharashtra's prisons historically involved various forms of legal and administrative measures, including prison legislation, rules and manuals, and specific directions. The Maharashtra Prison Manual, 1979 has served as the framework for several key areas of prison administration, such as the classification of prisoners, prison discipline, work, education, welfare and other matters. The gradual introduction of a correctional approach to prisons has seen rehabilitating aims added to this framework.¹¹

The Maharashtra Prisons and Correctional Services Act, 2025 represents an important development in this area. The new Act places a greater emphasis on correction, rehabilitation and reintegration within the administration of prisons. The Act recognises the concepts of correctional services, after-care services and open prisons, thereby introducing rehabilitation to the framework of prison law.¹²

Rehabilitation is not being presented as an informal activity that individual authorities have the option to utilise. Rather, correctional programmes are part of the wider institutional framework governing prisons. Similarly, the statutory recognition of after-care services is an understanding that the challenges experienced by prisoners are not necessarily terminated once they are released.

Open prisons and open colonies is another significant aspect of this framework. Unlike conventional closed prisons, open institutions place greater emphasis on controlled freedom, self-discipline, work and preparation for eventual release. The fact that these institutions are incorporated into the correctional framework reflects the principle that imprisonment does not necessarily always involve maximum physical confines where the circumstances of the prisoner allow for a less restraining form of custody.

The statutory framework also provides for institutional arrangements that address the needs and welfare of prisoners, which are relevant to a range of issues such as different categories of prisoners, correctional programmes, prison work, education, libraries, grievance mechanisms

¹¹ Maharashtra Prison Manual, 1979

¹² Maharashtra Prisons and Correctional Services Act, 2025

and welfare measures. The general structure of the framework demonstrates an attempt to combine custodial administration with correctional and rehabilitative aspects.

This development is consistent with the general policy direction adopted by the Ministry of Home Affairs at the national level. The Ministry has developed the Model Prison Manual, 2016 and the Model Prisons and Correctional Services Act, 2023, and have provided a framework for states to develop their prison administration around the principles of correction, rehabilitation and reintegration. Since prisons fall within the jurisdiction of the States, Maharashtra's implementation of these principles is significant.

3.2 Conditions within the Prison System

Rehabilitation requires more than the availability of formal programmes. It depends on whether prisoners have access to opportunities such as education, vocational training, counselling, work, healthcare, family contact and other aspects of correction.

One of the key structural issues in Indian prisons is overcrowding. When the population of prisons exceeds the sanctioned capacity, the impact on the management of prisons and the ability to provide individualised correction programmes is significant. Overcrowding can impact the living space, sanitation, healthcare, staff availability and access to programmes. It can therefore become relevant not only as a prison-management issue but also as a factor affecting the possibility of rehabilitation.¹³

The composition of the prison population is equally important. A significant proportion of the Indian prison population constitutes of undertrial prisoners who have not yet been convicted. This presents a difference between the purpose of imprisonment for a convicted person and the position of an individual awaiting trial. An undertrial is being detained primarily in relation to the criminal process and cannot be considered as an individual who is undergoing punishment following conviction.¹⁴

This differentiation creates a difficulty for a rehabilitating prison model. Corrections such as vocational training and long-term rehabilitation interventions may be designed primarily for sentenced prisoners, whereas undertrials may have shorter or uncertain periods of

¹³ NCRB, Prison Statistics India 2023

¹⁴ NCRB, Prison Statistics India 2024

incarceration. Consequently, prison overcrowding and the significant undertrial population can impact how far the correctional aims of the prison administration can be implemented practically.¹⁵

The Maharashtra Prison Department itself recognises rehabilitating and reintegration as important institutional objectives. Its mission includes facilitating education and skills, encouraging creative ability and developing skills among prisoners. It also recognises the importance of independent study of the impact of correctional services. This is significant as it demonstrates that the Department recognises the offering of correctional programmes as well as the importance of assessing their impact.

