REGULATORY CHALLENGES IN CROSS-BORDER MERGERS IN INDIA: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF FEMA COMPLIANCE UNDER THE COMPANIES ACT 2013

Aishwarya Rathi, Amity Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, Amity University, Noida

ABSTRACT

Cross-border mergers have emerged as a significant mechanism for corporate restructuring in an increasingly globalised economic environment. As companies seek to expand beyond national boundaries, mergers involving entities incorporated in different jurisdictions have become an important strategy for achieving operational efficiency, market expansion, and technological integration. In India, the legal framework governing cross-border mergers is primarily derived from the Companies Act 2013 and the Foreign Exchange Management Act 1999, along with the Foreign Exchange Management (Cross Border Merger) Regulations 2018 issued by the Reserve Bank of India.

While these provisions have created a statutory mechanism enabling Indian companies to merge with foreign companies, the regulatory framework governing such transactions remains complex. Compliance with foreign exchange regulations, valuation norms, sectoral investment restrictions, and approval procedures often creates practical challenges for corporations undertaking cross-border mergers. The interaction between corporate law provisions and foreign exchange regulations further adds to the regulatory burden, leading to uncertainties in implementation.

This paper critically examines the legal framework governing cross-border mergers in India with particular emphasis on FEMA compliance. It analyses the regulatory challenges faced by companies during the merger process and evaluates the effectiveness of existing laws in facilitating cross-border corporate restructuring. The paper further identifies gaps in the current framework and proposes reforms aimed at enhancing regulatory clarity and simplifying compliance procedures.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background of Cross-Border Mergers

The increasing integration of global markets has significantly transformed the manner in which corporations structure their business operations. In a globalised economic environment, companies frequently seek to expand beyond national borders in order to access new markets, acquire technological capabilities, and enhance their competitive position. One of the most prominent mechanisms through which such expansion takes place is through mergers and acquisitions involving entities located in different jurisdictions. Cross-border mergers, therefore, have become an essential component of international corporate restructuring.

A cross-border merger may broadly be understood as a transaction in which companies incorporated in different countries combine their assets, liabilities, and operations to form a unified corporate structure. Such mergers allow businesses to benefit from economies of scale, diversification of risk, and access to international resources. They also play a crucial role in facilitating foreign direct investment and strengthening economic cooperation between nations.[1]

In recent decades, the volume of cross-border mergers has increased significantly due to the liberalisation of international trade and investment policies. Multinational corporations frequently use cross-border mergers as a strategic tool for entering new markets and consolidating their global presence. These transactions often involve complex regulatory considerations because they require compliance with the laws of multiple jurisdictions.

The regulatory framework governing cross-border mergers must therefore balance two competing objectives. On one hand, it must facilitate international corporate restructuring and encourage foreign investment. On the other hand, it must ensure that such transactions do not adversely affect domestic economic stability, competition, or financial regulation. Consequently, governments across the world have developed legal mechanisms to regulate cross-border mergers while maintaining appropriate oversight.

1.2 Evolution of Cross-Border Merger Regulation in India

Historically, Indian company law did not explicitly provide a legal framework permitting

[1] Andrew Johnston & Angus Johnston, *Corporate Law* 312 (2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, 2014).

mergers between Indian companies and foreign entities. Under the earlier corporate legislation, the Companies Act 1956, mergers were largely confined to domestic companies. The absence of statutory provisions allowing cross-border mergers created uncertainty for multinational corporations seeking to restructure their Indian operations.[2]

Recognising the need to align Indian corporate law with global business practices, the legislature introduced significant reforms through the Companies Act 2013. One of the most important innovations introduced by this statute is **Section 234**, which expressly permits mergers and amalgamations between Indian companies and companies incorporated in foreign jurisdictions, subject to certain conditions and regulatory approvals.

Section 234 represents a major step towards integrating India into the global corporate restructuring framework. The provision allows both **inbound mergers**, where a foreign company merges into an Indian company, and **outbound mergers**, where an Indian company merges into a foreign company. However, such mergers can only be undertaken with companies incorporated in jurisdictions that are notified by the Central Government in consultation with the Reserve Bank of India.[3]

The implementation of cross-border mergers under the Companies Act also requires the approval of the National Company Law Tribunal, which examines the proposed scheme of merger and ensures that the interests of shareholders, creditors, and other stakeholders are adequately protected. This judicial oversight forms an important component of the regulatory framework governing corporate restructuring in India.

1.3 Role of Foreign Exchange Regulation in Cross-Border Mergers

While corporate law provisions establish the procedural framework for mergers, cross-border transactions inevitably involve the movement of capital, assets, and securities across national borders. Such transactions therefore fall within the ambit of foreign exchange regulation in India. The principal legislation governing foreign exchange transactions is the Foreign Exchange Management Act 1999.

