ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AS A FASHION DESIGNER: WHO OWNS THE RIGHTS?

Samyak Sinha, UP State Institute of Forensic Sciences

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has transformed numerous industries, and the fashion sector is no exception. Advanced AI systems can now generate clothing designs, predict fashion trends, create digital garments, and assist designers in producing innovative collections. As AI increasingly participates in the creative process, a significant legal question emerges: who owns the intellectual property rights in AI-generated fashion designs? Traditional copyright law is based on the assumption that creativity originates from human authors. However, AI-generated designs challenge this premise because machines can independently produce original patterns, garments, and visual concepts with minimal human intervention. This article examines the role of AI in fashion design, the legal framework governing ownership of AI-generated creations, and the competing claims of designers, developers, companies, and AI systems themselves. It further analyzes copyright, patent, trademark, and design protection issues arising from AI-generated fashion products. The article concludes that existing intellectual property laws are not fully equipped to address the challenges posed by AI creativity and that legal reforms are necessary to balance innovation, investment, and protection of human creativity in the fashion industry.

Introduction

Fashion has traditionally been regarded as an expression of human creativity, culture, and artistic imagination. Designers invest significant time and effort in conceptualizing garments, selecting materials, creating patterns, and developing collections that reflect both artistic vision and commercial appeal. The emergence of Artificial Intelligence has begun to transform this creative process by enabling machines to perform tasks that were once considered exclusively human.

AI systems are now capable of analyzing vast quantities of fashion data, identifying trends, generating unique clothing patterns, and even designing complete collections. Luxury brands and fashion houses increasingly employ AI tools to improve efficiency, forecast consumer preferences, and develop innovative designs. While these technological advancements offer substantial economic and creative benefits, they also raise complex legal and ethical questions regarding ownership and intellectual property rights.

The central issue is whether a fashion design created wholly or partially by AI can be protected under existing intellectual property laws and, if so, who should be recognized as the owner of such rights. This question is particularly significant because ownership determines who may commercially exploit the design, license it, or prevent unauthorized use. The answer has far-reaching implications for designers, technology companies, fashion brands, and consumers alike.

The Rise of AI in Fashion Design

Artificial Intelligence has become an integral component of the modern fashion industry. Machine learning algorithms analyze customer preferences, social media trends, historical sales data, and runway collections to generate insights about future fashion trends.

AI-driven platforms can produce sketches, recommend color combinations, and generate garment designs based on specific inputs. Some systems can create entirely new fashion concepts by learning from millions of existing images. These capabilities enable designers to experiment with innovative styles and reduce the time required for product development.

Several fashion companies have already embraced AI-generated design processes. AI tools assist in creating customized apparel, digital fashion products, and virtual garments for use in

online environments. Fashion brands increasingly rely on AI to predict market demand and create designs that align with consumer preferences.

The growing role of AI has blurred the distinction between human and machine creativity. While AI remains dependent on data and algorithms developed by humans, it can generate outputs that appear original and creative. Consequently, determining authorship and ownership has become increasingly difficult.

Understanding Intellectual Property Rights in Fashion

The fashion industry relies heavily on intellectual property protection to safeguard creative works and commercial interests. Several forms of intellectual property rights may apply to fashion designs, including copyright, design rights, trademarks, and patents.

Copyright protects original artistic works, including sketches, illustrations, and certain textile patterns. Design rights protect the visual appearance of products, including shape, configuration, and ornamentation. Trademarks protect brand names, logos, and other indicators of commercial origin. Patents may protect innovative technologies or manufacturing processes used in fashion production.

Traditionally, these forms of protection assume the existence of a human creator. Most intellectual property laws were developed long before AI technology emerged and therefore do not explicitly address machine-generated creativity. As AI becomes more involved in the design process, the applicability of existing legal frameworks becomes increasingly uncertain.

Copyright and AI-Generated Fashion Designs

Copyright law represents one of the most significant areas of concern regarding AI-generated fashion designs. In most jurisdictions, copyright protection requires originality and human authorship. The rationale behind copyright law is to reward human creativity and encourage artistic expression.

When a human designer creates a fashion sketch or textile pattern, copyright protection generally arises automatically. However, the situation becomes more complex when an AI system generates the design. If the AI independently produces a garment design based on data inputs and machine-learning processes, identifying the author becomes difficult.

Several possible claimants may assert ownership over an AI-generated design. First, the human user who provided instructions or prompts to the AI may claim authorship. Second, the developer who created the AI software may argue that the output results from the algorithm's underlying architecture. Third, the company that owns the AI system may assert ownership through contractual or proprietary rights.

Current copyright laws in many countries do not recognize AI as a legal author. Courts and intellectual property offices generally require human involvement for copyright protection. Consequently, purely AI-generated designs may fall into the public domain if no human author can be identified.