The description of prison industries by the Department is an example of how this rehabilitating objective operates in practice. These industries are described as correctional institutions designed to engage prisoners in productive work while developing skills.¹⁶ Activities that take place include carpentry, textiles, tailoring, leather work, bakery, paper work and other forms of vocational activities. Such programmes potentially serve two purposes at once: they provide structured activity during incarceration and can provide prisoners with the skills that can assist them after release.¹⁷

A programme can provide training, but may not result in employment, social acceptance or reduced reoffending after release. The distinction between programme participation and rehabilitation outcome is therefore important to the analysis that follows.

The same issue applies to open prisons. Maharashtra has a significant institutional structure for open prisons, which are based on a relatively less restricting form of custody and greater emphasis on self-discipline and preparation for reintegration. Their existence demonstrates that Maharashtra's prison system has models that go beyond conventional confinement. However, their rehabilitative significance ultimately depends on their accessibility and utilisation as well as their outcomes for prisoners after release.

On the legal and administrative level, rehabilitating has increasingly been an objective. On the practical level, however, overcrowding, the composition of the prison population and the

¹⁵ Sekhri (2020)

¹⁶ Maharashtra Prisons Department publications/industry material

¹⁷ Maharashtra Prisons Department, Vision and Mission

availability and outreach of correction programmes can impact the ability of the system to achieve that objective.

The tension provides the basis for examining the next question: whether the practical operation of imprisonment continues to reflect predominantly custodial and punitive characteristics despite the expansion of rehabilitating objectives formally.

4. RETRIBUTIVE PRACTICE: WHAT CUSTODY LOOKS LIKE

4.1 Undertrial Incarceration and the Custodial Function

This nuance is significant for clarifying the concept of a prison as a unit that fulfills both rehabilitative and punitive functions. In addition to convicted criminals serving a prison term, there are also persons deprived of liberty who have not yet been convicted but must be brought to trial.

The proportion of such inmates is significant for the prison system because it impacts the correctional component. A person who is serving a sentence has a clearly defined term of deprivation of liberty, while the length of detention of an undertrial is unpredictable: he may be released on bail, discharged, or sentenced to a prison term.

As a result, the correctional system in prisons is loaded unevenly depending on the composition of the inmate population.

4.2 Overcrowding and Institutional Pressure

Another factor that influences the relationship between the rehabilitative and punitive functions is the numerical load on the prison, that is, the ratio of the number of inmates to the available staff and the number of available cells. When the number of inmates exceeds the planned number, the staff must allocate resources to expand beds, improve living conditions, and ensure the safety and health of the inmates.¹⁸

At the same time, such a situation can negatively affect the correctional component of the prison because the staff is tied up with maintaining order rather than developing and implementing various educational and rehabilitative activities. This is where the factor of

¹⁸ NCRB PSI 2023

prisoner isolation intersects with the issue of numerical overload. Educational and rehabilitative activities require a qualified and professional approach, as well as appropriate time and space.

These factors are relevant to the functioning of the prison system in Maharashtra, as well as the concept of rehabilitation in it because the conditions in which prisoners are kept are the basis for their correction. For the purposes of this paper, they are important factors that must be taken into account when considering the concept of a rehabilitative prison.

4.3 The Prison Environment

The relationship between rehabilitative and punitive functions is manifested in the fact that for a prisoner, a period of incarceration is a period of not only punishment but also of social isolation and its consequences. The literature review indicates that the conditions of detention in prisons have negative effects on the personality of the convicted, which must also be taken into account when considering rehabilitative activities.

Thus, the imposition of a prison term has psychological and socioeconomic consequences for the convicted. He is subject to condemnation, stigmatization, and social isolation not only during the time of his detention but also after his release. These factors must be considered when analyzing a prison as a facility that not only punishes crime but also rehabilitates the personality of the convicted.¹⁹

The imposition of a prison term as a form of punishment and the additional effects that a person may experience during the time of his detention.

The former is the basis of the retributive concept, while the latter is a separate area of study, related to the correctional aspect. A retributive prison is based on the principle that a crime must be punished in accordance with the severity of the offense. At the same time, the retributive concept does little to prevent recidivism.