The objective of FEMA is to facilitate external trade and payments while maintaining the

[2] Umakanth Varottil, '*Cross-Border Mergers and Acquisitions in India*' 22 National Law School of India Review 45(2014).
[3] The Companies Act, 2013,(18 of 2013), s 234.

orderly development and management of the foreign exchange market in India.[4] Since cross-border mergers involve the transfer of shares, assets, and liabilities between entities located in different jurisdictions, compliance with FEMA becomes a crucial aspect of the merger process.

In order to operationalise the provisions of Section 234 of the Companies Act, the Reserve Bank of India introduced the Foreign Exchange Management (Cross Border Merger) Regulations 2018. These regulations establish detailed rules governing the treatment of assets and liabilities, valuation requirements, reporting obligations, and other foreign exchange aspects of cross-border mergers.

Although the introduction of these regulations has provided a formal regulatory framework for such transactions, several practical difficulties continue to arise in their implementation. The interaction between corporate law provisions and foreign exchange regulations often creates compliance challenges for companies seeking to undertake cross-border mergers.

2. Legal Framework Governing Cross-Border Mergers in India

The regulation of cross-border mergers in India is primarily derived from a combination of corporate law and foreign exchange regulation. The statutory basis for such mergers is provided under the Companies Act 2013, while the foreign exchange implications are governed by the Foreign Exchange Management Act 1999 and the Foreign Exchange Management (Cross Border Merger) Regulations 2018 issued by the Reserve Bank of India. Together, these legal instruments establish the procedural and regulatory framework within which Indian companies may undertake mergers with foreign entities.

Cross-border mergers involve the consolidation of companies incorporated in different jurisdictions and therefore raise several legal and regulatory concerns. These concerns include the protection of shareholders and creditors, compliance with foreign exchange regulations, and adherence to sectoral investment restrictions. Consequently, the Indian regulatory framework seeks to ensure that such transactions are conducted in a transparent and legally compliant manner.

2.1 Cross-Border Mergers under the Companies Act

The most significant legislative development enabling cross-border mergers in India is the

[4] Foreign Exchange Management Act 1999 (42 of 1999), Preamble.

introduction of Section 234 of the Companies Act 2013. Prior to the enactment of this legislation, the legal framework governing mergers in India did not explicitly permit amalgamations between Indian companies and foreign entities. The earlier regime under the Companies Act 1956 primarily dealt with domestic mergers, thereby creating uncertainty for multinational corporations seeking to restructure their operations across jurisdictions.[5]

Section 234 of the Companies Act addresses this limitation by expressly permitting mergers and amalgamations between Indian companies and companies incorporated outside India. The provision enables both **inbound mergers**, where a foreign company merges into an Indian company, and **outbound mergers**, where an Indian company merges into a foreign company. This development represents an important step toward aligning Indian corporate law with global business practices and facilitating international corporate restructuring.[6]

However, the statute imposes certain conditions on such mergers. One of the key requirements is that the foreign company involved in the merger must be incorporated in a jurisdiction notified by the Central Government. These jurisdictions are identified in consultation with the Reserve Bank of India in order to ensure that mergers are undertaken only with companies located in jurisdictions that maintain adequate regulatory standards and financial transparency.[7]

In addition to Section 234, cross-border mergers must also comply with the general provisions governing compromises, arrangements, and amalgamations under Sections 230 to 232 of the Companies Act. These provisions lay down the procedural framework for approving merger schemes, including the requirement of shareholder and creditor approval. They also provide safeguards intended to protect the interests of stakeholders affected by the merger.

2.2 Procedural Requirements for Cross-Border Mergers

The procedural framework for cross-border mergers largely follows the process applicable to domestic mergers, with additional regulatory requirements arising from the involvement of foreign entities. The first step typically involves the preparation of a **scheme of merger or amalgamation**, which outlines the terms and conditions of the proposed transaction. The scheme generally includes details regarding the transfer of assets and liabilities, the exchange

---

[5] Companies Act 1956 (1 of 1956), ss 391–394.
[6] Companies Act 2013(18 of 2013), s 234.
[7] Ministry of Corporate Affairs, *Notification on Cross Border Mergers,* G.S.R 367(E), dated the 13th April, 2017.

ratio of shares, and the treatment of employees and creditors.

Once the scheme has been prepared, it must be approved by the board of directors of the companies involved in the merger. Following board approval, an application is made to the National Company Law Tribunal seeking directions to convene meetings of shareholders and creditors. These meetings are held for the purpose of obtaining approval for the proposed merger scheme.

The Companies Act requires that the scheme of merger must be approved by a majority representing three-fourths in value of the shareholders or creditors present and voting at the respective meetings. This requirement ensures that the interests of stakeholders are adequately represented in the decision-making process.

