POLITICAL-ECONOMIC STRUCTURE: AN OBSTACLE IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF LAWS

Tushar Gajanan Raut, LLM, Savitribai Phule Pune University

ABSTRACT

The effectiveness of a legal system lies not only in the creation of just and equitable laws but also in their practical implementation. While India's Constitution provides a comprehensive framework for justice, liberty, equality, and fraternity, these ideals often remain unfulfilled due to the deeprooted influence of political and economic structures. Historically, law enforcement has been shaped by feudal hierarchies, colonial exploitation, and post-independence power dynamics. In modern India, systemic corruption, politicization of institutions, economic inequality, and lack of transparency hinder the true realization of the rule of law. This seminar paper explores these barriers in depth, providing case-based analysis to demonstrate how structural constraints derail implementation. It argues that meaningful reforms in institutional autonomy, equitable economic policies, judicial independence, and civic participation are necessary to restore the integrity of law. The study concludes that unless structural reforms are vigorously, laws will remain theoretical disproportionately benefitting elites while marginalizing the powerless.

Keywords: Political interference, economic disparity, corruption, law enforcement, judicial autonomy, governance, transparency

1. Introduction

Implementation of laws constitutes the very lifeline of governance, for without effective enforcement, even the most progressive legislative frameworks remain confined to the realm of text. The true measure of the rule of law lies not merely in the presence of constitutional promises but in the lived experiences of citizens who seek justice, equality, and fairness. In India, however, a persistent gap exists between law in theory and law in practice. Despite a rich constitutional vision and a dynamic legislative process, the enforcement of laws often falters, leaving vulnerable groups without adequate remedies.

The roots of this gap are complex. Political interference frequently compromises the neutrality of institutions such as the police, the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI), and regulatory agencies. Judicial appointments and transfers have also been susceptible to executive influence, undermining the independence necessary for impartial adjudication. Economic inequality deepens the problem, as access to justice is often contingent on financial resources. Those with wealth and political connections can manipulate processes, while marginalized groups struggle to secure basic legal representation.

Bureaucratic inefficiency and systemic corruption further weaken enforcement. Excessive delays in courts, overlapping jurisdictions, and red-tapism frustrate genuine litigants. Corruption within administrative bodies not only erodes public trust but also transforms law into a tool of oppression rather than protection. These structural impediments reveal that the challenge of enforcement is not a matter of administrative technicalities alone; it is deeply embedded in the broader political-economic order of Indian society.

A historical perspective underscores this structural problem. From colonial land revenue systems to post-independence industrial licensing regimes, law has often reflected the interests of dominant groups rather than serving as a neutral arbiter. Landmark case studies such as the 2G spectrum allocation scandal¹, the Bhopal Gas Tragedy², or the Vedanta mining³ litigation demonstrate how the intersection of political power, corporate influence, and weak regulation can frustrate justice. Statutory reforms, including the establishment of bodies like the Lokpal, the strengthening of environmental laws, and the codification of consumer protection

¹ Central Bureau of Investigation v. A. Raja, C.C. No. 1 of 2011 (Special CBI Court, Patiala House, New Delhi, Dec. 21, 2017)

² Union Carbide Corp. v. Union of India, (1989) 1 SCC 674 (India)

³ Orissa Mining Corp. v. Ministry of Environment & Forests, (2013) 6 SCC 476 (India)

frameworks, have sought to address these concerns, but implementation remains uneven and contested.

This paper therefore seeks to critically examine how political and economic structures obstruct the enforcement of laws in India. By weaving together historical developments, constitutional provisions, statutory enactments, and judicial pronouncements, it will highlight the systemic deficiencies that transform law into an uneven instrument of governance. Further, it will explore reform initiatives such as judicial autonomy, anti-corruption mechanisms, technological integration, and public empowerment that offer pathways to bridge the gap between law as a symbolic text and law as an instrument of justice.