The rehabilitative function is based on the idea that the entire period of incarceration should be used to improve the convict's qualities and correct his attitude towards society. The introduction of education, vocational training, and work is aimed at taking the convict out of

¹⁹ Kaur & Sharma (2026) + Kumar (2024)

isolation as soon as possible. A more open regime is another way of rehabilitating prisoners.

5. REHABILITATIVE PROGRAMMES IN MAHARASHTRA

5.1 Open Prisons

Open prisons are one of the clearest examples of the shift away from conventional confinement towards a correctional approach. At variance with closed prisons, open prisons operate with relatively fewer physical restrictions and place emphasis on the aspects of discipline, responsibility and an ability to function with a greater degree of independence.

The underlying philosophy of an open prison is that physical confinement is not the only means by which the objectives of imprisonment can be achieved. In order to be placed in such an environment, prisoners who have displayed the suitable behaviour and suitability are given greater responsibility for their daily activities. Work, self-discipline and interaction with the community can therefore play part in the correctional process.

Maharashtra has traditionally been significant in the development of open prisons in India. The Maharashtra Prison Department historical account identifies open prisons and open colonies as part of the development of a reformatory approach to imprisonment by the State.²⁰ The objective is not merely to reduce physical restrictions but to provide prisoners with an environment in which they can develop discipline, work-related skills and a greater degree of responsibility.

The importance of open prisons has also been recognised at national judicial level. The Supreme Court has recently considered the functioning and utilisation of open correctional institutions and emphasised their role in reformation and rehabilitation. The Court's consideration of open prisons is significant because it demonstrates that alternative forms of custody can be an important part of a correctional prison system.²¹

They can act as an intermediary step between conventional imprisonment and release, allowing prisoners to develop practical skills and responsibility within a controlled environment.

²⁰ EPW, "Understanding Open Prisons in India"

²¹ Suhas Chakma

5.2 Vocational Training and Prison Industries

Vocational training is another important component of Maharashtra's rehabilitative approach. The Maharashtra Prison Department describes prison industries as a form of correctional institution and states that their aim includes engaging prisoners in productive work and providing them with skills that can contribute to their rehabilitation.²²

The range of activities undertaken through prison industries includes carpentry, blacksmithing, leather work, textiles, tailoring, paper work, bakery and other forms of productive work. These activities serve an immediate institutional function by providing prisoners with structured occupations while also offering an opportunity to develop skills.

The Department has also undertaken training initiatives beyond traditional prison industries. Its recent administrative material records initiatives to engage in skill development, educational courses and vocational training. Such measures indicate an attempt to expand the concept of prison work from routine institutional labour towards training that may have relevance beyond the prison environment.

One example identified in the literature is a computer-based training programme conducted for prisoners in Maharashtra approaching release. The study examined three batches of participants and used a mixed-method approach to assess their experiences. The participants reportedly viewed the training positively and considered the skills relevant to their preparation for life after imprisonment. The study, nevertheless, identified a broader difficulty: training alone may not be sufficient if released prisoners continue to face social rejection and difficulties in obtaining employment.²³

This distinction is important for evaluating vocational rehabilitation. Participation in a programme is an output; successful use of the acquired skills after release is an outcome. A prison administration may demonstrate that a certain number of prisoners have received training without being able to establish that the training resulted in sustained employment or reduced reoffending.

Productive prison work can contribute to discipline and skill development, but its rehabilitative

²² Maharashtra Prisons Department

²³ Chitrao et al. (2025)

value depends partly on whether the skills acquired correspond to opportunities available outside prison. Training that does not match labour-market requirements may have limited value after release.

Consequently, the assessment of vocational rehabilitation requires consideration of three stages: access to training, quality and relevance of training, and post-release utilisation of the acquired skills. The first two can largely be examined through the use of prison records and departmental material, but the third requires evidence concerning prisoners after their release.

5.3 Education and Other Correctional Activities

Rehabilitation in Maharashtra is not limited to vocational work. The Prison Department's stated mission includes education, development of skills and encouragement of creative abilities. Within its correctional system, the prison system has historically incorporated educational, cultural, recreational and social activities.