After obtaining the necessary approvals from shareholders and creditors, the scheme is submitted to the National Company Law Tribunal for final sanction. The Tribunal examines the fairness and legality of the proposed transaction and may seek comments from regulatory authorities before granting approval. In the context of cross-border mergers, consultation with the Reserve Bank of India is particularly important because of the foreign exchange implications of the transaction.

Once the Tribunal sanctions the scheme, the merger becomes legally binding on the companies involved and their stakeholders. However, the implementation of the merger remains subject to compliance with other applicable laws, including foreign exchange regulations and sectoral investment restrictions.

2.3 FEMA Regulatory Framework

While the Companies Act establishes the procedural mechanism for cross-border mergers, the foreign exchange aspects of such transactions are governed by the Foreign Exchange Management Act 1999. FEMA is the principal legislation regulating foreign exchange transactions in India and aims to facilitate external trade while maintaining the orderly development of the foreign exchange market.[8]

Cross-border mergers often involve the transfer of shares, assets, and liabilities between entities

[8] Foreign Exchange Management Act 1999 (42 of 1999), Preamble.

located in different jurisdictions. Such transactions may result in the creation or transfer of foreign investment and therefore fall within the regulatory ambit of FEMA. Consequently, compliance with foreign exchange regulations is a critical component of the merger process.

In order to operationalise Section 234 of the Companies Act, the Reserve Bank of India issued the Foreign Exchange Management (Cross Border Merger) Regulations 2018. These regulations provide detailed guidelines governing the treatment of assets and liabilities, the issuance of securities, and the reporting obligations associated with cross-border mergers.

One of the key features of the regulations is the distinction between **inbound mergers** and **outbound mergers**. In an inbound merger, the resultant company is an Indian company, and the transaction must comply with the foreign investment regulations applicable in India. Conversely, in an outbound merger, the resultant company is a foreign company, and the transaction must ensure that the interests of Indian stakeholders are adequately protected.

The regulations also prescribe rules regarding the treatment of assets and liabilities held outside India. For instance, in certain circumstances, assets that cannot be legally held by the resultant company must be disposed of within a specified period. Similarly, liabilities incurred in foreign currency must be managed in accordance with the applicable foreign exchange regulations.

2.4 Role of the Reserve Bank of India

The Reserve Bank of India plays a central role in regulating the foreign exchange aspects of cross-border mergers. As the primary authority responsible for the administration of FEMA, the Reserve Bank is empowered to issue regulations, grant approvals, and monitor compliance with foreign exchange laws.

In the context of cross-border mergers, the Reserve Bank examines whether the proposed transaction complies with foreign investment regulations, sectoral caps, and other regulatory requirements. The RBI may also impose conditions to ensure that the merger does not adversely affect the stability of the financial system or the integrity of the foreign exchange market.

Furthermore, the RBI's regulatory oversight ensures that cross-border mergers are conducted in a manner consistent with India's broader economic and financial policies. By issuing detailed guidelines through the Cross Border Merger Regulations 2018, the Reserve Bank has sought to create a structured framework for the implementation of such transactions.

2.5 Interaction between Corporate Law and Foreign Exchange Regulation

The regulatory framework governing cross-border mergers in India is characterised by the interaction between corporate law and foreign exchange regulation. While the Companies Act provides the procedural mechanism for mergers, FEMA regulates the financial and foreign exchange aspects of the transaction.

This dual regulatory framework ensures that cross-border mergers are subject to both corporate governance safeguards and financial regulatory oversight. However, it also introduces certain complexities, as companies must simultaneously comply with multiple regulatory regimes. The requirement of approvals from different authorities may result in procedural delays and increased compliance costs.

Despite these challenges, the introduction of Section 234 of the Companies Act and the Cross Border Merger Regulations 2018 represents a significant step toward facilitating international corporate restructuring in India. These provisions have created a legal pathway for Indian companies to engage in cross-border mergers while ensuring that such transactions remain subject to appropriate regulatory supervision.

3. FEMA Compliance Requirements in Cross-Border Mergers

Cross-border mergers inherently involve the transfer of capital, securities, assets, and liabilities across national boundaries. Such transactions have significant implications for a country's foreign exchange reserves, capital flows, and financial stability. Consequently, the foreign exchange aspects of cross-border mergers in India are regulated under the Foreign Exchange Management Act 1999 (FEMA). The regulatory framework governing these transactions has been further elaborated through the Foreign Exchange Management (Cross Border Merger) Regulations 2018 issued by the Reserve Bank of India.

These regulations were introduced to operationalise the provisions of Section 234 of the Companies Act 2013 and to provide a structured framework for managing the foreign exchange implications of mergers between Indian and foreign companies. FEMA compliance therefore constitutes a critical component of cross-border merger transactions, ensuring that such mergers are conducted in accordance with India's foreign investment policy and financial regulatory framework.

3.1 Permissible Jurisdictions for Cross-Border Mergers

One of the key compliance requirements under the FEMA framework relates to the jurisdiction of the foreign company involved in the merger. Cross-border mergers involving Indian companies are permitted only with companies incorporated in jurisdictions that are notified by the Central Government in consultation with the Reserve Bank of India.