2. Historical Evolution of Law Enforcement

2.1 Feudal Systems and Hierarchical Justice

In feudal India, law was a tool of domination. The monarch or feudal lord exercised authority unchecked, and law was designed to preserve this hierarchy. Justice was arbitrary, benefitting elites while common people had limited rights. Customary practices dictated legal enforcement, creating inequality between rulers and subjects. The idea of equality before law was absent, reflecting how power concentrated in elite's dictated enforcement.⁴

2.2 Colonial Regimes and Exploitative Legalism

British colonialism transformed Indian legal systems into instruments of resource extraction and political control. The Permanent Settlement (1793), the Forest Acts (1865, 1878), and revenue laws displaced indigenous communities. Courts reinforced imperial interests. Selective enforcement ensured that colonial administrators were shielded while Indian subjects bore punitive consequences. The colonial system institutionalized exploitation, leaving behind a legacy of inequality that continues to influence India's enforcement mechanisms.⁵

2.3 Post-Independence India: A Constitutional Democracy

Post-1947, India's Constitution sought to redefine justice and law enforcement by embedding principles of equality, liberty, and social justice. However, the colonial legacy persisted.

⁴ M.P. Jain, Outlines of Indian Legal History 17–19 (8th ed. 2018)

⁵ Sumit Sarkar, Modern India: 1885–1947 56–61 (Macmillan 1983)

Bureaucratic elitism, political patronage, and corporate influence often diluted enforcement. The concentration of wealth and power among elites allowed selective application of law. Although democracy provided institutions such as the judiciary, parliament, and regulatory bodies, enforcement continued to reflect social and economic hierarchies.⁶

3. Challenges Hindering Implementation of Laws

3.1 Political Interference in Enforcement

Political control over investigative and enforcement bodies remains a significant barrier. Politicians frequently influence appointments, transfers, and case outcomes, undermining impartiality.⁷

Case Study: 2G Spectrum Scam (2010s) – Despite substantial evidence, the trial process was delayed, manipulated, and diluted due to political pressure. The powerful nexus between politicians and corporations ensured selective application, eroding faith in justice.⁸

3.2 Economic Inequality and Restricted Access to Justice

Justice in India is often priced beyond the reach of the poor. Legal fees, slow trials, and complex procedures discourage marginalized communities. Meanwhile, the wealthy exploit loopholes to evade accountability.⁹

Case Study: Land Acquisition Conflicts – Tribals and rural farmers, despite protective laws like the Land Acquisition Act, 2013, are regularly displaced without proper rehabilitation. Corporations and elites bypass protections with political support, exposing how economic power distorts enforcement.¹⁰

3.3 Institutional Inefficiency and Corruption

India's judiciary suffers from massive backlogs over 4 crore cases pending as of 2024. Police

⁶ H.M. Seervai, Constitutional Law of India 211–15 (4th ed. 1996)

⁷ Pratap Bhanu Mehta, The Burden of Democracy 127–31 (Penguin 2003)

⁸ Central Bureau of Investigation v. A. Raja, C.C. No. 1 of 2011 (Special CBI Court, Patiala House, New Delhi, Dec. 21, 2017)

⁹ Bina Agarwal, A Field of One's Own: Gender and Land Rights in South Asia 142–46 (Cambridge Univ. Press 1994)

¹⁰ The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, No. 30 of 2013, India Code (2013)

forces are understaffed and often influenced by political actors. Bureaucracy is riddled with corruption, which prioritizes bribes over merit.¹¹

Case Study: Judicial Backlog – Delays in justice delivery reduce faith in courts. For marginalized groups, prolonged litigation makes enforcement impractical, reinforcing inequality.¹²

3.4 Influence of Elites and Corporate Interests

Corporate actors shape law enforcement through lobbying, political funding, and regulatory capture. Environmental laws, labour protections, and anti-corruption measures are often diluted in favour of business interests.¹³

Case Study: Vedanta Mining Case (2013) – Tribal rights were compromised as corporate interests influenced the implementation of environmental and land laws.¹⁴

3.5 Lack of Public Awareness and Legal Literacy

Large segments of rural and marginalized populations remain unaware of their rights. This ignorance ensures that even well-drafted laws remain ineffective, as beneficiaries cannot invoke protections.