Education can serve a different function from vocational training. While vocational programmes primarily seek to develop employment-related skills, education may improve literacy, general knowledge, confidence and the ability to participate more effectively in society. These benefits are particularly significant for those whose educational opportunities before imprisonment were limited.²⁴

The broader correctional environment may also include recreational and cultural activities. Such programmes can contribute to structured daily routines and provide prisoners with opportunities for constructive participation.

However, as with vocational training, the existence of educational or recreational programmes does not automatically demonstrate rehabilitation. Their significance depends on the extent of participation, continuity and the extent to which they contribute to measurable changes in prisoners' circumstances or behaviour.

5.4 After-Care and Preparation for Release

A major development in Maharashtra's correctional framework is the recognition of after-care

²⁴ Hindustan Times

as part of prison administration. The Maharashtra Prisons and Correctional Services Act, 2025 incorporates after-care within its statutory framework and links it to the rehabilitation of released prisoners.²⁵

Release may involve difficulties relating to employment, housing, family relationships, documentation, social acceptance and access to community support. Without appropriate assistance, the transition from prison to ordinary community life may be difficult even where a prisoner has participated successfully in programmes during incarceration.

Instead of treating release as the end point of the prisoner's interaction with the correctional system, it recognises the period following release as relevant to the rehabilitative process.

The development of open colonies is significant in this context. Such institutions can provide a transitional environment in which prisoners are gradually exposed to greater independence before complete release. They can therefore complement the role of closed and open prisons by creating a more gradual transition between institutional custody and ordinary community life.

The effectiveness of after-care, however, ultimately depends upon implementation. The existence of statutory provisions does not itself demonstrate that released prisoners receive consistent assistance or that such assistance produces measurable outcomes. This makes after-care an important area for the outcome analysis undertaken later in this paper.

5.5 Women and Other Specific Prisoner Groups

A correctional system also needs to account for differences among prisoners. Maharashtra's developing statutory framework contains provisions relating to women prisoners and other specific categories of prisoners, including transgender prisoners.

The need for differentiated correctional measures arises because prisoners may have different social, economic and familial circumstances. Women prisoners, for example, may have childcare responsibilities or specific healthcare requirements that affect their experience of imprisonment and their ability to participate in correctional programmes.

²⁵ Maharashtra Prisons and Correctional Services Act

The availability of Maharashtra-specific evidence on these questions is therefore crucial. The next section examines the existing evidence on recidivism, reintegration and programme effectiveness and considers whether Maharashtra currently has sufficient mechanisms for measuring the outcomes of its rehabilitative approach.²⁶

6. OUTCOMES: RECIDIVISM, REINTEGRATION AND THE EVIDENCE GAP

6.1 Measuring Rehabilitation

The presence of correctional programmes does not by itself establish that rehabilitation has occurred. Meaningful assessment requires evidence concerning what happens to prisoners following participation in such programmes. Rehabilitation can be measured in terms of on-going employment, social adjustment, successful reintegration into the community and rates of re-offending.

Recidivism is particularly relevant as re-offending can indicate that correctional interventions have not adequately addressed the circumstances associated with offending. However, recidivism should not be treated as the sole indicator of rehabilitation. A prisoner may successfully gain employment, re-establish family bonds and attain stable social circumstances without this contributing to a formal re-offending statistic.

This raises an important methodological concern for Maharashtra. Information concerning the outcomes of rehabilitation requires data beyond that of prison records. Information concerning participation in vocational training or education may establish what was provided inside prison, but not necessarily what occurred afterwards.²⁷

6.2 Evidence on Vocational and Educational Programmes

The broader literature provides some support for the link between correctional education, vocational training and post-release outcomes. International research has examined whether vocational education and training are associated with reduced recidivism and has generally identified a relationship between meaningful educative or vocational interventions and

²⁶ Hindustan Times

²⁷ NCRB PSI 2023 for recidivism data.

improved correctional outcomes.²⁸

Such evidence is relevant to Maharashtra as the State places emphasis on prison industries, vocational training and skill development. It should be recognised, however, that findings from other jurisdictions cannot automatically be treated as evidence of effectiveness. Differences in prison administration, labour markets, social conditions, programme structures and post-release support can affect outcomes.²⁹