The rationale behind this requirement is to ensure that cross-border mergers take place only with companies located in jurisdictions that maintain adequate regulatory standards and transparency in financial reporting. Allowing mergers with companies incorporated in jurisdictions that lack effective corporate governance or financial regulation could potentially expose Indian companies and stakeholders to legal and financial risks.

In practice, the determination of permissible jurisdictions is closely linked to international standards relating to financial transparency, anti-money laundering compliance, and regulatory cooperation. By restricting cross-border mergers to approved jurisdictions, the Indian regulatory framework seeks to safeguard the integrity of the domestic financial system while still facilitating legitimate international corporate restructuring.

3.2 Valuation and Pricing Guidelines

Another important aspect of FEMA compliance in cross-border mergers relates to the valuation of shares and assets involved in the transaction. Since cross-border mergers often involve the exchange of securities between shareholders of companies located in different jurisdictions, it is essential to ensure that the valuation of such securities is carried out in a fair and transparent manner.[9]

Under the Cross Border Merger Regulations, the valuation of shares must be conducted in accordance with internationally accepted pricing methodologies and must be certified by a recognised professional such as a chartered accountant or a merchant banker.[10] This requirement aims to prevent manipulation of share values and to protect the interests of

---

[9] Jayanth Varma, '*Foreign Exchange Regulation and Corporate Transactions in India*' 12 Journal of Corporate Law Studies 215 (2017).

[10] Shashwat Sharma, 'Valuation Norms in Cross-Border Mergers under FEMA Regulations' 6 Indian Journal of Corporate Law 84 (2020).

shareholders involved in the merger.

Valuation plays a particularly important role in determining the share exchange ratio between the merging companies. An inaccurate or biased valuation could lead to unfair treatment of shareholders or could result in regulatory violations under foreign exchange laws. Therefore, the requirement of independent valuation serves as a crucial safeguard in the cross-border merger process.

However, valuation requirements under FEMA may sometimes differ from those prescribed under corporate law or securities regulations. These differences may create practical difficulties for companies attempting to structure cross-border mergers, particularly when transactions involve complex corporate structures or assets located in multiple jurisdictions.

3.3 Treatment of Assets and Liabilities

The treatment of assets and liabilities arising from a cross-border merger constitutes another important aspect of FEMA compliance. In such mergers, the resultant company may acquire assets and liabilities located both within India and in foreign jurisdictions. The regulatory framework therefore provides specific guidelines regarding the manner in which such assets and liabilities must be managed after the merger.

Under the Cross Border Merger Regulations, the resultant company is generally permitted to hold assets and liabilities in accordance with the applicable foreign exchange regulations. However, if the resultant company acquires assets that it is not legally permitted to hold under Indian law, such assets must be disposed of within a specified time period. Similarly, liabilities denominated in foreign currency must be managed in compliance with the relevant FEMA provisions governing external commercial borrowings and other foreign currency obligations.[11]

These provisions are designed to ensure that cross-border mergers do not result in violations of India's foreign exchange regulations or create financial risks for the domestic economy. At the same time, they provide flexibility for companies to manage their international assets and liabilities in a commercially viable manner.

[11] Reserve Bank of India, *Master Direction on Foreign Investment in India* (2019)..

3.4 Reporting and Regulatory Compliance

FEMA compliance also involves adherence to various reporting and disclosure requirements. Companies undertaking cross-border mergers are required to submit reports and documentation to the Reserve Bank of India in order to ensure transparency in foreign exchange transactions.

Such reporting obligations may include the submission of information relating to the structure of the merger, the valuation of securities, and the treatment of assets and liabilities. The purpose of these reporting requirements is to enable regulatory authorities to monitor cross-border transactions and ensure that they comply with the applicable foreign exchange regulations.

Failure to comply with FEMA reporting requirements may attract penalties and regulatory action. Consequently, companies involved in cross-border mergers must ensure that they maintain adequate documentation and follow the prescribed reporting procedures.

3.5 Sectoral Investment Restrictions

Foreign investment in India is subject to sectoral caps and regulatory restrictions under the country's foreign direct investment policy. These restrictions are also relevant in the context of cross-border mergers, particularly in cases where the merger results in foreign ownership of an Indian company.

Under the FEMA framework, the resultant company must ensure that the merger does not violate the sectoral caps or investment restrictions applicable to the relevant industry. For example, certain sectors such as defence, media, and telecommunications are subject to specific limits on foreign investment. If a cross-border merger results in foreign shareholding exceeding these limits, the transaction may not be permitted unless appropriate regulatory approvals are obtained.[12]

The presence of sectoral restrictions therefore adds another layer of complexity to cross-border merger transactions. Companies must carefully structure their merger schemes in order to ensure compliance with foreign investment regulations while still achieving their strategic business objectives.