This approach creates uncertainty for fashion companies investing in AI-generated products. Without clear ownership rights, businesses may hesitate to rely extensively on AI-generated designs due to concerns about enforcement and commercial exploitation.

Can AI Be Recognized as an Author?

One of the most debated issues in intellectual property law is whether AI itself should be recognized as an author or inventor. Proponents argue that advanced AI systems demonstrate creative capabilities that resemble human artistic processes. AI can generate unique outputs, adapt to new information, and produce designs that were not explicitly programmed by developers.

Supporters of AI authorship contend that denying recognition to AI-generated works creates a gap in legal protection. If no human author exists, valuable creations may remain unprotected, discouraging technological innovation and investment.

However, most legal scholars and policymakers oppose granting authorship to AI systems. They argue that AI lacks consciousness, intention, and legal personality. Unlike humans, AI cannot hold property rights, enter contracts, or bear legal responsibilities. Copyright law is fundamentally based on rewarding human intellectual effort rather than machine-generated outputs.

Recognizing AI as an author would also raise practical difficulties. Questions concerning ownership, licensing, liability, and enforcement would become significantly more complex if machines were treated as legal creators. As a result, current legal systems generally reject the

concept of AI authorship.

Ownership Claims by Human Designers

Human designers often play a significant role in AI-assisted fashion creation. They select datasets, formulate prompts, adjust outputs, refine designs, and make creative decisions throughout the design process. In many cases, AI functions as a sophisticated tool rather than an independent creator.

When human involvement is substantial, the designer may be considered the author of the resulting work. For example, if a designer uses AI to generate preliminary sketches and subsequently modifies them through creative judgment, copyright protection may be available for the final design.

The degree of human contribution is therefore crucial in determining ownership. Courts may examine whether the human participant exercised sufficient creative control over the design process. Where AI merely assists human creativity, traditional principles of authorship may remain applicable.

This approach aligns with the historical treatment of technological tools in artistic creation. Cameras, graphic design software, and digital editing programs do not become authors merely because they facilitate creative expression. Similarly, AI may be viewed as a tool when human creativity remains central to the final product.

Rights of AI Developers and Technology Companies

AI developers invest substantial resources in creating algorithms, training models, and maintaining technological infrastructure. Consequently, some argue that developers should possess rights over AI-generated outputs.

Software companies often regulate ownership through contractual agreements and licensing terms. Users of AI design platforms may be required to assign certain rights to the platform provider or accept limitations on commercial use. Such contractual arrangements can significantly influence ownership outcomes regardless of copyright law.

However, granting automatic ownership to developers may create concerns regarding fairness.

Developers may have little direct involvement in generating specific fashion designs. The creative decisions leading to a particular output may be made primarily by users rather than software creators.

Balancing the interests of developers and users therefore remains a challenging legal issue. Future legal reforms may need to clarify the extent to which technological contribution should influence ownership rights.

Design Rights and AI-Created Fashion

In addition to copyright, fashion designs may receive protection through design rights. Design protection focuses on the visual appearance of products rather than artistic authorship.

Design rights may offer a practical solution for protecting AI-generated fashion products because they emphasize novelty and originality rather than human creativity. If an AI-generated garment possesses a distinctive appearance, it may qualify for registration under applicable design laws.

Nevertheless, some jurisdictions still require a human applicant or creator. Questions may therefore arise regarding who should be identified as the creator when AI plays a significant role in the design process.

Despite these challenges, design rights may provide stronger protection for AI-generated fashion products than copyright law because they are more closely connected to commercial innovation and product aesthetics.

Trademarks and Branding Considerations

Trademark law presents fewer difficulties regarding AI-generated fashion designs because trademark protection focuses primarily on commercial identity rather than creative authorship.

Fashion brands can register trademarks for names, logos, symbols, and distinctive indicators regardless of whether AI contributed to the design process. Consumers associate trademarks with the source of goods rather than with the identity of the creator.

However, AI-generated branding elements may still raise ownership questions. If an AI system generates a logo or brand name, determining ownership may require analysis of contractual

agreements and intellectual property principles.

Trademark law nevertheless remains one of the more stable areas of intellectual property protection in the context of AI-generated fashion products.

Ethical and Policy Concerns

The use of AI in fashion design raises broader ethical and policy concerns beyond legal ownership. One concern involves the potential displacement of human designers. As AI systems become increasingly sophisticated, some fear that human creativity may be undervalued or replaced by automated processes.

Another concern relates to training data. AI models often learn from existing fashion designs, artworks, and images. If copyrighted works are used without authorization during training, questions arise regarding fairness, compensation, and potential infringement.