Maharashtra specific research provides some evidence of prisoners' experiences of training. The computer-based training study identified in the research framework, for example, examined prisoners who participated in a structured computer-training programme and considered the value of such training for social re-integration. The study provides useful information on programme participation and prisoners' views on the value of acquiring new skills.³⁰

However, evidence on prisoners' experiences is distinct from evidence of long-term outcomes. Positive prisoner perceptions of a training programme do not necessarily confirm that participants subsequently gained employment, avoided re-offending or achieved re-integration.

This is important because it prevents programme participation from being considered as a substitute for outcome measurement.

6.3 Recidivism and the Maharashtra Evidence Base

Recidivism is one of the most important indicators by which the effectiveness of rehabilitation can be assessed. If prisoners who participate in correctional programmes can be followed after release and compared with appropriate groups, it is possible to examine whether particular interventions are associated with reduced re-offending rates.

The issue is that prison-level information and post-release information are not necessarily maintained in a single, consistent system. Prison departments can record information about prisoners during incarceration, including participation in education, vocational training or prison work. Determining what occurs after release, however, requires continued tracking and

²⁸ Newton et al. (2016)

²⁹ Mohammed & Wan Mohamed (2015)

³⁰ Neumann et al. (2026)

coordination with other parts of the criminal justice system.

This is a potential evidence gap. Maharashtra may have information on the inputs and outputs of rehabilitation (e.g., the number of prisoners participating in particular programmes) without equally comprehensive information on their long-term outcomes.

Inputs → Programme participation → Immediate outputs → Post-release outcomes → Long-term recidivism

For example, the provision of vocational training is an input; completion of the training is an immediate output; obtaining and maintaining employment after release is a post-release outcome and sustained avoidance of re-offending is a longer-term outcome.

A rehabilitation policy cannot be fully evaluated if evidence is available only for the first two or three stages of this chain.

6.4 The Evidence Gap in Maharashtra

The central evidence problem identified in this research is therefore not necessarily the absence of rehabilitation programmes. Maharashtra has a range of correctional mechanisms including open prisons, prison industries, vocational and educational initiatives and an emerging statutory foundation for after-care.

The more difficult question is whether these interventions are undergoing systematic evaluation.

The Maharashtra Prison Department itself identifies the need to study the impact of different correctional services through independent evaluation. This is significant because it indicates that the presence of correctional services is not sufficient; their impact also needs to be measured.

A stronger evaluation framework would connect prison programme records with post-release information. Such a system could examine whether prisoners who participate in particular interventions experience different employment, reintegration or recidivism outcomes from those who do not participate.

At present, the available materials identified for this research provide useful information on

prison conditions, programme structures and individual rehabilitation initiatives but do not yet provide a sufficiently comprehensive Maharashtra-specific basis for establishing the long-term effectiveness of every major correctional programme.

This is central to the research rather than a weakness to be concealed. It demonstrates the necessity of distinguishing between formal commitment to rehabilitation and demonstrative rehabilitative outcomes.

6.5 Implications for Maharashtra's Penological Approach

The available evidence therefore supports adopting a cautious conclusion. Maharashtra's prison framework contains several features directed towards rehabilitation, but the existence of such measures cannot automatically be equated with successful rehabilitation.

The principal issue is the relationship between policy and measurable results. If rehabilitation is considered an important objective of imprisonment, the State must be able to determine whether programmes actually produce meaningful changes in prisoners' lives after release.

7. EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 Post-Release Outcome Measurement

The most direct response to the identified evidence gap is the development of a systematic framework for tracking post-release outcomes. Records concerning participation in education, vocational training, prison industries and other correctional programmes could be connected with appropriate post-release indicators, including employment, continued education, access to after-care and subsequent involvement with the criminal justice system.

Such monitoring would require privacy safeguards and should serve research and policy evaluation rather than extend custodial control after release. The purpose would be to determine whether particular interventions are associated with meaningful outcomes and where programme design requires modification.