---

[12] Reserve Bank of India, *Foreign Exchange Management (Cross Border Merger) Regulations, 2018*, Notification No FEMA 389/2018-RB, 20 March 2018.

3.6 Challenges in FEMA Compliance

While the introduction of the Cross Border Merger Regulations has provided a structured framework for regulating such transactions, several practical challenges continue to arise in ensuring FEMA compliance. One of the primary difficulties stems from the interaction between foreign exchange regulations and corporate law requirements.

Companies undertaking cross-border mergers must simultaneously comply with the procedural requirements of the Companies Act 2013 and the financial regulatory requirements imposed under the Foreign Exchange Management Act 1999. The involvement of multiple regulatory authorities often results in procedural complexity and increased compliance costs.

Furthermore, uncertainties relating to valuation standards, sectoral investment restrictions, and reporting obligations may create difficulties in structuring cross-border merger transactions. These challenges highlight the need for greater regulatory coordination and clearer guidelines in order to facilitate international corporate restructuring while maintaining appropriate regulatory oversight.

4. Regulatory Challenges in Cross-Border Mergers under the Indian Legal Framework

Although India has introduced a statutory framework permitting cross-border mergers, the practical implementation of such transactions continues to face several regulatory challenges. The interaction between corporate law, foreign exchange regulation, and sector-specific investment policies often creates procedural and compliance complexities. While the introduction of Section 234 of the Companies Act 2013 and the Foreign Exchange Management (Cross Border Merger) Regulations 2018 has enabled cross-border mergers, companies undertaking such transactions must navigate a multi-layered regulatory environment involving oversight from various authorities, including the Reserve Bank of India and the National Company Law Tribunal.[13]

These overlapping regulatory requirements often result in procedural delays, compliance uncertainties, and increased transaction costs. Consequently, despite the existence of a legal mechanism permitting cross-border mergers, companies frequently encounter practical difficulties while attempting to implement such transactions. The following sections analyse

[13] Companies Act 2013 (18 of 2013), ss 230–234.

some of the major regulatory challenges associated with cross-border mergers in India.

4.1 Overlapping Regulatory Jurisdiction

One of the primary challenges in cross-border mergers arises from the involvement of multiple regulatory authorities in the approval process. While the procedural aspects of mergers are governed by the Companies Act 2013 and require approval from the National Company Law Tribunal, the foreign exchange aspects of such transactions fall within the jurisdiction of the Reserve Bank of India under the Foreign Exchange Management Act 1999.[14]

The requirement of approvals from multiple regulatory authorities often creates procedural complexity. Companies must ensure that their merger schemes comply simultaneously with corporate law requirements and foreign exchange regulations. In certain cases, the regulatory expectations of different authorities may not be entirely aligned, leading to delays in the approval process.

For example, the National Company Law Tribunal primarily focuses on the protection of shareholders and creditors, whereas the Reserve Bank of India examines the transaction from the perspective of foreign exchange regulation and financial stability. As a result, companies undertaking cross-border mergers must address concerns arising from both regulatory perspectives.

The absence of a unified approval mechanism further contributes to procedural inefficiencies. Companies are often required to engage with multiple regulators separately, which increases both the time and cost associated with cross-border merger transactions.

4.2 Valuation Conflicts and Regulatory Uncertainty

Valuation of shares and assets represents another significant challenge in the context of cross-border mergers. Since these transactions involve the exchange of securities between shareholders of companies incorporated in different jurisdictions, determining an appropriate share exchange ratio is essential for ensuring fairness in the merger process.

Under the Cross Border Merger Regulations, valuation must be carried out in accordance with

[14] Foreign Exchange Management Act 1999, (42 of 1999).

internationally accepted pricing methodologies. However, valuation requirements under foreign exchange regulations may differ from those prescribed under corporate law or securities regulations. Such inconsistencies may create uncertainty for companies attempting to structure cross-border mergers.

For instance, valuation standards applicable under FEMA may not always align with those used under company law provisions relating to mergers and amalgamations. This divergence may lead to situations in which a valuation methodology acceptable under one regulatory framework may not fully satisfy the requirements of another. Consequently, companies must exercise considerable caution while determining valuation parameters in cross-border merger transactions.

These valuation challenges may also have implications for minority shareholder protection. If valuation methodologies are not applied consistently, shareholders of one entity may be disadvantaged during the share exchange process.

4.3 Sectoral Investment Restrictions

Foreign investment in India is regulated through sector-specific investment caps and regulatory restrictions. These restrictions are implemented through foreign direct investment policies and corresponding regulations issued under the Foreign Exchange Management Act 1999.