4. The Gap between Law and Practice

Indian law has historically embodied lofty ideals justice, equality, liberty, and fraternity as enshrined in the Constitution. Parliament has passed progressive legislation on social justice, gender equality, environmental protection, and economic regulation. Yet, these aspirational norms often remain disconnected from the lived experiences of citizens. The gap between what the law promises and how it functions on the ground is stark, revealing systemic biases and structural barriers.

¹¹ Transparency International India, India Corruption Study 2023 33–39 (2023), https://transparencyindia.org (Last visited Sept. 10 2025)

¹² Justice V.R. Krishna Iver, Law, Justice and the People 91–95 (Deep & Deep 1985).

¹³ Centre for Science and Environment, State of India's Environment 2014 205–09 (2014)

¹⁴ Vedanta Resources Plc v. Union of India, (2013) 6 SCC 476 (India)

Political Interference and Selective Prosecution

Enforcement agencies such as the police, CBI, and even vigilance bodies often function under the influence of the executive. Political patronage results in selective prosecutions, where opposition leaders or dissenters are more aggressively targeted than allies of those in power. This undermines the principle of equality before the law under Article 14 of the Constitution.¹⁵

Economic Disparities and Access to Remedies

Justice in practice is frequently determined by economic capacity. Wealthy litigants can afford quality legal representation, prolong cases through appeals, or exploit loopholes in regulatory mechanisms. By contrast, the poor face barriers such as court fees, travel expenses, and limited access to legal aid. This reproduces inequality despite constitutional guarantees.

Weak Enforcement Agencies

Institutions such as labour inspectorates, environmental regulators, and consumer forums often lack sufficient staff, resources, or autonomy to monitor compliance. Laws like the Environmental Protection Act, 1986¹⁶ or the Consumer Protection Act, 2019¹⁷ provide robust frameworks, but weak institutional capacity dilutes their effect.

Corruption and Administrative Inefficiency

Corruption has become institutionalized within governance structures. Bribery, nepotism, and manipulation of records undermine fair enforcement of rules. Coupled with inefficiency such as judicial delays and bureaucratic red tape this creates an environment where enforcement is slow, uneven, and often inaccessible to ordinary citizens.

Lack of Awareness among Vulnerable Groups

For laws to be effective, citizens must know their rights and remedies. Rural populations, women, informal workers, and marginalized communities often remain unaware of protective

¹⁵ INDIA CONST. art. 14.

¹⁶ The Environment (Protection) Act, No. 29 of 1986, INDIA CODE (1986)

¹⁷ The Consumer Protection Act, No. 35 of 2019, INDIA CODE (2019)

laws such as the Domestic Violence Act, 2005¹⁸ or the SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act¹⁹, 1989. This lack of legal literacy widens the gap between rights in theory and their exercise in practice.

Social Customs and Resistance to Progressive Laws

Certain laws encounter deep-rooted cultural resistance. For example, despite statutory and judicial measures promoting gender equality such as recognition of women's right to property or the striking down of practices like instant triple talaq social norms continue to perpetuate patriarchal hierarchies. Similarly, caste-based exclusion persists despite constitutional abolition of untouchability.

Implications of the Gap

1. Public Distrust in Institutions

When law is perceived as serving the powerful rather than the people, citizens lose faith in courts, legislatures, and enforcement agencies. This erodes the legitimacy of governance.

2. Perpetuation of Inequality and Injustice

the poor, minorities, and marginalized groups remain trapped in cycles of exploitation, while elites consolidate privilege through selective enforcement.