7.2 Independent Programme Evaluation

The Maharashtra Prison Department's recognition of the need for independent study of correctional services provides a basis for establishing independent evaluation mechanisms.

Rather than treating rehabilitation as one broad category, individual interventions such as open prisons, vocational training, computer-based education and prison industries should be assessed according to their stated objectives.

Evaluation should distinguish between administrative outputs and actual outcomes. The number of prisoners trained or completing a course is useful information, but it does not establish whether rehabilitation has been sustained after release. Independent evaluation would therefore strengthen the Maharashtra-specific evidence base connecting correctional interventions with longer-term results.

7.3 Linking Programmes with Employment, Open Prisons and After-Care

Vocational programmes should be evaluated not only through participation and completion rates but also through post-release employment indicators. Training can be periodically reviewed against actual employment opportunities and the circumstances faced by released prisoners.

Similarly, open prisons should be assessed through indicators relevant to their correctional objectives, including disciplinary conduct, participation in work and education, employment after release and subsequent involvement with the criminal justice system. Such evaluation should test their rehabilitative claims rather than assume effectiveness in advance.

After-care should form part of the same evidence chain. The State could record the assistance provided after release, including employment support, documentation, accommodation, family assistance and access to community services, and examine whether these interventions correspond with improved outcomes.

7.4 Evidence-Based Correctional Policy

The recommendations emerging from this study do not require punishment and rehabilitation to be treated as mutually exclusive objectives. The more immediate requirement is to make the rehabilitative component of Maharashtra's prison system capable of evaluation.

Future correctional policy should therefore follow a three-stage approach:

Programme provision - independent outcome evaluation - evidence-based policy revision

This would allow Maharashtra to identify which interventions require continuation, modification or additional support. Most importantly, it would address the central research gap identified in this paper: the need for stronger Maharashtra-specific evidence connecting correctional interventions with post-release outcomes.

8. CONCLUSION

An analysis of rehabilitation and retribution in the context of Maharashtra's prison system indicates the coexistence of both penal and rehabilitative elements in the State's penological strategy. Imprisonment inevitably involves the loss of personal freedom and institutional discipline, but Maharashtra's prison framework embodies elements of open prisons, prison industries, vocational and educational programmes and after-care mechanisms.

The research, however, highlights the need to move beyond the mere existence of rehabilitative mechanisms to an assessment of their outcomes. While a distinction can be made between the provision of correctional programmes and the measurement of their impact, Maharashtra provides mechanisms through which prisoners can access education, vocational training, structured work and other forms of correctional support. The more difficult question is the fate of prisoners after these interventions and whether their effects continue beyond release.

This represents the evidence gap identified by the study. Available material offers information concerning prison conditions, correctional programmes and participation in such programmes, but there is also a need for more systematic Maharashtra-specific evidence linking these interventions with post-release outcomes. Employment, social stability, access to after-care and recidivism are particularly pertinent indicators through which the effectiveness of rehabilitation can be assessed.

The findings therefore suggest that the future development of Maharashtra's correctional system should not be judged solely by the existence of programmes or the participation of prisoners in them. Greater emphasis should be placed on independent evaluation and the systematic collection of post-release outcome data. Evidence would enable the State to identify the effectiveness of interventions, areas in which implementation requires improvement and the means by which correctional resources can be utilised more meaningfully.

Ultimately, the question is not whether Maharashtra's prison system contains elements of both

punishment and rehabilitation. It undeniably does. The more pertinent question is whether its rehabilitative goals are being translated into outcomes after imprisonment. Strengthening the link between programme provision, independent evaluation and post-release outcomes would provide a more reliable basis for assessing Maharashtra's penological approach.

BIBLIOGRAPHY / REFERENCES

Cases

Bachan Singh v State of Punjab, AIR 1980 SC 898; (1980) 2 SCC 684 (Supreme Court of India, 9 May 1980).

Sunil Batra v Delhi Administration, AIR 1978 SC 1675; (1978) 4 SCC 494 (Supreme Court of India, 30 August 1978).