In the context of cross-border mergers, sectoral investment restrictions may significantly affect the structure of the transaction. If a merger results in foreign ownership exceeding the permissible limit in a particular sector, the transaction may require additional regulatory approvals or may even be prohibited.[15]

Certain industries such as defence, media, telecommunications, and aviation are subject to strict foreign investment limits. Consequently, companies operating in these sectors may face greater regulatory scrutiny when attempting to undertake cross-border mergers. The presence of sectoral restrictions therefore limits the flexibility available to companies when structuring merger transactions involving foreign entities.

Furthermore, companies must also ensure that the resultant entity complies with sectoral

[15] Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade, Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Gov't of India, Consolidated FDI Policy Circular of 2020 (eff. Oct. 15, 2020).

investment regulations after the merger has been completed. Failure to comply with such requirements may result in regulatory penalties or the need to restructure the ownership pattern of the merged entity.

4.4 Compliance and Reporting Burden

Cross-border mergers require compliance with extensive documentation and reporting requirements under both corporate law and foreign exchange regulations. Companies must submit various forms, disclosures, and regulatory filings in order to obtain approval for the merger and ensure compliance with applicable laws.

Under FEMA regulations, companies are required to provide detailed information regarding the structure of the transaction, valuation reports, and the treatment of assets and liabilities arising from the merger. These reporting requirements are intended to ensure transparency and enable regulatory authorities to monitor foreign exchange transactions effectively.[16]

However, the multiplicity of reporting obligations often increases the administrative burden on companies undertaking cross-border mergers. Smaller companies, in particular, may find it difficult to navigate the complex regulatory requirements associated with such transactions.

In addition, delays in obtaining regulatory approvals or completing documentation may significantly extend the timeline of cross-border mergers. Such delays can affect the commercial viability of the transaction and may discourage companies from pursuing cross-border restructuring opportunities.

4.5 Lack of Harmonisation between Regulatory Frameworks

Another major challenge in the regulation of cross-border mergers in India is the lack of complete harmonisation between different regulatory frameworks. Corporate law, foreign exchange regulations, taxation laws, and foreign investment policies all influence the structure and implementation of cross-border merger transactions.

The interaction between these regulatory frameworks may create legal uncertainty, particularly in cases where the provisions of different laws appear to conflict or impose overlapping

[16] Surya Deva, 'Corporate Governance and Regulatory Reform in India' 6 Asian Journal of Comparative Law 1 (2013).

requirements. Companies must therefore undertake careful legal analysis in order to ensure that their merger schemes comply with all applicable regulations.

The absence of a fully integrated regulatory framework also increases the risk of interpretational disputes. Regulatory authorities may adopt different approaches when interpreting the provisions governing cross-border mergers, which can create uncertainty for companies planning such transactions.

Impact on Cross-Border Corporate Restructuring

The regulatory challenges discussed above have important implications for cross-border corporate restructuring in India. While the legal framework introduced by the Companies Act and FEMA regulations has created a pathway for cross-border mergers, the practical difficulties associated with regulatory compliance may discourage companies from pursuing such transactions.

In a globalised economy, multinational corporations frequently restructure their operations across jurisdictions in order to achieve operational efficiency and competitive advantage. If regulatory processes are perceived as excessively complex or time-consuming, companies may prefer alternative restructuring mechanisms such as asset transfers or share acquisitions rather than formal cross-border mergers.[17]

Therefore, addressing the regulatory challenges associated with cross-border mergers is essential for enhancing India’s attractiveness as a destination for international corporate investment and restructuring.

5. Case Studies and Practical Implications of Cross-Border Mergers

While the statutory framework governing cross-border mergers in India has evolved significantly, the practical application of these regulations can best be understood through the analysis of real corporate transactions. Case studies provide valuable insight into how regulatory provisions are interpreted and implemented by regulatory authorities and courts. They also illustrate the operational challenges that companies encounter when attempting to

[17] Tarun Khanna and Krishna Palepu, *Winning in Emerging Markets* (Harvard Business Review Press 2010).

execute cross-border mergers within the Indian legal framework.

The regulatory structure governing such mergers involves the interaction between corporate law provisions under the Companies Act 2013 and foreign exchange regulations under the Foreign Exchange Management Act 1999. In practice, companies undertaking cross-border mergers must obtain approval from the National Company Law Tribunal while simultaneously ensuring compliance with regulations issued by the Reserve Bank of India.

The following case studies demonstrate how cross-border merger transactions are implemented in practice and highlight the regulatory issues that frequently arise in such transactions.

Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. – Ranbaxy Laboratories Ltd. Merger

One of the most significant merger transactions in the Indian pharmaceutical sector involved the amalgamation of Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd with Ranbaxy Laboratories Ltd. Although this merger primarily involved Indian entities, the transaction had important cross-border implications because both companies had extensive international operations and foreign shareholders.

The merger scheme was approved by the National Company Law Tribunal after satisfying the procedural requirements under the Companies Act 2013. The transaction required careful consideration of regulatory compliance, particularly in relation to foreign investment regulations and securities exchange requirements.