3. Culture of Impunity for Elites

High-profile scams and corruption cases often end without conviction, sending a message that certain groups are "above the law." This fosters a culture of impunity.

4. Social Unrest through Protests and Resistance

When legal remedies fail, citizens turn to extra-legal avenues such as street protests, mass movements, or civil disobedience. Movements against land acquisition,

¹⁸ The Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, No. 43 of 2005, INDIA CODE (2005)

¹⁹ The Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, No. 33 of 1989, INDIA CODE (1989).

environmental exploitation, and gender discrimination demonstrate how enforcement failures can lead to social unrest.

5. Preconditions for Effective Law Implementation

5.1 Rule of Law and Judicial Independence

Equal application of law requires insulation of judiciary from political pressure. Transparent appointment and transfer mechanisms are critical. Vineet Narain v. Union of India (1998) emphasized institutional autonomy for enforcement bodies.²⁰

5.2 Reducing Economic Inequalities

Redistributive policies, inclusive welfare programs, and stronger legal aid systems are crucial. NALSA has taken steps, but coverage is inadequate. Expanding access can prevent law from becoming a privilege of the wealthy.²¹

5.3 Strengthening Institutional Autonomy

Institutions like police, regulators, and courts require independence. In Prakash Singh v. Union of India (2006), the Supreme Court issued directives for police reforms, but political reluctance has stalled implementation.²²

5.4 Transparency and Accountability Mechanisms

Digital initiatives such as the e-Courts project and RTI Act enhance transparency. Public monitoring reduces corruption and makes enforcement citizen-centric.²³

5.5 Public Awareness and Participation

Legal literacy campaigns and civil society activism empower communities to demand accountability. NGOs, along with NALSA, play vital roles in spreading awareness.²⁴

²⁰ Vineet Narain v. Union of India, (1998) 1 SCC 226 (India).

²¹ Amartya Sen, Development as Freedom 120–25 (Oxford Univ. Press 1999).

²² Arvind Verma, The Police in India: A Critical Analysis 174–78 (Oxford Univ. Press 2005)

²³ The Right to Information Act, No. 22 of 2005, India Code (2005)

²⁴ M.P. Singh, Constitutional Law of India 114–17 (14th ed. 2017)

5.6 Combating Corruption

Robust anti-corruption frameworks such as the Lokpal and Lokayukta Act (2013)²⁵ must be operationalized with political will. Independent watchdogs deter misuse of power.

6. Strengthening Enforcement: The Way Forward

The persistent challenges in the implementation of laws in India call for a multi-pronged reform strategy that goes beyond legislative enactments. True enforcement requires restructuring institutions, addressing socio-economic inequalities, and rebuilding public trust in the justice system.

Institutional Autonomy

The insulation of enforcement agencies from political influence is a precondition for impartial governance. The judiciary, investigative bodies such as the CBI, and regulatory institutions like SEBI or TRAI must function without executive interference. The Vineet Narain v. Union of India (1998) judgment emphasized that independence of investigative agencies is critical to preserving rule of law. Without institutional autonomy, prosecutions against politically connected individuals often collapse, leading to a perception that justice is selective.

Economic Justice

Law cannot meaningfully serve the disadvantaged unless structural barriers to justice are addressed. Expanding state-funded welfare programs, providing affordable legal aid, and subsidizing litigation costs for marginalized communities are vital. The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987²⁶ created a framework for free legal aid, but coverage remains patchy. Strengthening the reach of institutions like NALSA can ensure that poverty does not silence legitimate claims.

Accountability of Elites

The misuse of power by economic and political elites has eroded public faith in law. Strengthening whistle-blower protection mechanisms, empowering parliamentary and judicial

²⁵ The Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, No. 1 of 2014, INDIA CODE (2014)

²⁶ The Legal Services Authorities Act, No. 39 of 1987, INDIA CODE (1987)

Volume VII Issue V | ISSN: 2582-8878

oversight committees, and ensuring independence of auditing bodies such as the CAG can curb abuse. High-profile scandals such as the 2G spectrum case exposed how elite capture distorts regulatory processes. Stronger accountability mechanisms would prevent law from being used as a tool to shield the influential.