Transparency is also important. Consumers may wish to know whether a product was designed by a human, an AI system, or a combination of both. Clear disclosure practices could promote consumer trust and informed decision-making.

Furthermore, unequal access to advanced AI technologies may concentrate creative power in large corporations capable of investing heavily in technological infrastructure. Policymakers must therefore balance innovation with competition and fairness.

International Approaches to AI Ownership

Different countries have adopted varying approaches to AI-generated works. Some jurisdictions maintain strict human-authorship requirements, while others have introduced provisions addressing computer-generated creations.

For example, certain legal systems recognize copyright in computer-generated works and assign ownership to the person who undertook the necessary arrangements for creation. Such provisions may provide a framework for protecting AI-generated fashion designs.

However, global inconsistency creates challenges for international fashion businesses. A design protected in one country may receive little or no protection in another. Given the global nature of fashion commerce, harmonization of legal standards may become increasingly

important.

International organizations and policymakers continue to debate appropriate approaches to AI-generated intellectual property. Future developments may significantly influence the fashion industry's legal landscape.

The Future of AI and Fashion Ownership

As AI technology continues to evolve, existing intellectual property frameworks will face increasing pressure. The distinction between human and machine creativity is likely to become more difficult to identify, particularly as AI systems become more autonomous and sophisticated.

A balanced legal approach should recognize the contributions of human creators while encouraging technological innovation. One possibility is to establish a new category of protection specifically designed for AI-generated works. Such a system could provide limited rights to users, developers, or companies without requiring recognition of AI as a legal author.

Another possibility involves expanding existing intellectual property doctrines to accommodate AI-assisted creativity. Courts and legislatures may develop standards based on the degree of human involvement in the creative process.

Regardless of the specific solution adopted, legal certainty is essential for promoting investment, innovation, and fair competition in the fashion industry. Clear ownership rules will enable designers, technology companies, and fashion brands to confidently utilize AI while protecting legitimate interests.

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence has emerged as a transformative force within the fashion industry, reshaping the way designs are conceived, developed, and marketed. AI systems can generate innovative clothing designs, predict consumer preferences, and contribute significantly to creative processes that were once exclusively human. While these technological developments offer substantial benefits, they also challenge traditional assumptions underlying intellectual property law.

The central question of who owns the rights to AI-generated fashion designs remains unresolved. Potential claimants include human designers, AI developers, technology companies, and users of AI systems. Current legal frameworks generally require human authorship and do not recognize AI as a legal creator. Consequently, purely AI-generated designs may face uncertainty regarding ownership and protection.

Copyright law, design rights, trademarks, and contractual arrangements each provide partial solutions, but none fully address the complexities of AI-generated creativity. As AI becomes increasingly integrated into fashion design, legal systems must adapt to ensure fair allocation of rights and responsibilities.

The future of fashion will likely involve collaboration between human creativity and artificial intelligence. Effective legal frameworks should encourage innovation while preserving the fundamental principles of intellectual property protection. By establishing clear rules regarding ownership and authorship, policymakers can support both technological advancement and creative expression in the rapidly evolving world of AI-driven fashion design.

Endnotes:

1. Pamela Samuelson, "Allocating Ownership Rights in Computer-Generated Works," 47 University of Pittsburgh Law Review 1185 (1986).
2. WIPO, *Artificial Intelligence and Intellectual Property Policy Report* (2024).
3. Bernard Marr, *Artificial Intelligence in Practice* (Wiley, 2019).
4. McKinsey & Company, *The State of Fashion Technology Report* (2024).
5. Lionel Bently, Brad Sherman & Dev Gangjee, *Intellectual Property Law* (6th ed., Oxford University Press, 2022).
6. Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, 1886.
7. Andres Guadamuz, "Artificial Intelligence and Copyright," 41 WIPO Magazine (2017).
8. Ryan Abbott, *The Reasonable Robot: Artificial Intelligence and the Law* (Cambridge University Press, 2020).
9. European Parliament Resolution on Intellectual Property Rights for the Development of Artificial Intelligence Technologies (2020).
10. Jane C. Ginsburg & Luke Ali Budiardjo, "Authors and Machines," 34 Berkeley Technology Law Journal 343 (2019).
11. Daniel J. Gervais, "The Machine as Author," 105 Iowa Law Review 2053 (2020).
12. World Intellectual Property Organization, *Industrial Designs and Design Protection Guide* (2023).
13. Graeme B. Dinwoodie & Mark D. Janis, *Trademark and Unfair Competition Law* (5th ed., 2022).
14. UNESCO, *Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence* (2021).
15. UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act, 1988, Section 9(3).
16. World Economic Forum, *A Framework for the Governance of Artificial Intelligence* (2024).