The merger also attracted scrutiny from competition authorities due to the significant market share that the merged entity would possess in the pharmaceutical industry. Regulatory oversight ensured that the merger did not adversely affect market competition while allowing the companies to achieve strategic consolidation.[18]

This transaction demonstrates the complex regulatory environment surrounding large-scale mergers involving multinational operations. Even where the companies involved are incorporated in India, their international business operations often trigger regulatory

[18] Competition Commission of India, *Order under Section 31 of the Competition Act in the matter of Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd and Ranbaxy Laboratories Ltd* (2014).

considerations relating to foreign exchange laws and cross-border corporate restructuring.[19]

Tata Steel and Corus Acquisition

Another notable example of cross-border corporate restructuring involving an Indian company is the acquisition of Corus Group plc by Tata Steel Limited in 2007. Although structured as an acquisition rather than a merger, the transaction provides important insights into the regulatory challenges associated with cross-border corporate combinations.

The acquisition involved a significant transfer of capital from India to a foreign jurisdiction and therefore required compliance with foreign exchange regulations under the Foreign Exchange Management Act 1999.[20] The transaction also required regulatory approvals relating to overseas investment and financing arrangements.

The acquisition allowed Tata Steel to significantly expand its global presence and become one of the largest steel producers in the world. However, the complexity of the transaction illustrated the regulatory challenges faced by Indian companies when undertaking large-scale international corporate restructuring.

Although the regulatory framework governing cross-border mergers has evolved since this transaction, the case highlights the importance of clear regulatory guidelines and efficient approval processes in facilitating cross-border corporate transactions.

Vodafone Cross-Border Corporate Structure

The restructuring of Vodafone Group plc in relation to its Indian operations also provides valuable insight into the regulatory complexities associated with cross-border corporate transactions. Vodafone's acquisition of Hutchison's stake in an Indian telecommunications company led to significant legal disputes concerning taxation and regulatory jurisdiction.

The case eventually resulted in litigation before the Supreme Court of India, which examined the extent to which Indian authorities could regulate cross-border transactions involving

[19] Securities and Exchange Board of India, *SEBI Observations on Scheme of Amalgamation: Sun Pharma–Ranbaxy Transaction* (2014).
[20] Anil Khandelwal, '*Tata Steel–Corus: A Landmark Cross-Border Acquisition*', 5 International Journal of Business Strategy 44 (2018).

foreign companies.[21] Although the dispute primarily related to taxation, it demonstrated the broader regulatory challenges associated with cross-border corporate restructuring.

The case highlighted the need for greater clarity and consistency in the regulatory framework governing international corporate transactions involving Indian entities. Uncertainty regarding regulatory jurisdiction and compliance obligations can significantly affect the attractiveness of India as a destination for foreign investment.

Practical Lessons from Cross-Border Transactions

The case studies discussed above reveal several important lessons regarding the practical implementation of cross-border mergers and acquisitions involving Indian companies.

First, regulatory compliance plays a central role in determining the success of cross-border corporate transactions. Companies must carefully analyse the legal and regulatory requirements applicable to the transaction and ensure that their merger schemes are structured in accordance with these requirements.

Second, coordination between regulatory authorities is essential for facilitating cross-border mergers. The involvement of multiple regulators including corporate authorities, foreign exchange regulators, and competition authorities may increase the complexity of the approval process.[22]

Third, the presence of regulatory uncertainty may discourage companies from pursuing cross-border merger transactions. When regulatory requirements are unclear or subject to differing interpretations, companies may prefer alternative restructuring mechanisms that involve fewer legal complexities.

Finally, the case studies demonstrate that cross-border mergers are often influenced by broader economic and regulatory considerations. Governments must therefore strike a balance between encouraging foreign investment and maintaining appropriate regulatory oversight.

---

[21] Vodafone International Holdings BV v Union of India (2012) 6 SCC 613.

[22] Suranjan Gupta, '*Cross-Border Corporate Restructuring and Indian Regulation*' 8 NUJS Law Review 201 (2016).

6. Recommendations and Regulatory Reforms

The introduction of statutory provisions permitting cross-border mergers represents a significant step toward integrating the Indian corporate sector with the global economy. However, as discussed in the preceding sections, the practical implementation of such mergers continues to face several regulatory challenges. In order to make the cross-border merger framework more efficient and investor-friendly, certain reforms and policy improvements are necessary. These reforms should aim to simplify compliance procedures, enhance regulatory coordination, and reduce legal uncertainty while maintaining adequate safeguards for stakeholders.

Establishment of a Single-Window Regulatory Mechanism

One of the most significant challenges in cross-border mergers arises from the requirement of obtaining approvals from multiple regulatory authorities. Companies must secure approval from the National Company Law Tribunal under the Companies Act 2013 while also ensuring compliance with foreign exchange regulations administered by the Reserve Bank of India under the Foreign Exchange Management Act 1999.