Technological Integration

Digitization and e-governance are indispensable for minimizing corruption, cutting bureaucratic delays, and ensuring transparency. Online portals for case filing, real-time monitoring of government schemes, and digital grievance redress mechanisms reduce discretion and arbitrary control by middlemen. The e-Courts project has shown promise, yet full integration of technology across enforcement bodies remains incomplete.

Public Empowerment

Legal literacy is the foundation of a participatory democracy. When citizens understand their rights and remedies, they are better positioned to resist exploitation. Sustained legal awareness campaigns, community-based workshops, and integration of constitutional values into educational curricula can bridge knowledge gaps. Public empowerment transforms passive subjects into active stakeholders in governance.

Anti-Corruption Measures

The establishment of the Lokpal and state-level Lokayuktas was a step toward curbing corruption, but their effectiveness has been hampered by lack of resources, delays in appointments, and limited jurisdiction. Adequate staffing, financial autonomy, and binding powers of recommendation must be ensured for these ombudsman institutions to function as credible watchdogs.

7. Conclusion

The implementation of law in India continues to be obstructed by entrenched political and economic structures. The Constitution envisions a society founded on justice, equality, and fairness, yet in practice, enforcement often reflects biases favoring the powerful. Marginalized groups, whether landless farmers, displaced tribal communities, or urban poor, frequently encounter barriers in accessing justice.

The failures are not abstract they are visible in real instances. The 2G spectrum allocation scam revealed how collusion between political and corporate elites undermined public resources. The Vedanta mining controversy in Odisha highlighted how economic interests override environmental and tribal rights. Similarly, mass displacement during land acquisition drives shows how the weakest are often sacrificed for development projects without adequate rehabilitation. These examples illustrate the gap between legal ideals and practical realities.

Systemic issues corruption, political interference, judicial delays, and economic inequality perpetuate this divide. Unless these structural barriers are dismantled, the law risks being reduced to a symbolic promise rather than a lived reality.

Bridging this gap requires a holistic reform agenda. Judicial independence must be safeguarded to ensure impartial adjudication. Welfare and poverty-alleviation programs must accompany legal reforms so that enforcement is equitable. Institutions must be granted autonomy, accountability frameworks must be enforced, and technology must be leveraged to enhance transparency. Most importantly, citizens must be empowered through legal literacy so that the rule of law becomes a people-centric instrument.

If these reforms are undertaken, law in India can transcend its ornamental role and become a genuine instrument of social justice serving not merely the privileged few, but the nation as a whole.

References

Books

- Basu, D.D. Introduction to the Constitution of India.
- Austin, Granville. The Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a Nation.
- Sen, Amartya. The Idea of Justice.
- Upendra Baxi. The Crisis of the Indian Legal System.
- Susan Rose-Ackerman. Corruption and Government: Causes, Consequences, and Reform.
- Richard Posner. The Economics of Justice (Harvard Univ. Press 1981).

Statutes

- The Constitution of India, 1950.
- The Lokpal and Lokayukta Act, 2013.
- The Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005.
- The Right to Information Act, 2005.
- The Land Acquisition Act, 2013.

Case Laws

- Prakash Singh v. Union of India (2006).
- Vineet Narain v. Union of India (1998).
- Vedanta Mining Case (2013).
- 2G Spectrum Scam Cases (2012).
- Olga Tellis v. Bombay Municipal Corporation (1985).

Websites

• National Legal Services Authority (NALSA): https://nalsa.gov.in

- Supreme Court of India Judgments: https://main.sci.gov.in
- India Code Central Acts Repository: https://www.indiacode.nic.in
- Transparency International India: https://transparencyindia.org
- PRS Legislative Research: https://prsindia.org