Suhas Chakma v Union of India, 2026 INSC 198 (Supreme Court of India, 26 February 2026), Writ Petition (Civil) No. 1082 of 2020; and order dated 3 September 2026 (constitution of the High-Powered Committee for Reform and Governance of Open Correctional Institutions).

Statutes and official instruments

The Constitution of India, arts 14 and 21.

The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Act No. 45 of 2023), s 4.

The Prisons Act, 1894 (Act No. 9 of 1894).

Model Prisons and Correctional Services Act, 2023 (Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, May 2023), with adoption advisory of 25 May 2023.

Model Prison Manual for the Superintendence and Management of Prisons in India (Bureau of Police Research and Development, Ministry of Home Affairs, 2003; revised 2016).

Maharashtra Prison Manual, 1979 (Maharashtra Prison Department).

Maharashtra Prisons and Correctional Services Act, 2025 (Bill No. 109 of 2025), passed by the state legislature; assent pending as of March 2026.

Reports and official documents

National Crime Records Bureau, Prison Statistics India 2023 (NCRB, New Delhi).

National Crime Records Bureau, Prison Statistics India 2024 (NCRB, New Delhi).

Maharashtra Prisons and Correctional Services Department, Vision and Mission; publications and prison-industry materials, <https://mahaprisons.gov.in>.

Journal articles

Chitrao, P.V., Pabalkar, V., Bhoyar, P.K. and Divekar, R. (2025), 'Sustainability through computer based training for social reintegration of Maharashtra prisoners', Cogent Education, <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2570308>.

Jain, K. (2020), 'Effective and Humane Restoration of Prisoners With Special Reference to India', Journal of Victimology and Victim Justice, <https://doi.org/10.1177/2516606920904296>.

Kaur, H. and Sharma, D. (2026), 'Psychological Restoration in Correctional Systems: A Psycholegal Behavioral Analysis of Prison Reform', Indian Journal of Health and Medical Law, <https://doi.org/10.37591/ijhml.v9i2.2132>.

Kumar, S.S. (2024), 'Prison Dynamics: Understanding Their Dual Purpose of Rehabilitation and Punishment through Rehabilitation and Poena in the Indian Context', <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.5.0824.2311>.

Maheshwari, A. (2025), 'Rehabilitation v. Punishment: Evaluating Effectiveness in Reducing Recidivism', GLS Law Journal, <https://doi.org/10.69974/glslawjournal.v8i1.198>.

Mohammed, H. and Wan Mohamed, W.A. (2015), 'Reducing Recidivism Rates through Vocational Education and Training', Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.08.151>.

Neumann, M., Schuttler, H. and Klatt, T. (2026), 'A study on the impact of open doors: investigating the influence of short leave and open prison on recidivism rates', Journal of Experimental Criminology, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11292-026-09741-9>.

Newton, D., Day, A., Giles, M., Wodak, J., Graffam, J. and Baldry, E. (2016), 'The Impact of Vocational Education and Training Programs on Recidivism: A Systematic Review of Current Experimental Evidence', International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624X16645083>.

Pihu (2026), 'Retribution vs Reformation: Balancing Justice in Modern Criminal Law',

International Journal of Judicial Law, <https://doi.org/10.54660/ijjl.2026.5.2.27-30>.

Sekhri, A. (2020), 'Separating Crime from Punishment: What India's Prisons Might Tell Us about Its Criminal Process', SSRN, <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3747975>.

Shukla, A., Raj, A. and Mahunta, S.K. (2026), 'Critical Analysis of Prison Reforms in India', Indian Journal of Legal Review, <https://doi.org/10.65393/jdxf5805>.

Web and press sources

Economic and Political Weekly (2021), 'Understanding Open Prisons in India', 22 January 2021.

Frontline, The Hindu (2018), 'Neglected reforms'.

Hindustan Times (2024), 'Sweeping prisons reform bill to be cleared by Maharashtra legislature today', 12 July 2024.

The Hindu (2025), 'Prisons in India continue to be overcrowded by undertrials'.

The Times of India (2026), 'Prison reforms bill focused on rehab passed, to go to guv', March 2026.