The involvement of multiple regulatory bodies often results in procedural delays and increased compliance costs. In order to address this issue, the establishment of a **single-window approval mechanism** could significantly streamline the merger process. Under such a system, companies would submit their merger proposals through a centralised regulatory platform, which would coordinate approvals from relevant authorities.

This approach would reduce duplication of regulatory review and improve efficiency in the approval process. A coordinated approval mechanism would also enhance transparency and predictability for companies undertaking cross-border mergers.

Harmonisation of Corporate and Foreign Exchange Regulations

Another important reform relates to the need for greater harmonisation between corporate law provisions and foreign exchange regulations. At present, companies undertaking cross-border mergers must comply simultaneously with the requirements of the Companies Act and FEMA regulations. Differences in regulatory interpretation and procedural requirements sometimes create uncertainty for companies structuring merger transactions.

Greater coordination between the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and the Reserve Bank of India could help align the regulatory frameworks governing cross-border mergers. Clear guidelines clarifying the interaction between corporate law provisions and foreign exchange regulations would reduce compliance difficulties and provide greater legal certainty for companies.

Such harmonisation would also facilitate smoother implementation of cross-border merger schemes approved by the National Company Law Tribunal.

Clarification of Valuation Standards

Valuation of shares and assets is one of the most critical aspects of cross-border merger transactions. Inaccurate or inconsistent valuation methodologies may result in unfair treatment of shareholders or regulatory violations. Although the Cross Border Merger Regulations require valuation to be conducted according to internationally accepted pricing methodologies, the absence of uniform valuation standards sometimes creates interpretational difficulties.

Regulatory authorities could consider issuing **comprehensive valuation guidelines** applicable specifically to cross-border mergers. Such guidelines should clearly define acceptable valuation methodologies, disclosure requirements, and professional certification standards.

Clear valuation rules would reduce disputes and enhance confidence among investors and stakeholders involved in cross-border merger transactions.

Simplification of Compliance and Reporting Requirements

Cross-border mergers currently involve extensive documentation and reporting obligations under various regulatory frameworks. While these requirements are intended to ensure transparency and regulatory oversight, excessive procedural requirements may increase the administrative burden on companies.

Simplifying reporting procedures and introducing digital compliance mechanisms could significantly improve the efficiency of the regulatory process. For example, a centralised electronic reporting system could allow companies to submit required documents and regulatory filings through a single online platform.

Such measures would reduce administrative complexity while still enabling regulatory

authorities to effectively monitor cross-border transactions.

Greater Regulatory Clarity and Predictability

Legal certainty is a crucial factor influencing corporate investment decisions. Companies are more likely to undertake cross-border mergers when the regulatory framework is transparent, predictable, and consistently applied.

Regulatory authorities should therefore aim to provide clearer guidance on the interpretation of laws governing cross-border mergers. This could be achieved through the issuance of explanatory circulars, interpretative guidelines, and advisory opinions.

In addition, periodic review of the regulatory framework would ensure that the law remains aligned with evolving global business practices. As international corporate transactions become increasingly complex, regulatory systems must adapt to address emerging challenges while maintaining an environment conducive to investment and economic growth.

7. Conclusion

Cross-border mergers have become an increasingly important mechanism for corporate restructuring in the globalised economy. By enabling companies to combine resources, access new markets, and achieve operational efficiencies, such mergers contribute significantly to economic growth and international business integration. Recognising these benefits, India has introduced a legal framework permitting cross-border mergers through the provisions of the Companies Act 2013 and the regulatory framework established under the Foreign Exchange Management Act 1999 and the Foreign Exchange Management (Cross Border Merger) Regulations 2018.

The introduction of these provisions represents a major step toward aligning Indian corporate law with international business practices. The legal framework allows Indian companies to engage in cross-border restructuring while ensuring that such transactions remain subject to appropriate regulatory oversight.

However, the analysis presented in this paper demonstrates that several challenges continue to affect the practical implementation of cross-border mergers in India. These challenges include overlapping regulatory jurisdiction, valuation uncertainties, sectoral investment restrictions,

and extensive compliance requirements. The involvement of multiple regulatory authorities often results in procedural complexity and delays in obtaining approvals.

Addressing these challenges is essential for enhancing the effectiveness of the regulatory framework governing cross-border mergers. Measures such as the introduction of a single-window approval mechanism, greater harmonisation between corporate law and foreign exchange regulations, and clearer valuation guidelines could significantly improve the efficiency of the merger process.

As India continues to integrate with the global economy, the ability of its regulatory framework to facilitate cross-border corporate restructuring will play an important role in attracting international investment and promoting economic development. By adopting appropriate regulatory reforms and strengthening institutional coordination, India can create a more efficient and predictable legal environment for cross-border mergers while maintaining necessary safeguards for financial stability and stakeholder protection.